A AND
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 7775238 DATE: 6/14/2007 ARRIVE: 11:00 AM DEPART: 11:41 AM
FACILITY NAME: LAFARGE FT WALTON BEACH CONCRETE PLANT
FACILITY LOCATION: 1771 FIM Blvd
FORT WALTON BEACH 32549
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JAMES FORBES PHONE: (678)746-2295
CONTACT NAME: Danny Byrd PHONE:
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2007 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/16/2005 / 9/16/2010 (effective date) / 9/16/2010
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter
62-297, F.A.C.)?
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? XYes No
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,
unless such rate is unachievable in practice?
to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.) Xestimation Section 2.)
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and
duration?
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
<u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) ∑Yes ∑Yes
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Complexity
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check 🗹 appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable ⊠; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)	le 🗌
 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d</i>),) <i>below.</i>)	☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No
 3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis? c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)? 	□Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check \blacksquare appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

1	1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
2	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
	emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
3	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
	re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No
4	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
	particulate matter from stock piles? 🛛 No
)ι	use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes 🗌 No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been

a) installation of any new process equipment? 🗌 Yes 🖾 No	
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most	
recent notification form? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No	
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete	
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	
local program office? [Yes] No	

Carol Melton

b

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

June 14, 2007

Date of Inspection

/s/

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS:

Plant is powered by electricity; thus, fuel consumption and sulfur content are not applicable.

Additionally, Rule 62-210.310 was revised and is effective as of January 10, 2007. Currently, Paragraph (5)(b), does not require maintaining records for sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications). Additionally, records of fuel consumption on a monthly basis are not required; however, if the facility is collocated with other facilities, total fuel consumption usage, per year, will need to be demonstrated.

The annual VE test was conducted the day before this inspection, thus VE test results were not available at the time of the inspection and Part II, Stack Emissions, Paragraphs 3, 4a and b, and Part II, Compliance Demonstration, Paragraph 4, of this form were not evaluated.

The plant did not operate from February 2007 to May 2007.

The weigh hopper was being loaded at the time of inspection.

A computer program has just been put on line to help track materials processed.

A water hose is used to wet yard roads.