WHENTIAL PROTECTION
Some Cane
FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV ARMS COMPLAINT N	· · · <u> </u>
AIRS ID#: 0951271 DATE: <u>3/20/2009</u> FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA BLDG MATERIALS/AI		DEPART: <u>10:15AM</u>
FACILITY LOCATION:2983 WEST ORANGE AAPOPKA32703-3346		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:	PHO	<b>NE:</b> (407)886-2511
CONTACT NAME: Paul Gordon	РНО	NE: (407)886-5546
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/30/2004 / 4/30/2009 (effective date) (end date)		
✓ IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMP           PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		ANT Non-COMPLIANCE F.A.C.
<ul> <li>Stack Emissions</li> <li>1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)?</li></ul>	), and other enclosed storage missions to 5 percent opacity ctor exhaust points was the l ading rate, or at least at the m eration controlled by the silo ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a the visible emissions test?	Yes       No         and conveying equipment       Yes       No         y?       Yes       No         loading of the silo conducted       ninimum 25 tons per hour rate,         ninimum 25 tons per hour rate,       Yes       No         o dust collector? (If answer       Yes       No         o dust collector? (If answer       Yes       No         e normal batching rate and       Yes       No         e normal batching rate and       Yes       No         t collector, which is separate       (batcher) dust collector

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
<ul> <li><u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ul>
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes ] No
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Action form Submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes ∑No</li> </ul>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check M appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i> )

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process	ing
	plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES,	
	then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
	calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	Yes No
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	Yes No
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	Yes No
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	Yes No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [Yes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗌 Yes 🖾 No

## 

Bill Rhodes

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

3/20/2009

Date of Inspection

3/20/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** During the compliance test, the block plant did not operate due to the lack of business. According to Mr. Paul Gordon, the site contact, the plant is only open 2-3 days per week, at this time. The yard at the facility continues to be very dry, however with the lack of vehicular traffic, dust was minimal. The observed opacity for the compliance test was zero percent. The silo loading rate was 31.54 TPH.