

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐					
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FA	CILITY: Niagara Readi-Mix, Inc.	DISTRICT:				
DB.	A/Site Name: Pinellas Park Facili	Southwest				
ADDRESS: 10776 66th Street North			CONTACT PHONE:			
	Pinellas Park, FL		727-544-1127			
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO:	Expiration Date: 11/30/2013			
7775242 001		7775242-002-AG	Renewal Date: 10/31/2013 Test Date: 3/29/2000			
<i>EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION:</i> Concrete batch plant consisting of a Belgrade Model 270BB Portable cement silo controlled by a Belgrade Model BST 150 baghouse with 150 square feet of collection surface.						
INS	PECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)				
7,	/15/2010	☐ In Compliance; ☐ Minor Non-Compliance; ☐ Significant Non-Compliance				
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review		⊠Yes □ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments: This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Mrs. Patricia Orcutt (manager) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative still Patricia A. Orcutt?					
	Comments: Patricia Orcutt stills the Authorized Representative.					
4.	Is the facility contact still Patricia A. Orcutt?					
5.						
5. If the answer to 3 or 4 is "No", did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.]						
PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
<u>Co</u> 1.	Compliance Demonstration 1. □ New Facilities / □ New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?□ Yes □ No					
2.	2. \(\sum \) Existing Facilities \(- \) (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test? \(\sum \) Yes \(\subseteq \) No					
3.	Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?					
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A.C.] Yes No			
5.	Was the required test report filed with test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)	the department as soon as practical, but no late	er than 45 days after the			
6.	6. Was the facility visible emissions test(s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No					
	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? [62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]				
8. Are emissions from a weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answ to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9.)				
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration? [62-296.414(3)(c), F.A.C.]	e and			
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is se the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector whi at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]	le batching			
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according to EPA Method 9? Yes No a) The visible emission test resulted in an opacity ofn/a% for the highest six minute average. b) Did the test indicate the facility is operating in compliance with the 5% opacity standard?				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate nonce 1. Is this facility: 1) $a \boxtimes$ stationary; 2) $a \square$ relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, \square stationary and				
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)				
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concr or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:	•			
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.] b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to the fuel using the law. [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b. F.A.C.]	sages			
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]	les lino			
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals gallons				
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals	% of all fuels			
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain record account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) m are these records available for Department inspection for a period of at least five (5) years?				
[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]	☐ Yes ☐ No			
<u>Relocation Notification</u> - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation of	or			
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communications.				
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.90	Yes No			
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?				
 If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) 				
least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	Yes No			
PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate nonce. 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control.	ompliance)			
 Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of t				
 Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	ontrol			
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/oy re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	perator to			

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of				
particulate matter from stock piles?				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?				
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) Administrative Changes:				
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative				
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions				
units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility Yes No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? [62-210.310(2)(d), F.A.C.] Yes No				
Permit Effective Period – [62-210.310(3)(a), F.A.C.]				
1. Is the general permit for this facility still within the 5 year effective period?				
2. Did the facility submit the new re-registration form at least 30 days prior to permit expiration?				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership				
1. Since the last registration form submittal has there been [62-210.310 (2)(b)2]				
a) installation of any new process equipment?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form?				
If any of the answers to $1a(1-1)d$ is Yes, a new registration form and appropriate fee should				
have been submitted 30 days prior to the change No				
Noncompliance Notice: - [62-210.310(3)(i), F.A.C.]				
1. Did the facility have any instances where they were unable to comply with or will be unable to comply with any condition or limitation of the air general permit?				
If the answer is \underline{Yes} , proceed to a) and b).				
a) Did the owner or operator provide immediate notification to the Department?				
1. A description of and cause of noncompliance? 🗌 Yes 🔲 No				
2. The period of noncompliance, including dates and times; or if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?				
tonumue, and steps being taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the honcompliance:				
PART VI: Comments				
O&M Plan				
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the operation and maintenance (O&M) plan. The O&M plan shall include, but is not limited to:				
(1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device;				
(2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution control device as specified by the manufacturer;(3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation;				
(4) A list of the type and quantity of the required spare parts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the				
permit applicant;				
(5) A record log which will indicate, at a minimum:a. When maintenance and observations were performed;				
b. What maintenance and observations were performed; and				
c. Who performed said maintenance and observations.d. Acceptable parameter ranges for each operational check.				
[Pinellas County Code, Subsection 58-128]				
Comments: Reviewed records for the months of 7/01/09 through 7/14/10, records were in compliance. See attached O&M log sheets.				

The emission unit was not in operation (No pneumatic loading of silo at the time). She stated the last time they pneumatically

loaded the silo was on 7/8/10. Ms. Orcutt stated their business has been	en very slow. I told Ms. Orcutt to let me known when			
they plan to pneumatic loading the silo.				
Exit Interview: During the closing conference, I told Ms. Orcutt, the emission unit is deemed to be incompliance.				
Miles Oie Thomas	7/15/10			
Mike Ojo Thomas Inspector's Name	7/15/10 Date of Inspection			

Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
H:\users\wpdocs\airqual\Air_Compliance\AQI\7775242 001 71128.doc				

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06