

(check \square only one box for each question) $\boxed{\text{VTS}}$

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

AIRS ID#: 7770160 DATE: 11/03/2011		L (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D		(CI)		
FACILITY LOCATION: 6175 N US HWY 441 OCALA 34475 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: GLENN COUNTS Email:	AIRS ID#: 7770160 DATE: <u>11/03</u>	<u>/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12;00</u>		DEPART: 1	12:45	
OCALA 34475 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: GLENN COUNTS Email:	FACILITY NAME: COUNTS CO	NSTRUCTION-441 N	MINE				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: GLENN COUNTS Email:	FACILITY LOCATION: 617	75 N US HWY 441					
Email: CONTACT NAME: RANDY BULLOCK Email: rbullock@counts.cc ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/27/2009 / 11/27/2014 (effective date) (end date) Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Allan Goode Brief Notes: Crusher Model no. 4040 Cedar Rapids 2. Is the Authorized Representative still GLENN COUNTS? Significant still glenn country in the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Yes No 3. Is the facility contact still RANDY BULLOCK? Yes No	OC	ALA 34475					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Allan Goode Brief Notes: Crusher Model no. 4040 Cedar Rapids 2. Is the Authorized Representative still GLENN COUNTS? — YesNo If no, who is?: If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? — YesNo 3. Is the facility contact still RANDY BULLOCK? — YesNo	Email: CONTACT NAME: RANDY BU Email: rbullock@counts.cc ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/2	LLOCK 7/2009 / 11/27/201		Mobile: PHONE:			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Allan Goode Brief Notes: Crusher Model no. 4040 Cedar Rapids 2. Is the Authorized Representative still GLENN COUNTS?	PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)						
Brief Notes: Crusher Model no. 4040 Cedar Rapids 2. Is the Authorized Representative still GLENN COUNTS?	PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTO	DRY MEETING				(check ☑	only one
2. Is the Authorized Representative still GLENN COUNTS?	1. Name(s) of facility representative	(s): Allan Goode			ł	oox for each	question)
If no, who is?: If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?	Brief Notes: <u>Crusher Model no.</u>	4040 Cedar Rapids					
3. Is the facility contact still RANDY BULLOCK? Yes \square\tag{\text{No}}		still GLENN COUNT	S?			⊠ Yes	□No
If no, who is?:						=	_
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? Yes MNo If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance? Yes MNo	4. Will facility be conducting VE tends If yes, was the compliance author	st(s) during today's in- ity notified at least 15	spection?days in advance?				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher,w/RIC diesel eng.,750 T/Hr rated capacity

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		•
	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ry e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?		□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		⊠No
4.	<u>Is the EU one of the following?</u>	Yes	□No
	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
_	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes Yes	□No
/.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ Vaa	□ No
Q	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	No
σ.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

1 -NMMP Plant-crusher,w/RIC diesel eng.,750 T/Hr rated capacity

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	il	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	_	_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No

1 -NMMP Plant-crusher,w/RIC diesel eng.,750 T/Hr rated capacity

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s 🔲No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	s 🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s \[\]No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		s 🔲No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		s
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s \[\]No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	s □No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	s 🗀No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	s

1 -NMMP Plant-crusher,w/RIC diesel eng.,750 T/Hr rated capacity

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform	med on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ch there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}	. 01	,			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	nce with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
er meterman rugur e emissions not	in non-venicountaing op-	similar to the second	spacity.		
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	<u>.</u>		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m				1 cs	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	•	nanuracturer to be accurate with	1111 1230		
and	ssurc.				
b. a device for the continuous measur	amont of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet serul	shor and th	0	
device has been calibrated on an				Yes	□No
				☐ i es	NO
{Note: The monitoring device m		nanuracturer to be accurate with	IIII +3%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the exementanens	ton for this EU9 11/12/2000			
				⊠ Yes	□ No
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		o been tested within the past 3	years?	i les	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		- don9		□ Vaa	□ Ma
i. has the EU been tested during				∐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?		☐ Yes	lNo
25 Was a VE test conducted by the ave	· on/on ongton fon this w	nit duning this site visit?		□ Vac	□ No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>own</i>				∐ Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		⊠ Yes	No
Rate: 25 t/hr	EDA Mada 100			N v	□ NT.
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EPA Method 9? -			⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.		N **	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	nance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		⊠ Yes	No
	. 6 .1	. 41. 4. 140		□ x7	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>insp</i>				Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes Yes	∟No
Rate:	- FD+ M 1 100				
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi				☐ Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	nance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
	VF Onge	ity Limits			
			C14	000 FU	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	000 EU	,
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modifi	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed on	or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
	- / *				

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-EXCEL belt conveyor, 36"X60"

		(check ☑	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	auestion)
Tc ·	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		1
18	Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoring is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlomand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	☐ Yes	⊠No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?		No
sul If	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
_	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

