NUMERICAL PROTECTION
Some Carte
FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0951271       DATE: 3/28/08       ARRIVE: 9:35 AM       DEPART: 10:45 AM         FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA BLDG MATERIALS/APOPKA       FACILITY LOCATION: 2983 WEST ORANGE AVE       2983 WEST ORANGE AVE					
APOPKA 32703-3346 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Corey Warner PHONE: (407)886-2511 CONTACT NAME: Kevin Doan PHONE: (407)468-7895 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/30/2004 / 4/30/2009 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)         ☑ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))         Stack Emissions         1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?					

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C (continued)			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)			
<ul> <li><u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li></ul>			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)			
2. Did this facility demonstrate:			
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?			
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? □Yes □No			
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Complexity</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero</li></ul>			

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check M appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2); 2) a relocatable (2); or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable (2) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check Donly one box.</i> )

2.	. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing		
	plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (If your answer to this question is YES,		
	then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	
	a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
	b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per		
	calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
	c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
	d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	□Yes □ No	
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:		
	a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
	b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
	c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	□Yes □ No	

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [Yes No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗌 Yes 🖾 No

## 

D)	alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	<u> </u>	NO NO
	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
	recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
	notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
	local program office?	Yes	🗌 No

Ilka Bundy

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

3/28/08

Date of Inspection

3/28/09

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** Per the permit application, this plant produces blocks at a rate up to 15 tph. This plant produces approximately 18 different size blocks. The facility only produces blocks. During the compliance test, the block plant did not operate due to lack of business. The yard at the facility is all dirt and was very dry. Dust was being kicked up into the air from vehicular movement. The dust was not observed leaving the property. No dust complaints have ben reported for this facility since it is in an industrial area and there are no homes nearby. The observed opacity for the compliance test was zero percent. The silo loading rate was 26.34 tons per hour.