

(check \square only one box for each question) \sqrt{TS}

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)						
AIRS ID#: 0251175 DATE: <u>2/27/2014</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:24 AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:34 AM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: WRQ SOUTH AGGREGATE PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 5900 NW 122nd Ave						
MEDLEY 33178-1002						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RAYMOND MADDY Email: CONTACT NAME: RONNIE VAN LANDINGHAM Email: Ronnie.VanLandingham@wrquarries.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/22/2013 / 12/22/2018 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)822-5322 Mobile: PHONE: (305)822-5322 Mobile:						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check 🗹 only one					
·	box for each question)					
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still RAYMOND MADDY? If no, who is?:	⊠ Yes □No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No					

Emissions Unit Section 2 –PRIMARY CRUSHER/ 800 TONS PER HOUR.

		(check ☑	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Stones (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	,
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
_	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	∐No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes	∐No □No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
-	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	□ Vac	□ No
6.	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	∐ Yes	□No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

2 -PRIMARY CRUSHER/ 800 TONS PER HOUR.

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or	_	_
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	∐ Yes	∐No
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
7.0			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart 000 so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
10	W. J. W. J. 100	□ ***	
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	∐ Yes	∐No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	□ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	a. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 770 opacity.		
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the}$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	☐No

2 -PRIMARY CRUSHER/ 800 TONS PER HOUR.

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	П,	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	_		_
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		Yes	□No
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	□No
questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

2 -PRIMARY CRUSHER/ 800 TONS PER HOUR.

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
one or more affected EUs.}		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	_	_
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
The state of the s	☐ Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	□No
	_	_
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:	_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	☐ Yes	□No
	_	_
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Yes	No
Rate:	_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Yes	□No
VE Opacity Limits		
	OOO EU	
40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, constructed	ted, modifie	d,
Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior or recons	structed on	or
to 4/22/2008 after 4/22		
Crusher with no capture system 20% 15%	12%	
1 2		
All other affected EUs 20% 10%	7%	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –**SECONDARY CRUSHERS (2).**

	ŀ	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
F 49			question)
;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	The Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granite Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlomad Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1. Js	s the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes	□No
	s the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
3. V	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No
4. Is	s the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
Ē	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation,		
Ļ	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
L	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	out not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing indersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material unrough one of more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
1	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
subp	nswer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. he answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5. Is	s the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
S	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes Yes	□No
	s the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes Yes	□No
	(s the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	□ 37 .	
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	s the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

3 – SECONDARY CRUSHERS (2).

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	□No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

3 –SECONDARY CRUSHERS (2).

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	<u> </u>	Zes .	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			_
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	<u> </u>	l'es	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ <i>Y</i>	Zes .	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	<u> </u>	l'es	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		l'es	□No
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	<u> </u>	<i>l</i> 'es	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? 	□ Y	l'es	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	□ Y	l'es	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Y	les les les les	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

3 –SECONDARY CRUSHERS (2).

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em	nissions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo	rmed on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	hich there is mechanicali	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a buildin					
one or more affected EUs.}	6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		☐ Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
c. Were illitial rugitive ellissions fro	on non vent bunding op	emings less than of equal to 770	opacity.	103	
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the FII?			☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator main				103	
a. a device for the continuous measu		ass of the gas stream through th	0		
		al basis in accordance with man			
instructions?				□ Vac	□ No
				☐ Yes	∐No
•	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	nın +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	essure.}				
and	. 6.1	1			
b. a device for the continuous measu					
device has been calibrated on a				☐ Yes	□No
•	•	manufacturer to be accurate with	hin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow	rate.}				
4. When was the last VE test conduct	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60		U been tested within the past 5	years?	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar				_	_
i. has the EU been tested during				Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet w	ithin the current calenda	ır year?		☐ Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own				Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes Yes	□No
•	•				
6. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	spector for this unit du				
	specior for this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				Yes Yes	□No □No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:					
Rate:	ocess rate that is represe	entative of the normal rate?		☐ Yes	□No
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9?	entative of the normal rate?			
Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	ocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.		☐ Yes	□No
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ocess rate that is represeding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high	entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.		☐ Yes	□No
Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accord. The VE test resulted in an opacity	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity	entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	□No
Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accord. The VE test resulted in an opacity	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity	entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average.		☐ Yes	□No
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac	entative of the normal rate? est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	No
Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accord. The VE test resulted in an opacity	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ity Limits Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ OOO EU	No No No
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted accord c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified,	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes OOO EU	NoNo
Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accord. The VE test resulted in an opacity	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Subpart construc	Yes Yes Yes OOO EU	NoNo
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to the VE test resulted in an opacity d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart	Yes Yes Yes OOO EU eted, mod estructed 2/2008	NoNo
Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accord. The VE test resulted in an opacity	ding to EPA Method 9? of% for the high pliance with the opacity VE Opac EU not subject to 40 CFR 60	est six-minute average. limit? (See chart below) ity Limits Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior	Subpart construc	Yes Yes Yes OOO EU	

Emissions Unit Section 4 –SCREENING OPERATIONS AND BELT CONVEYORS

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoristic any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Graning Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y Ge, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3. 4.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No

4-SCREENING OPERATIONS AND BELT CONVEYORS

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	el ng	□No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

4-SCREENING OPERATIONS AND BELT CONVEYORS

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Ye	es 🔲No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Ye	es 🗌 No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Ye	esNo
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Ye	esNo
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		esNo
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Ye	es 🔲No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Ye	es 🗀No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Ye	es 🔲No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Ye ☐ Ye ☐ Ye ☐ Ye	es

4-SCREENING OPERATIONS AND BELT CONVEYORS

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			Į.
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			Į.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Vac	\square Mo
	Ш	Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			Į.
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			Į.
one or more affected EUs.}			
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Ц	Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	∐No
	$\overline{}$		
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	\Box	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			Į.
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			Į.
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	_	_	
instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			Į.
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			Į.
and			Į.
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and th			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			Į.
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			Į.
			Į.
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?			Į.
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	_		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	□No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
		•	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
ar Bid the 12 cost demonstrate compliance with the specific control (2000 control cont	_	100	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor		
drop points)? \[\] N/A If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	Yes	☐ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	☐ Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? \square\ N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	(check b ox for each co	only one question)
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	□No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		□No

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes
GENERAL CONDITIONS 1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 2. Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general 	all YesNo access eral
RELOCATABLE PLANT	(check 🗹 only one
 The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3</i> For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephon e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? -b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.9] to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation 	ne, YesNo 900(6)]
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air of permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.} b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at	operation nit: YesNo d so
the permitted facility?	

CHANGES	(check ☑	only one
Administrative Changes:	box for each	question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number associated with a change in ownership or with a physical releoperations comprising the facility; or any other similar mino If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 	ocation of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes	□No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that it d) A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new reg 30 days prior to the change? 	ement? Yes is substantially different? Yes Yes gistration form and the appropriate fee submitted	No No No No
FRANK DELGADO	2/27/2014	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	2/2015	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS CLOSED AND WILL BE	DEMOLISHED.	

REVIEWED
By Ray Gordon at 3:57 pm, Jul 28, 2014