

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

June 15, 2012

SENT VIA E-MAIL SRMDannyC@comcast.net

Danny Collins, President Superior Redi-Mix Inc. Post Office Box 60 Midway, Florida 32343

Dear Mr. Collins:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **7775236**. Your facility permit **expires on January 14, 2015**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of **In Compliance** for your facility. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist and its comments section are enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at (850) 245-2960 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Maclane Castellanon

Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle, Carol Melton (FDEP, Pensacola)



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		DISCOVERY (CI)				
AIRS ID#: 7775236 DATE: <u>5/18/2012</u>	ARRIVE:	DEPART: _				
FACILITY NAME: SUPERIOR REDI-MIX						
FACILITY LOCATION: 61 COMMERCE I	LN					
MIDWAY 3234	3-6608					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: DANNY COLLINS Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/14/2010 / 1/14	DANNY COLLINS 4/2015	PHONE: (850)575-4414 Mobile: PHONE: (850)575-4414 Mobile:				
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/14/2010 / 1/14 (effective date) (end d						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETIN	JG		(1) 1 71			
Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	<u>.o.</u>	ŀ	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DANNY C If no, who is?:	COLLINS?		⊠ Yes □No			
If different, did the facility provide an administra 3. Is the facility contact still DANNY COLLINS? - If no, who is?:			☐ Yes ☐No ☐No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during toda If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least	ay's inspection?east 15 days in advance?		☐ Yes			

Emissions Unit Section 6 - Concrete Batch Plant subject to Reasonable Precautions

o - Concrete Batch Frant subject to Reasonable Frecautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 11/09/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by: 	ïned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	. -	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		⊠ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	
		box for each	•
1	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		4
1.	a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	Yes	□ No
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	December Control to A. A.		
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	of	
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or	01	
	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	- Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene	ral	
	permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		
2	To the total combined annual facility mide feel was a of all plants less than an arreal to		
э.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	- Nes	□ No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	- Yes	□ No
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		☐ No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	- Yes	☐ No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes	☐ No
	MM CCF and an all and a second	/	20
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		0?
	275,000 gar dieser yr 25,000 gar gasonne yr 44 Min Ber hat. gasyr 1.5 Min gar propar	1C/ y1	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consun		
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	- Yes	☐ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	question)
1	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed		
1.	the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control		
	devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_	
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛚 Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	□ v	□ N:
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🖂 Yes	☐ No
٦.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	3	
	permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹 only one
1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable	box for each question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip th</i>	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and	
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by tel	anhana
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location	
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62]	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relo	
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to re	elocation? \bigcup Yes \bigcup No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air ope	eration permit,
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repe	eated usage)? Yes No
If YES, what was the purpose?	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes No
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check 🗹 only one
Administrative Changes:	box for each question)
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized	representative not
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any en	
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the f	
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	
d. A change in ownership?	Yes No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropri	ate fee submitted
30 days prior to the change?	
Tracy White 5/18/2012	
<u> </u>	
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of Inspection	
1	
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date of	of Novt Inspection
Inspector's Signature Approximate Date (of these hispection
COMMENTS: I arrived at the site. Loading of the silos and batch trucks was not in progress.	The facility was in operation and
appeared to be maintained. No excessive emissions were noted. Equipment did not appear to be	
inspection.	
The last compliance test was performed on 4/11/2012. Annual testing is required.	