

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ⊠ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) □					
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FA	CILITY: Niagara Concrete, Inc.	DISTRICT:				
DE	A/Site Name:	Southwest				
ΑI	DDRESS: 10776 66th Street No.	CONTACT PHONE	•			
	Pinellas Park, FL		727-544-1127			
AF	RMS NO:	PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: Renewal Date: 9/5/	10/5/17		
7775242 001		7775242-003-AG	Test Date: 9/3/	12/19/00		
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Concrete batch plant consisting of a Belgrade Model 270BB Portable cement silo controlled by a Belgrade Model BST 150 baghouse with 150 square feet of collection surface.						
IN	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check □ only one box)				
7	7/31/13	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance				
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review			⊠Yes ☐ No		
2.	Introduction and Entry			⊠Yes ☐ No		
	Comments: This inspection was perfor	rmed in order to determine if this facility has be	en operating within app	licable		
		er) was present during the facility inspection.				
3.	Is the Authorized Representative st	ill: Richard Miller?		⊠Yes ☐ No		
	Comments:	garaganarata gam				
4.	The e-mail address is: richard@nia Is the facility contact still: Matt Mi			⊠Yes □ No		
т.	Comments:	ner.				
	The e-mail address is: matt@niagra	concret.com				
5.						
	[62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]					
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
Cor	npliance Demonstration		<u> </u>	<u>2</u>		
 New Facilities / New Process Equipment – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? — Yes No Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust 						
		(annually thereafter) of the previous visible em		⊠ Yes □ No		
compliance test?						
3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit? Yes No The last visible emission test, conducted on 12/19/12_ resulted in an opacity of 0% for the highest six minute average. [62-296.414(1) F.A.C.]						
4. Was the department notified at least 15 days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A.C.] \boxtimes Yes \square N						
5. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b) ⊠ Yes No						
6.	6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] 🗵 Yes 🔲 No					
7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]						

PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer					
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.)					
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? $[62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]$ \square Yes \square No					
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and					
duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]					
If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from					
the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching					
at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.] 🗌 Yes 🔲 No					
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? 🗌 Yes 🔯 No					
a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>n/a</u> % for the highest six minute average.					
b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard? Yes No					
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.					
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Is this facility: 1) a \boxtimes stationary; 2) a \square relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, \square stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check \square only one box.)					
For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concrete batching plants					
or nonmetallic mineral processing plants: a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? $[62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]$ \square Yes \boxtimes No					
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel usages					
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]					
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel – usage equals gallons					
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons					
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet					
 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons 5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fuels 					
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and					
are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?					
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.] \square Yes \square No					
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)					
Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or					
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)					
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication					
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location? Yes No					
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?					
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below					
Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at least five (5) business days prior to relocation?					
reasifice (a) animens and a prior to resocution.					
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>					
(check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
l. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control					
unconfined emissions					
Which of the following methods are used: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control					
emissions? Yes \(\sum \) No					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?					
particulate matter from stock piles?					

PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)				
(check \square appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?				

PART V: <u>General Procedure Requirements and Conditions</u> (check □ appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)					
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No					
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.] 1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?					
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?					
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership					
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2] a) installation of any new process equipment?					
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.] 1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?					
PART VI: Comments					
 O&M Plan The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to: Operating parameters of the pollution control device; Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer; Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the permit applicant; A record log which will indicate, at a minimum: When maintenance and observations were performed; What maintenance and observations were performed; and Who performed said maintenance and observations. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check. [Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128] 					
Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 9/1/12 through 8/31/13. See attached O&M log sheets.					
The monthly inspection was not performed for several months and was not recorded on the monthly log record. Mr. Tim Schult stated					
he performed monthly inspection, but forgot to record it in the monthly inspection log record. Some items that were not inspected					
monthly were inspected during pneumatic loading of the silo inspection. The emission unit maintenance log was missing the year					

the maintenance was performed. I gave Mr. Schuldt a verbal	l warning. I explained to Mr. Schuldt that he needed to maintain record
log in accordance with the O&M plan.	
Exit Interview: I informed Mr. Schuldt he needed to perform of	and record monthly inspection in the log record and to make sure the
maintenance log shows the year when maintenance was perfo	orm on the emission unit.
He promised to make corrections to the log record ASAP.	
Mile O' Til	5,01,112
Mike Ojo Thomas Inspector's Name	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
H:\USERS\WPDOCS\Airqual\Air_Compliance\A	

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06