

$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS | · — | · · · — | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AIRS ID#: 7771276 DATE: <u>8/25/11</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:35</u> DEPART: <u>10:00</u> | | | | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME: RBG (Located at DAB Co | onstructors) | | | | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 3300 Northeast | t Parkway | | | | | | |
| SPRING HILL | 34604- | | | | | | |
| | M PI | HONE: (352)544-2646 Tobile: (727)919-7500 HONE: Tobile: | | | | | |
| DARTI INCRECTION COMPLIANCE CITA | Facility Section | | | | | | |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STA | | FICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | | |
| DARTH ONCIPE INTRODUCTION MEDI | ENIC | | | | | | |
| PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEET 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Rick G Brief Notes: | | (check ☑ only one box for each question) | | | | | |
| 2. Is the Authorized Representative still RICHA If no, who is?: | ARD GREEN? | | | | | | |
| If different, did the facility provide an admini 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?: | | | | | | | |
| 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during If yes, was the compliance authority notified | | | | | | | |

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-crusher (concrete),w/ RICdiesel engine,200T/hrcap

| | | (check ☑ | only one |
|----------|--|--|----------------|
| | b | ox for each o | question) |
| Is | the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock Stone (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlor and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice | g Plants? y e, Gravel; Galt; ride, Kernite, | question) |
| 2. 3. | Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? | | No No No |
| sul | answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. | | |
| 5. | Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFP part 60 subpart F or subpart I? | ☐ Yes | ⊠No |
| 6. | Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a | | |
| 7 | capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)? | ☐ Yes | ⊠No |
| | capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)? | ⊠ Yes | □No |
| 8. | Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)? | Yes | ⊠No |

1 -NMMP Plant-crusher (concrete),w/ RICdiesel engine,200T/hrcap

| 9. | Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? | ed l ng | Yes | ⊠No |
|-----------|---|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 10 | Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? | | Yes | ⊠No |
| | {Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.} | | | |
| su | answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. The answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. | | | |
| 11 | When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 12/31/1997 | | | |
| 12 | . Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008? | | Yes | ⊠No |
| <i>If</i> | answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 | | | |
| 13 | Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? | | Yes | □No |
| If | answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 | | | |
| 14 | a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? | | Yes Yes Yes Yes | ☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No |
| 15 | If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? ——————————————————————————————————— | | Yes | □ No |
| | one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? | | Yes Yes Yes | □No □No □No |

1 -NMMP Plant-crusher (concrete),w/ RICdiesel engine,200T/hrcap

| 16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU? | ` | Yes | □No |
|--|-------|---------------------------------|------------|
| If yes, the owner operator: | | | |
| uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); | | | |
| follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi | ng | | |
| as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or | | | |
| none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) | | | |
| | | | |
| 17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, | _ | | _ |
| were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A | □ ` | Yes | ∐ No |
| | | | |
| 18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? | □ ` | Yes | ∐No |
| If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: | | | |
| a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the | | | |
| scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's | | . 7 | |
| instructions? | · 📙 ՝ | Yes | ∐No |
| {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 | | | |
| pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} | | | |
| and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the | | | |
| device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? | | Vac | □No |
| {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% | Ш | 103 | |
| of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} | | | |
| of design scrubbing fiquid flow fate.} | | | |
| 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? | | | □ No |
| | 1 1 | res | I IINO |
| | Ш | res | ∐No |
| If yes: | Ш | res | ∐N0 |
| | | res | NO |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to | Ш | res | No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? | | i es | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete | | res | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? | | | □No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, | | | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, | | Yes | No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | Yes | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? | | Yes | No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: | | Yes | No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of | | Yes Yes | □No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | Yes Yes | No No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | Yes Yes Yes Yes | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | NoNoNoNoNo |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? | | Yes Yes Yes Yes | |

