Statement HORON	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISC ARMS COMPLAIN	
AIRS ID#: 1010493 DA	TE: <u>2/9/12</u>	ARRIVE: <u>710</u>	DEPART: <u>1040</u>
FACILITY NAME: ZE	PHYRHILLS RM & BLOCK FA	CILITY	
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 3946 COPELAND DR		
	ZEPHYRHILLS 33542	-8402	
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: jasonp.jones@ CONTACT NAME: JA Email: jasonp.jones@ ENTITLEMENT PERIO	ASON JONES @cemex.com	M PI M	HONE: (813)269-1240 Iobile: (813)363-6112 HONE: (813)269-1240 Iobile: (813)363-6112

Facility Section

PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check U only one box)

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

	ART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING Name(s) of facility representative(s):	(check U box for each	only one question)
	Brief Notes: Steve Dickey, Plant Manager, David Bellemore, Block Plant manager		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still JASON JONES? If no, who is?:	Xes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still JASON JONES? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?		□No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 –north compartment on split cement silo (north) subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
 Date of last inspection: <u>3-31-09</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No □ No □ No
r	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	🗌 No
control emissions?	🗌 No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? X Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Xes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	D No No

Emissions Unit Section

DADEL ENERDEVEN ADIOD TO INSPECTION	
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	
1. Date of last inspection: <u>3/31/09</u>	
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Yes	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions $< 20\%$ opacity? \square N/A \square Yes	∐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	
	<u> </u>
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes	🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	_
control emissions? 🔀 Yes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? X Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? X Yes	\square No
1 W for 1 1 to constitute the set of the term in interaction of the term 10 M $W_{\rm eff}$	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	∐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	_
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	∐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [Yes	No No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section <u>3 –west compartment on split flyash silo (south) subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
 Date of last inspection: <u>3/31/09</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	· 🗍 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiner emissions by:	ed	
	f-11 or vin ou	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		🗌 No
 application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	🕅 Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🖂 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?	· 🖾 Yes	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	_	_
 a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 	· 🗌 Yes	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	_	_

Emissions Unit Section <u>4 –east compartment on split flyash silo (south) subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
 Date of last inspection: <u>3/31/09</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗍 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Fonns, Roaus, Farking Areas, Stock Fnes, and Farus		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ıed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Yes	🗌 No
control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	· 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
 a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	∐ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>5 – scale vent with filter subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
 Date of last inspection: <u>3/31/09</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine	ed	
emissions by:		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f	ollowing:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to		
control emissions?	- 🛛 Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?		🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	103	
particulate matter from stock piles?	\bigtriangledown Vac	□ No
	i les	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes Yes	∐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	—	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	∐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	∐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section <u>6 –central dust collector controlling truck load out subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
 Date of last inspection: <u>3/31/09</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
 <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u> 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	🗌 No
control emissions? Yes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? X Yes	🗌 No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Xes	🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section <u>7 –single compartment cement silo (block plant) subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 3/31/09 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? ☐ Yes If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ☐ Yes b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? ☐ N/A ☐ Yes	D No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u>	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	🗌 No
control emissions? Yes	🗌 No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? Xes	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? Yes	D No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? [] Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	L No

Emissions Unit Section <u>8 – mixer vent with filter (block plant) subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: <u>3/31/09</u>		
2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🛛 Yes	\square No
If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?		\square No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions $< 20\%$ opacity? \square N/A	Yes	∐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		l
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
PART II: FIELD UBSER VATIONS - KUIE 02-290.414(2), F.A.C.		
Uncerfined Frieders from Twell Looding and Unloading Honnors Storage and		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
<u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi	ned	
emissions by:		
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to		
control emissions?	🖂 Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	\bigtriangledown Vac	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🖂 Yes	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🖂 Yes	🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	_	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	- 🗌 Yes	∐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	- 🗌 Yes	No No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check U	only one
	box for each	•
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 	Xes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 		🛛 No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		🛛 No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	No No No No No No No No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal pro275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	·	🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check U box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
devices?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
2. Does the owner or operator:		
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
 b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? 3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access 		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check U box for each	•
1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🗋; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable 🗌 concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the following</i>)		•
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900] 		🗌 No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	🗌 Yes 6)]	□ No □ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation pe and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose? 		🗌 No
 b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? 	🗌 Yes 🗍 Yes	D No No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check U box for each	
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represent associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	nits or 🔲 Yes	⊠ No □ No
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No

4	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted	1	
	30 days prior to the change?	res	

Max Grondahl

Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature

2-9-12

Date of Inspection

2-9-15

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Matt Welborn with Arlington is tester on site. Visible emissions tested for all points. Only detected emissions were at the batch plant truck load out dust collector. They appeared to be allowable though Steve said the dust collector cartridges had not been inspected recently. He said they would replace cartridges if needed. I showed him the dust while one truck was being loaded and he agreed conditions could be improved. No visible emissions were observed at any other tested points. Fuel use records are not required as there is no fuel used in any facility components.

No