

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0251171 DATE: <u>3/26/2008</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:05 AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:30 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: BROOK PRODUCTS			
FACILITY LOCATION: 7311 NW 77 Street			
MEDLEY 33166-2205			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID PITERSKI PHONE: (305)887-3527			
CONTACT NAME: KAREN BARNES PHONE: (305)887-3527			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/16/2004 / 5/15/2009 (effective date) (end date)			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
 Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of tannual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🛚
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
(check M appropriate box(cs))				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, ar	nd yards, which shall include one or more of the fo	lowing:		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \(\subseteq Yes \) \(\subseteq No \)				
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control				
emissions?		⊠Yes □ No		
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \(\simeg\)Yes \(\simeg\) No				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of		_		
particulate matter from stock piles?		⊠Yes □ No		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitig	gate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠Yes □ No		
	• •			
DIRECT CONDITIONS AND BROKEDINES	7 7 7 70 010 000/4//DA TA C	1		
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
4 02 4 1 42 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4				
1. Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?		☐Yes ☐ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form?				
	<u>*</u>			
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4)	FAC) to the appropriate DEP or			
local program office?		∐Yes ∐ No		
FRANK DELGADO	3/26/2008			
FRANK DELGADO	3/20/2008			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	3/2009			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_		
COMMENTS: ON MARCH 26, 2008 I VISITED THIS FAC	TLITY TO CONDUCT THE ANNUAL COMPLE	ANCE		

COMMENTS: ON MARCH 26, 2008 I VISITED THIS FACILITY TO CONDUCT THE ANNUAL COMPLIANCE INSPECTION. EARLIER THIS MORNING A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS PERFORMED ON THE SILO'S DUST COLLECTOR BY DAVID JONES.

THE SILO WAS BEING LOADED AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS WHILE I WAS ON SITE. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY. THE HOUSEKEEPING IS GOOD.