1 -NMMP Plant-crusher (concrete),w/ RICdiesel engine,200T/hrcap

| 22. If the EU is a building enclosing any | other regulated EUs | and all enclosed EUs are not | | | |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|------|
| individually in compliance with emi | ssions limits: | | | | |
| a. Was an initial PM stack test perform | med on each vent contr | ol device within 180 days of | | | |
| initial startup of the EU? | | | 'A | ☐ Yes | ☐ No |
| {A "vent" is any opening through whi | | | | | |
| purpose of exhausting from a building | air carrying particular | te matter (PM) emissions from | | | |
| one or more affected EUs.} | | | | | |
| b. Was the EU found to be in complia | | | | ∐ Yes | ∐No |
| c. Were initial fugitive emissions from | n non-vent building op | enings less than or equal to 7% of | opacity? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| 23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en | nissions from the EU? | | | Yes | □No |
| If yes, does the owner/operator mainta | | | | _ | _ |
| a. a device for the continuous measur | ement of the pressure lo | oss of the gas stream through the |) | | |
| scrubber and the device has beer | calibrated on an annua | al basis in accordance with manu | ıfacturer's | | |
| instructions? | | | | ☐ Yes | □No |
| {Note: The monitoring device m | ust be certified by the i | manufacturer to be accurate with | nin +250 | | |
| pascals +1 inch water gauge pres | ssure.} | | | | |
| and | | | | | |
| b. a device for the continuous measur | | | | | |
| device has been calibrated on an | | | | ☐ Yes | ∐No |
| {Note: The monitoring device m | | manufacturer to be accurate with | ıın +5% | | |
| of design scrubbing liquid flow | rate.} | | | | |
| 24. When was the last VE test conducte | d by the owner/onerat | tor for this EU? 11/25/08 | | | |
| a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s | | | vears? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart | • | e seem tested within the past s | , cars. | | |
| i. has the EU been tested during | | ndar years? | | ☐ Yes | ⊠No |
| ii. has the EU been tested yet wi | thin the current calenda | ır year? | | Yes | □No |
| · | | • | | | |
| 25. Was a VE test conducted by the own | | | | Yes | ∐No |
| a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro | cess rate that is represe | entative of the normal rate? | | ⊠ Yes | No |
| Rate: <u>210</u> | | | | <u> </u> | |
| b. Was the VE test conducted accordi | | | | Yes Yes | ∟No |
| c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of | | | | | |
| d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl | nance with the opacity | limit? (See chart below) | | ⊠ Yes | ∐No |
| 26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp | pector for this unit du | ring this site visit? | | ☐ Yes | ⊠No |
| a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro | | | | Yes | ☐No |
| Rate: | 1 | | | _ | _ |
| b. Was the VE test conducted accordi | ng to EPA Method 9? - | | | ☐ Yes | □No |
| c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of | | | | | |
| d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl | iance with the opacity | limit? (See chart below) | | Yes Yes | □No |
| | | | | | |
| | VE Opac | ity Limits | | | |
| | EU not subject to | Subpart OOO EU | Subpart | OOO EU | |
| | 40 CFR 60 | constructed, modified, | - | cted, modifi | ied. |
| | Subpart OOO | or reconstructed prior | | structed or | · . |
| | Suspart OOO | to 4/22/2008 | after 4/2 | | . 01 |
| Crusher with no capture system | 20% | 15% | arter 7/2 | 12% | |
| All other affected EUs | 20% | 10% | | 7% | |
| 7 III other affected Los | 2070 | 1070 | | 1 /0 | |

Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-crusher power source, RIC diesel engine, 300 hp

| | | (check 🗹 | only one |
|-----------|--|---|--------------------------|
| | ł | ox for each | question) |
| <u>Is</u> | the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} | ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, | 1 |
| 2. 3. | Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? | | ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No |
| su | answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5. | | |
| 6. 7. | Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? | ☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes | ∴.No ∴.No ∴.No |
| ō. | Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)? | Yes | ⊠No |

