$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: A	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/I	DISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 7775251 DATE: <u>05/23/2012</u> ARRIVE: DEPART:					
FACILITY NAME: IMES	ON INTERNATIONAL IND	USTRIAL PARK			
FACILITY LOCATION:	10590 CANADA DR				
	JACKSONVILLE 322	218-4968			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: GARY GILDER Email: CONTACT NAME: MIKE MUSGROVE Email: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/10/2009 / 9/10/2014 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (904)757-7000 Mobile: (904)465-6731 PHONE: (904)465-0670 Mobile: (904)465-0670					
	F	acility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION CO		_	x) GNIFICANT Non-CO	MPLIANCE	
DADE H. ONGIET INTO	DUCTORY MEETING				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Represe If no, who is?:	entative still GARY GILDER	?		Yes	□No
	y provide an administrative u MIKE MUSGROVE?				□No □No
4. Will facility be conductin	g VE test(s) during today's ir e authority notified at least 15				□No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-primary crusher, electric gen.pwr,250hp, 290 T/hr

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax,	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
	and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ulite;	
2. 3. 4. If :	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

1 –NMMP Plant-primary crusher, electric gen.pwr,250hp, 290 T/hr

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	□No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
sul If t	conswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to opport OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. The answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12.	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If a	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If a	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14.	Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15.	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No No No

1 –NMMP Plant-primary crusher, electric gen.pwr,250hp, 290 T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? \[\] N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
 a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 	Yes	No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

1 –NMMP Plant-primary crusher, electric gen.pwr,250hp, 290 T/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		X 7	
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Ш	Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			Į!
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		~~	,
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	닏	Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	□No
22 Is a sent seemble a word to control emissions from the EU9		Vac	\square No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?		Vac	\square No
	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			[1
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	Д		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	L10
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design scrabbing fiquid flow face.			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	_	1 00	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	П	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Ħ	Yes	□No
II. Into the 20 oct to total year within the contain year.	_		L
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
	_		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-screening operations 96 sq.ft., drop points

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophylite; (10) Boron, including Borax,	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
	and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ulite;	
2. 3. 4.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	No No No No
sul	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
lf 1	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	_
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	No

2 -NMMP Plant-screening operations 96 sq.ft., drop points

	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	□No
	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
sub If t	Inswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to expart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. The answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If a	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If a	unswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14.	Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

2 -NMMP Plant-screening operations 96 sq.ft., drop points

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	1 cs	
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	_	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		_
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Vac	□No
If yes:	1 cs	
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
and been the owner, operator perform monthly inspections to energy that water is nowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?	☐ Yes	□No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		_
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		□No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		_
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		_
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		_
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	No
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No

2 -NMMP Plant-screening operations 96 sq.ft., drop points

AA YOU TYL' LEGGE - 1.2 - 1.2 - 1.4			
22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			Į.
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		1 3700	□ M ₀
initial startup of the EU? \[\] N/A \[\{A\] "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the	Ш	Yes	∐ No
			Į!
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			Į!
one or more affected EUs.) b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\vdash	Yes	□No □No
C. Were illust fugitive chiissions from non-vent bunding openings less than of equal to 1/0 opacity.	Ш	168	□140
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		100	
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			Į!
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			ľ
instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	_		_
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			ľ
and and			Į.
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			ľ
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
A TYPE A TOTAL A TOTAL A TOTAL			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?		1 37-3	□ Ma
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Ш	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		1 3730	□ M ₂
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?ii has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	H	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Ш	Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this time during this site visit:	H	Yes	□No
Rate:	_	100	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_	* * -	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
	_		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	<u> </u>
Rate:	_		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No

Emissions Unit Section 3 -NMMP Plant-auxiliary generator, RIC diesel engine, 500 kW

		(check 🗹	only one
	I	ox for each	question)
Te ·	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Procession		,
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoris any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an above ground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	_	_
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	☐ Yes	□No

3 –NMMP Plant-auxiliary generator, RIC diesel engine, 500 kW

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	cu	
	solely by well suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes by this definition.		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
10	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	grinding finit of storage on in the production fine.	1 cs	
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	wei suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes of this definition.		
Ιf	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 3-10 above is No then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
	. When was the 120 last constructed, mounted, or reconstructed.		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
12	. Was the De constructed, mounted, or reconstructed on or area 4/22/2000.	1 cs	
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
-J	answer to guestion 12 is 140 stup the join ming questions and go an early to guestion 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
-,			
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 770 opacity.	1 cs	
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
10	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		☐ 1NO
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}	□ v	□ NT-
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	∐No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	∐No

$\underline{3}$ –NMMP Plant-auxiliary generator, RIC diesel engine, $500~\mathrm{kW}$

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		esNo
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	□ Y	es No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	□ Y	esNo
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	. 🗌 Y	esNo
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		esNo
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Y	esNo
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	□ Y	es □No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	□ Y	esNo
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Y ☐ Y	es No esNo esNo esNo

3 –NMMP Plant-auxiliary generator, RIC diesel engine, 500 kW

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any		and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform				7 **	
initial startup of the EU?			/A L	_ Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi					
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	24 4 DM 12 2	60.05 /1 /0.022 /1.02	_	7 37	
b. Was the EU found to be in complia				」 Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 1%	opacity? L	」 Yes	∐No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control em	issions from the EU?		[Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta	in and operate:				
a. a device for the continuous measure					
scrubber and the device has been				_	
instructions?			_	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nin +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	sure.}				
andb. a device for the continuous measure	amont of the serubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet serul	shor and the		
device has been calibrated on an				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m					
of design scrubbing liquid flow r		nandiactarer to be accurate with	III 1370		
	,				
24. When was the last VE test conducted	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	_	_
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years? L	Yes	No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart			_	7	
i. has the EU been tested during				∐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wit	hin the current calenda	ır year?	L	Yes	∐No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	er/onerator for this w	nit during this site visit?	Г	☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	No
Rate:			_		
					□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of	of% for the high	est six-minute average.	_		_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
			_	¬	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>insp</i>				∐ Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?	L	」 Yes	∐No
Rate:	4. EDA M. 41 1.00		_	7 37	□ M.
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi				Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity ofd. Did the VE test demonstrate compl			Г	Yes	□No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compr	iance with the opacity	mint: (See chart below)		_ 1 es	NO
		ity Limits	G 1 40	NOO EII	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	t OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructe	,	,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconst		or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur		
(at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor	—	_
drop points)? \[\sum N/A \] If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	☐ Yes	☐ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s)	□ v ₂₀	□ мо
on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	∐ No □ No
d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control		
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	_ 100	
particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? \[\Boxed N/A \]	Yes	□ No
b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	∐ Yes	∐No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one
	(check 🗹 box for each c	
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each o	uestion)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNo
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNo
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception 	box for each of the box for each of box for ea	uestion) NoNo
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	box for each of the box fo	uestion) NoNo
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each of the box fo	uestion) NoNoNo
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each of the box fo	uestion) NoNoNo
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNoNo
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNoNo
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene 	box for each of the control of the c	uestion) NoNoNo

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No
1.	ENERAL CONDITIONS Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all		only one question) NoNo
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	SS	□No
	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	6)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operator permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	- Yes	□No □No □No

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check box for e	only one ach question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar in the same of the same of	al relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Ye	=
 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Owners! 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?	placement? Ye that is substantially different? Ye v registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	sNo sNo sNo
Kathy Parish	05/23/12	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	-
	05/2013	
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of Next Inspec		-
COMMENTS: Crusher is no longer located at this site. D	Date of relocation and new site location is unknown.	