and the second
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0251171 DATE: <u>6/6/07</u> FACILITY NAME: BROOK PRODUCTS	ARRIVE: <u>11:45 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:15 PM</u>	
FACILITY LOCATION: 7311 NW 77 Street MEDLEY 33166			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: DAVID PITERSKI	PHONE: (3	05)887-3527	
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTIT	FLEMENT PERIOD: 5/16/2004 (effective date)	/ 5/15/2009 (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emissions tests conducted during t 62-297, F.A.C.)? Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batch controlled to the extent necessary to limit visibl During visible emissions tests of the silo dust ca at a rate that is representative of the normal silo unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) to this question is "Yes", then continue on to question 5 a) Was the batching operation in operation durib) During the visible emissions test, was the baduration? If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) of from the silo dust collector, are the visible emission durates and the state of the silo dust collector, are the visible emission from the silo dust collector, are that is represented to the state of the sta	his site visit according to EPA Method hers), and other enclosed storage and co e emissions to 5 percent opacity? ollector exhaust points was the loading loading rate, or at least at the minimum operation controlled by the silo dust co hestions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer .)	19 (Ref.: Chapter Yes No onveying equipment Yes No Yes No of the silo conducted m 25 tons per hour rate, No ollector? (If answer Yes No is "No" then Yes No Yes No al batching rate and Yes No tor, which is separate on on er) dust collector Yes No	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)		
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)		
2. Did this facility demonstrate:		
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?		
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No		
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xes Yes No 		
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes ∑No 		

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹	appropriate	box(es))
----------	-------------	---------	---

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ⊠ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processis plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to guestions $2 a$) there $2 d$) below.)	ng Yes No
	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for	
	□Yes □ No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes No
	 plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below</i>.)

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No	
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions?	
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No	
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No	

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1.	Sinc	the last inspection has there been		
	a)	installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
	b)	alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
	c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
		recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
	d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
		notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
		local program office?	Yes	🗌 No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

6/6/07

Date of Inspection

6/2008

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: THIS FACILITY HAS ONE CEMENT SILO WITH A HOPPER/MIXER. THE FACILITY WAS OPERATIONAL AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS CONDUCTED ON 5/23/07. THEY MANUFACTURE CONCRETE MANHOLES, WATER METER BOXES AND OTHER CONCRETE STRUCTURES. THE SILO IS FILLED WITH CEMENT EVERY THREE (3) WEEKS. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.