2 -NMMP Plant-EXCEL belt conveyor, 36"X60"

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	il	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
su	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	_	_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No

2 -NMMP Plant-EXCEL belt conveyor, 36"X60"

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s 🔲No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	s 🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s \[\]No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		s 🔲No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		s
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s \[\]No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	s □No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	s 🗀No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	s

2 -NMMP Plant-EXCEL belt conveyor, 36"X60"

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform	med on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ch there is mechanicall	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}	. 01	, ,			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	nce with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
er meterman rugur e emissions not	in non-venicountaing op-	omings ross than or equal to 770	spacity.		
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	a		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m				1 cs	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	1111 1230		
and	ssurc.				
b. a device for the continuous measur	amont of the carubbing	liquid flow rate to the wat serul	shor and th	0	
device has been calibrated on an					□No
				∐ Yes	NO
{Note: The monitoring device m	•	manuracturer to be accurate with	IIII +3%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the exementanens	ton fon this EII9			
	2			□ Vac	□ No
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		O been tested within the past 3	years?	☐ Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart				□ Vaa	□ Ma
i. has the EU been tested during				∐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?		Yes	lNo
25 Was a VE tost conducted by the con-	/	uid dunnin a dhia aida miaid?		□ Vaa	✓ Ma
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>own</i>				∐ Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	∟No
Rate:	EDA M. d 100			□ v	□ Nt.
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi				☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.		_ ,,	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	nance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		∐ Yes	No
	. 6 .1			□ x7	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp				Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes Yes	No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi				☐ Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	☐No
	VE Ongo	ity Limits			
-		ı	0.1.4	000 FH	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	-	000 EU	_
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modifi	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior		structed on	or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
	2070	10/0		. , 0	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –NMMP Plant- crusher pwr unit, 300 Hp John Deere diesel RICE

{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Grania Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Boraz, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice	ty fe, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No
answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No
	Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoritis any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzie, Mart, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shale, (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock. (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Punice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15) Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?

3 –NMMP Plant- crusher pwr unit, 300 Hp John Deere diesel RICE

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processis	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
- 0			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart 000 so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	∐ Yes	∐No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	Yes	☐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_	_
	initial startup of the EU? \[\] N/A	☐ Yes	∐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No

3 –NMMP Plant- crusher pwr unit, 300 Hp John Deere diesel RICE

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s 🔲No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	s 🗌 No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s \[\]No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		s 🔲No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		s
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	s \[\]No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	s □No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	s 🗀No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	s

3 –NMMP Plant- crusher pwr unit, 300 Hp John Deere diesel RICE

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	☐ No
[A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		105	
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	П	Yes	No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ħ	Yes	□No
or more initial ragin to emissions from non-tone contemps of the same of equal to the equal to t	ш	105	L,
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	_		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	_		<u> </u>
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th	e		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 11/13/2009	_		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Ш	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	<u>~</u>		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	\bowtie	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Ш	Yes	⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	\vdash	Yes	□No
	ш	res	
Rate: 25 t/hr b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.		1 68	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compitance with the opacity mint. (See chart below).	ш	103	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		P.
	(check v box for each a	only one nuestion)
	box for each a - X Yes - X Yes	•
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	box for each of the control of the c	uuestion) NoNo

<u>(</u> 27	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes Mane/yr ≤ 1.000 Me/yr	No No No No No
1. 2.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	Yes Yes	only one question) SNoNoNoNo
	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera	6)] Yes	□No
3.	permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.} b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	- Yes	No

Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mid. 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or inor administrative change at the facility? Yes	•
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownershi 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without repl c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment th d) A change in ownership?	Yes accement?	∷No∴No∴No∴No∴No∴No
John Vigliotti Inspector's Name (Please Print)	11/10/2011 Date of Inspection 11/2016	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("Department") representative John Vigliotti, Engineering Specialists, met with Plant representative, Alan Goode of Counts Construction-441 Mine, ("Company") at its facility located at 6175 US HWY 441, Ocala, Fl. 34475. Mr. Vigliotti explained that the Department is conducting a baseline inspection and providing compliance assistance. The facility has been subject to the following rules: Method 22 V.E. (<7% Opacity). Rule No. EU 40 C.F.R. Part 60 Subpart 000 Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plant. Rule NMMMP-Plant Crusher Relocatable w/Diesel RICE 750 T/Hr. Capacity, 62-210.300(3) F.A.C. (Rolling 12- Month fuel consumption). Rule 62-296.414(2) F.A.C. (Unconfined Field Emissions), NMMP Plant EXCEL belt conveyor, 36"x60" Unit,w/Diesel RICE 400 T/Hr. Capacity, NMMP Plant-crusher Pwr. Unit 300 HP John DEEre Diesel RICE. Based on information forwarded to the Department and field records and file records the facility was found to be in compliance.