

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
RE-INSI ECTION (FOI) ARMS COMI EAINT NO.			
ARRIVE: 9:16 AM DEPART: 10:14 AM			
ACILITY NAME: FREEPORT READYMIX PLANT			
ACILITY LOCATION: 647 County Highway 83A			
FREEPORT 32439-3532			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MARC TYSON PHONE: (205)986-4800			
CONTACT NAME: Richard Fragale PHONE: (850)259-5893			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/11/2005 / 9/11/2010 (effective date) (end date)			
ART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
ART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
 Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	to ⊠Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	the ⊠Yes □ No
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Monly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
 (check appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Monly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	ng □Yes ⊠ No

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	<u>//EN18</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continuea)	
*	ad yards, which shall include one or more of the following, stock piles, and yards?	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of v	s to reduce airborne particulate matter? wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	⊠Yes □ No ⊠Yes □ No	
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES A. New or Modified Process Equipment	- Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.		
 d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.0 	replacement? [different than that noted on the most [e owner submit a new and complete	□Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No	
Jennifer Waltrip	6/12/09	,	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	-	
Canada a la Interior	June 2010		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	-	
COMMENTS: Department personnel conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection on June 12, 2009 at the Ready Mix USA Freeport facility located in Walton County. Mr. Richard Fragale, plant superintendent, and Mr. Wiley Willoughby, operations manager, were present to assist during the inspection. The facility was in operation at the time of the inspection. There are three storage silos onsite, one for cement and two for flyash. Particulate emissions are controlled by a baghouse located on top of each of the storage silos. The baghouse for the small flyash silo also controls emissions from the batcher. The drop point where the trucks load the concrete also has a partial enclosure.			
According to facility personnel, fugitive emissions from the yard are controlled by a sweeper and wetting the yard as needed. Speed limit signs were also posted at the entrance. Fugitive emissions from the stockpiles are contained by storing them within three walls which are connected to a sprinkler system.			
The plant has not been active since August 2008. Records for the	he past year are marked 'plant not in operation.'		
Lisa Swain with HS&E Resources, Inc., conducted the annual visible emissions test for each of the baghouses during the inspection.			