

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐					
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
FACILITY: Niagara Readi-Mix, Inc.			DISTRICT:			
DBA/Site Name: Pinellas Park Plant			Southwest			
AD	DRESS: 10776 66th Street No.	CONTACT PHONE:				
Pinellas Park, FL			727-544-1127			
ARMS NO:		PERMIT NO: Expiration Date: 6/24/09				
7775242 001		7775242-001-AG	Renewal Date: 5/25/09 Test Date: 3/28/00			
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION: Concrete batch plant consisting of a Belgrade Model 270BB Portable cement silo controlled by a Belgrade Model BST 150 baghouse with 150 square feet of collection surface.						
INS	SPECTION DATE:	INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)				
9	/16/08		liance; Significant Non-Compliance			
		PART I: General Review:				
1.	Permit File Review		∑Yes ☐ No			
2.	Introduction and Entry		⊠Yes □ No			
	Comments: This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Mrs. Patricia Orcutt (manager) was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit.					
3.	Is the Authorized Representative sti		□Yes ⊠ No			
	Comments : Mrs. Patricia Orcutt stated her husband has been departed about a year. She stated she is the new Authorized Representative.					
4.	Is the facility contact still Gerald Orcutt?					
5.						
PART II: TESTING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.						
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)						
Compliance Demonstration 1. New Facilities / New Process Equipment— (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits) Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?						
2. \(\subseteq \textbf{Existing Facilities} - \text{(permitted pursuant to Rule 62-296.414(4)(a), F.A.C., Air General Permits)} \) In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted on each dust collector exhaust point tested within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?\(\subseteq \text{Yes} \) \(\subseteq \text{No} \)						
3.	Test Reports 3. Do the submitted visible emission tests demonstrate compliance with the 5 percent opacity limit?					
4.	Was the department notified at least 15	days prior to the test? [62-297.310(4)(a)9. F.A	A.C.] Yes No			
5.	Was the required test report filed with test was completed? [62-297.310(8)(b)	the department as soon as practical, but no late	er than 45 days after the			
6.	Was the facility visible emissions test(:	s) conducted according to EPA Method 9? [62-	.297.401(9)(c), F.A.C] Yes No			
7.	7. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					

PART II: <u>TESTING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)				
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? $[62-296.414(3), F.A.C.]$ ————————————————————————————————————				
8. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 8.a) and 8.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip to question 9				
a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? [62-296.414(3(c)), F.A.C.]				
9. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? [62-296.414(3)(d), F.A.C.]				
10. Was a visible emissions test(s) conducted by the inspector during this site visit according?				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Is this facility: 1) a \(\subseteq \) stationary; 2) a \(\subseteq \) relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, \(\subseteq \) stationary and relocatable				
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check only one box.)				
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concreted batching plants or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:				
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility? [62-210.310(5)(b)4.a., F.A.C.]				
listed below: [62-210.310(5)(b)4.b., F.A.C.]				
 2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline – usage equals gallons 3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas – usage equals cubic feet 				
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane – usage equals cubic jeet 4) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite – usage equals % of all fuels				
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain records to				
account for site-wide fuel consumption for each calendar month and each consecutive twelve (12) months, and <i>are</i> these records, available for Department inspection, for a period of at least				
five (5) years? $[62-210.310(5)(b)4.d., F.A.C.]$				
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.) 1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or				
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)				
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location?				
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation? Yes No				
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at				
least five (5) business days prior to relocation? Yes 🛛 No				
PART IV: Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2) (check appropriate bay(cs) if a sheded bay is sheded this would indicate personnellings)				
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance) 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control				
unconfined emissions				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) Paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?				

PART IV: <u>Unconfined Emissions - 62-296.414(2)</u>			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?			
Note: The yard area was free of dust. There was no unconfined material onsite. The entire facility is located on a concrete ground. The facility uses a sweeper for the yard. The stockpiles materials areas had separate enclosures with sprinklers systems.			
PART V: General Procedure Requirements and Conditions			
(check appropriate box(es), if a shaded box is checked, this would indicate noncompliance)			
Administrative Changes:			
1. Were there any change in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative			
not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions			

PART VI: Comments

O&M Plan	
The pollution control equipment shall be operated and maintain plan shall include, but is not limited to: (1) Operating parameters of the pollution control device; (2) Time table for the routine maintenance of the pollution (3) Time table for routine periodic observations of the pollution	lution control device sufficient to ensure proper operation; rts for the pollution control device which are stored on the premises of the rmed; rmed; and ons. al check.
Commensor iteriorical records for the months of 11/01/00 t	in ough 7/10/00, records were in compliance.
Mike Ojo Thomas Inspector's Name	9/16/2008 Date of Inspection
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

 $H: \label{lem:hall} H: \label{lem:hall} Air_Compliance \label{lem:hall} AQI \label{lem:hall} $$H: \label{lem$

4 of 3 Revised 01/05/06