2 –NMMP Plant-crusher power source, RIC diesel engine, 300 hp

| 9. | Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or | | |
|-----|--|--------------|------|
| | belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, | | |
| | grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | {Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or | _ | _ |
| | which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat | ed | |
| | at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia | | |
| | with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi | | |
| | of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet | | |
| | solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.} | ica | |
| | solely by the supplession systems is not considered to be summated for purposes by this definitionly | | |
| 10 | Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line | | |
| 10 | downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, | | |
| | grinding mill or storage bin in the production line? | Yes | □No |
| | grinding finit of storage on in the production line. | 1 C5 | |
| | {Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract | | |
| | any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic | | |
| | mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface | | |
| | moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material | | |
| | through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by | | |
| | | | |
| | wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.} | | |
| 1£ | anguan to any of the six Overtions 5, 10, above is "Ver" than the EU is not subject to | | |
| | answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to | | |
| | bpart 000 so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. | | |
| IJ | the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. | | |
| 11 | When mee the EU lest constructed medical or reconstructed? | | |
| 11 | .When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? | | |
| 12 | W-4L EU | □ 3 7 | □ N. |
| 12 | . Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008? | ☐ Yes | ∐No |
| TC | and the Control of th | | |
| IJ | answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 | | |
| 12 | Doog the EII have a particulate metter conture queter (equipment including analogues | | |
| 13 | Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, | □ Vac | □ No |
| | Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? | ☐ Yes | ∐No |
| Τſ | august to Overtion 12 is "No" object to following avertions and as directly to Overtion 10 | | |
| IJ | answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 | | |
| 1 1 | Initial Tasta | | |
| 14 | .Initial Tests: | | |
| | a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of | □ 3 7 | □ N. |
| | initial startup of the EU? N/A | ∐ Yes | ∐ No |
| | b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? | ∐ Yes | ∐No |
| | c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? | ∐ Yes | ∐No |
| | d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity? | ☐ Yes | ∐No |
| | | | |
| 15 | If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not | | |
| | individually in compliance with emissions limits: | | |
| | a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of | | |
| | initial startup of the EU? | Yes Yes | ∐ No |
| | $\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the}$ | | |
| | purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from | | |
| | one or more affected EUs.} | | |
| | b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? | Yes | □No |
| | | _ | |

2 –NMMP Plant-crusher power source, RIC diesel engine, 300 hp

| 16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU? | | Yes | □No |
|--|-----|--------------------------|------|
| If yes, the owner operator: | | | |
| uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); | | | |
| follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi | ng | | |
| as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or | | | |
| none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) | | | |
| | | | |
| 17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, | _ | | _ |
| were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A | | Yes | ∐ No |
| | | | |
| 18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU? | Ш | Yes | ∐No |
| If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: | | | |
| a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the | | | |
| scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's | | * 7 | |
| instructions? | · Ш | Yes | ∐No |
| {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 | | | |
| pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and | | | |
| b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the | | | |
| device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? | | Vec | □No |
| {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% | Ш | 103 | |
| of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} | | | |
| of design serubbing fiquid flow rate. | | | |
| | | | |
| 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? | | Yes | □No |
| 19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU? | | Yes | □No |
| If yes: | | Yes | □No |
| | | Yes | □No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to | | Yes | □No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? | | Yes | □No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, | | | □No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? | | | □No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, | | Yes | No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | Yes | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? | | Yes | No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: | | Yes | No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of | | Yes | No |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | Yes Yes | NoNo |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | Yes Yes | |
| If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? | | Yes Yes Yes Yes | NoNo |

2 –NMMP Plant-crusher power source, RIC diesel engine, 300 hp

| 22. If the EU is a building enclosing an | y other regulated EUs | and all enclosed EUs are not | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| individually in compliance with em | | | | |
| a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo | rmed on each vent contr | rol device within 180 days of | | |
| initial startup of the EU? | | | J/A Yes | ☐ No |
| {A "vent" is any opening through wh | | | | |
| purpose of exhausting from a buildin | g air carrying particula | tte matter (PM) emissions from | | |
| one or more affected EUs.} | | | _ | |
| b. Was the EU found to be in compli | | | | ∐No |
| c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro | om non-vent building op | penings less than or equal to 7% | opacity? Yes | □No |
| 23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e | missions from the EU? | · | Yes | ∏No |
| If yes, does the owner/operator maint | | | | |
| a. a device for the continuous measu | | loss of the gas stream through th | ne | |
| scrubber and the device has bee | | | | |
| instructions? | | | | □No |
| | | manufacturer to be accurate with | | |
| pascals +1 inch water gauge pre | • | manufacturer to be accurate with | | |
| and | obbaro. j | | | |
| b. a device for the continuous measu | rement of the scrubbing | liquid flow rate to the wet scru | ibber and the | |
| device has been calibrated on a | | | | □No |
| | | manufacturer to be accurate with | | |
| of design scrubbing liquid flow | | manufacturer to be accurate with | IIIII 1570 | |
| or wesign serviceing inquie ite | 14001 | | | |
| 24. When was the last VE test conduct | ed by the owner/opera | ntor for this EU? | | |
| a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 | | | years? Yes | ⊠No |
| b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar | | se been tested within the past s | years1es | 210 |
| i. has the EU been tested durin | | endar vears? | | □No |
| ii. has the EU been tested yet w | ithin the current calend | ar vear? | Yes | □No |
| m mus une de com testeu yet w | |) • · | | |
| 25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow | <i>ner/operator</i> for this u | unit during this site visit? | X Yes | □No |
| a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr | | | | □No |
| Rate: | occos race anal is represe | | | |
| b. Was the VE test conducted accord | ling to EPA Method 9? | | X Yes | □No |
| c. The VE test resulted in an opacity | | | | |
| d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp | | | X Yes | □No |
| | priance with the spacity | | | |
| 26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i> | s <i>nector</i> for this unit du | ring this site visit? | Yes | ⊠No |
| a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr | | | | □No |
| Rate: | | | | |
| b. Was the VE test conducted accord | ling to EPA Method 9? | | Yes | □No |
| c. The VE test resulted in an opacity | | | | |
| d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp | | | Yes | □No |
| | · ···································· | (222222000) | | |
| | | | | |
| | VE Opac | city Limits | | |
| | EU not subject to | Subpart OOO EU | Subpart OOO EU | · |
| | 40 CFR 60 | constructed, modified, | constructed, modi | fied, |
| | Subpart OOO | or reconstructed prior | or reconstructed o | · · |
| | | to 4/22/2008 | after 4/22/2008 | |
| C1 | 20% | 15% | 12% | |
| I Critcher With no cantilre everem | | | | |
| Crusher with no capture system All other affected EUs | 20% | 10% | 7% | |

