

(check \square only one box for each question) \sqrt{TS}

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DIS	SCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0251175 DATE: <u>7/2/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:14 P</u>	M DEPART: 12:30	<u>PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: WRQ SOUTH AGGREGATE	PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 5900 NW 122 AVE				
MIAMI 33015				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: TIMOTHY BLAISDELL Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/3/2009 / 1/3/20 (effective date) (end da	14	PHONE: (305)822-5322 Mobile: PHONE: (305)822-5322 Mobile:		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-Co	OMPLIANCE SIGN	NIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	<u>, </u>	(chec	k ☑ only one r each question)	
Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:			1	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still RAYMOND If no, who is?:	MADDY?	\(\text{Y}	es No	
If different, did the facility provide an administrati 3. Is the facility contact still TIMOTHY BLAISDEL If no, who is?:			esNo	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today If yes, was the compliance authority notified at lea			esNo esNo	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –PRIMARY CRUSHER/ 800 TONS PER HOUR.

		(check 🗹	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
Ις	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processir		• /
15	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	□No
2.	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	□No
	☐ crusher, ☐ grinding mill, ☐ bucket elevator, ☐ belt conveyor, ☐ bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station;		
	crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	_
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or		_
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour) ?	Yes Yes	□No

<u>2 -PRIMARY CRUSHER/800 TONS PER HOUR.</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	ica	
	solely by well suppression systems is not considered to be saturated for purposes by this definition.		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
10	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	grinding film of storage off in the production fine:	1 Cs	
	(Note: Wet mining aparation means a mining or dradging aparation designed and aparated to extract		
	(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
7.0			
	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
١			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	∐ Yes	∐No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
10			
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	∐No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_	_
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes Yes	☐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the}$	_	_
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	a. Were mittal rugitive emissions from non-vent outlaing openings less than of equal to 7% opacity?	1 es	

2 -PRIMARY CRUSHER/ 800 TONS PER HOUR.

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	. 🔲 🥆	Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	□No
questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

2 -PRIMARY CRUSHER/ 800 TONS PER HOUR.

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
 a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor 	med on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?			/A	Yes Yes	☐ No
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through whith}\}$					
purpose of exhausting from a building	g air carrying particulai	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}				_	_
b. Was the EU found to be in complia				∐ Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre					
and	···· ,				
b. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	bber and the)	
device has been calibrated on an				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n	nust be certified by the i	manufacturer to be accurate with	hin +5%	_	_
of design scrubbing liquid flow					
24. When was the last VE test conducted					
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years?	☐ Yes	∐No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart				_ **	
i. has the EU been tested during				∐ Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?		☐ Yes	∐No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own	nor/onerator for this m	nit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate:	eess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate.		1 C5	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
r		(
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	<i>pector</i> for this unit du	ring this site visit?		☐ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity				_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		∐ Yes	□No
	VE Opac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart	OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	construc	ted, modifi	ed,
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or recon	structed on	or
	•	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
		1			

Emissions Unit Section 3 –**SECONDARY CRUSHERS (2).**

	1	(check ☑ oox for each	only one question)
			question)
<u>ls</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
1.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant		
	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	Yes	□No
	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	Yes	□No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes	□No
4.	Is the EU one of the following?	☐ Yes	□No
	crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation,		
	storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic		
	minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to,		
	but not including, the first storage silo or bin;		
	screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing		
	undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining		
	oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping		
	and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plant are not considered to be screening operations.)		
	building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in		
	compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through		
	which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building		
	air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
lf i	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
٠.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	□No
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	_	
_	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	□ 3 7	
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

3 –SECONDARY CRUSHERS (2).

{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screen which separates marketable fines from the product at all times such that the product is saturated with with sufficient surface moisture such that particul of the material through screening operations, buc		l ng	□No
{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or a any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated mater moisture such that particulate matter emissions a	saturated material up to the first crusher, ?	Yes	□No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, o	o directly to Question 24. is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstr	ructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following 13. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and tr If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following	system (equipment including enclosures, ransport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive	control device within 180 days of N/A with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? re emissions (escaping capture system)? opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
	vent control device within 180 days of N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive en	with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? missions from non-vent building openings? building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No

3 –SECONDARY CRUSHERS (2).

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

3 –SECONDARY CRUSHERS (2).

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi	ich there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particular	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}		•			
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
			- •		
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en				☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta					
a. a device for the continuous measur					
scrubber and the device has been				□ x7	
instructions?				∐ Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device m		nanufacturer to be accurate with	nn +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	ssure.}				
and	amont of the second !	liquid flore note to the over 1	.h.a a 1 41		
b. a device for the continuous measur					□ No
device has been calibrated on an {Note: The monitoring device m				☐ Yes	∐No
of design scrubbing liquid flow		nanufacturer to be accurate with	IIII +3%		
of design scrubbing fiquid flow	iaic. j				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the owner/operat	tor for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s			vears?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		r	,		
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar years?		☐ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	ır year?		Yes	□No
•		•			
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own				Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:				_	_
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			☐ Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.		_	_
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		☐ Yes	∟No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i>	naatar fan this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>insp</i>				Yes	□No
Rate:	cess rate that is represe	mative of the normal rate:			110
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to FPA Method 97.			☐ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp		<u> </u>		Yes	□No
a. Did aid v 2 test demonstrate comp.	Talled Williams Spacing				
		ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	000 EU	_
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		cted, modifi	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or recon	structed on	or
	_	to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
2 - 2 - 2		* *			

Emissions Unit Section 4 –SCREENING OPERATIONS AND BELT CONVEYORS

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Graning Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice	ty te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3. 4.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No
	the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
6.	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No

4-SCREENING OPERATIONS AND BELT CONVEYORS

b g { v a v	s the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	! ng	□No
d g { a n n t	s the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line lownstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
subp If th	aswer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to part OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. e answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. \	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If ar	nswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.I	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If ar	nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
a b c	nitial Tests: . Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
i	f the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: . Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
С	one of more agreered 203.) If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

4-SCREENING OPERATIONS AND BELT CONVEYORS

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			_
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	☐ Y	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	□No
questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

4-SCREENING OPERATIONS AND BELT CONVEYORS

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	□No
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	_		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			_
instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	_	1 00	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	□No
	_		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:	_		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Ш	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		_ ,,
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Ш	Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	No
Rate:	-		_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	☐ Yes	□ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		·
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	☐ Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	(check b ox for each c	only one question)
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	□No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		□No
if TES, what other general permit units of activities:		

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No No
1.	ENERAL CONDITIONS Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? Does the owner or operator: a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question) No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	S	□No
	The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2.	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	6)]	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operator permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	- Yes	□No □No □No

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)				
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nun associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mine. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3 	location of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? YesNo				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————					
FRANK DELGADO	7/2/2012				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	7/2013				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS TEMPORARILY CLOSED. THERE WAS NO ONE ON SITE.					

REVIEWED
By Ray Gordon at 1:51 pm, Jul 16, 2012