Facility Section (continued)

| REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS | (check 🗹 box for each | • |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined | | - |
| emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? | ⊠ Yes | □ No |
| b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control | ⊠ Yes □ Yes | ☐ No ☐ No |
| of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of | ☐ Yes | ☐ No |
| particulate matter from stock piles? 🖂 N/A | Yes Yes | ☐ No |
| 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Yes Yes | □ No □No |
| | | |
| CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY | (check 🗹 box for each o | only one question) |
| Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? | X Yes X Yes | No No No |
| 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? | or | ⊠No |
| b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? | | ⊠No |

| <u>(</u> | Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? | - ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes - ⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes | No No No No |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? | ption | No |
| GI | ENERAL CONDITIONS | / 1 1 T | 7 . |
| | | (check box for ea | only one ach question) |
| | Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices? Does the owner or operator: | ☐ Yes | □No |
| ዾ. | a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? | ☐ Yes | □No |
| 3. | terms and conditions of the air general permit? | Yes | □No |
| ٥. | to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? | | No |
| | | | 1 |
| | The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.) | (check box for ea | ✓ only one ach question) |
| 2. | For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? | | _ |
| 3. | If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operate permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose? If YES, what was the purpose? {Note: crushing recycled asphalt pavement (rap) at an asphalt plant is considered routine and so therefore must be authorized in the facility's air construction or operation permit.} b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? | ☐ Yes | No |
| | If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period? | ∐ Yes | ∐No |

| CHANGES Administrative Changes: | (check ☑ only one box for each question) | |
|--|--|--|
| Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days. | on of the facility or any emissions units or ninistrative change at the facility? Yes \(\subseteq \)No | |
| New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sub d) A change in ownership? | t? Yes No stantially different? Yes No Yes No ion form and the appropriate fee submitted No | |
| Max Grondahl | 8/25/11 | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection | |
| Inspector's Signature | 8/25/14 Approximate Date of Next Inspection | |
| hispector's Signature | Approximate Date of Next Hispection | |

COMMENTS: Initial compliance test for new owner. Owner, Rick Green, has installed belt scales on two conveyor belts. The NMMP consists of a crusher/screener and two portable conveyor belts. Rick has calibrated the belt scale by transporting 20 tons into a truck, then measuring the truck on DAB's truck scale. The plant was running about 200 tph during the VE tests today. It was processing recycled asphalt pavement. Rick said sometimes he has noticed the rate going up to around 215 tons per hour. There is some fluctuation. Maggie Cangro was on site to conduct the VE tests. There are 5 emission points, which I have added to ARMS under EU 001. EU 002 consists of the diesel engine. I discussed fuel use records with Rick. There are none currently available since this was initial operation, but he will maintain records based on gallons added to the crusher and/or operating hours. 9/29/11 update: Records were provided to our office with the test report showing hours of operation, tons of material crushed, avg tons per hour, and water usage.