

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Branch Office 3900 Commonwealth Boulevard, MS 55 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3000 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Mimi A. Drew Secretary

November 19, 2010

SENT VIA E-MAIL SRMDannyC@comcast.net

Danny Collins, President Superior Redi-Mix Inc. Post Office Box 60 Midway, Florida 32343

Dear Mr. Collins:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The Air Program identification number for this facility is **7775236**. Your facility permit expires on January 14, 2015. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

Based on the facility inspection results, the Tallahassee Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. The inspection checklist and its comments section are enclosed. If you have any questions, your local contact is Tracy White at 850/245-2960 or tracy.a.white@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Marlane Castellanos

Maclane Castellanon

Branch Manager

MC/tw Enclosures

cc: Rick Bradburn, Mary Beth Curle, FDEP, Pensacola



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	I					
AIRS ID#: 7775236 DATE: <u>11/09/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>2:00 P.M.</u> DEPA	RT:					
FACILITY NAME: SUPERIOR REDI-MIX						
FACILITY LOCATION: 61 COMMERCE LN						
MIDWAY 32343-6608						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DANNY COLLINS Email: SRMDanny@comcast.net CONTACT NAME: DANNY COLLINS Email: PHONE: (850)575 Mobile: PHONE: (850)575 Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/14/2010 / 1/14/2015 (effective date) (end date)						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): unknown Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still DANNY COLLINS?	X YesNo					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still DANNY COLLINS? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ⊠ Yes ☐No					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section 6 -Concrete Batch Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 11/04/2009 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	☐ Yes	only one question) No No No No No No No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes Yes	□ No
 d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conthat is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loade. e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	ded during ins	ate pection. No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$) and go to	Yes	⊠ No
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes	☐ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration? 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute. h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	Yes tes is separate	□ No
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.	? Xes	□ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.	Yes	☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u> (ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check	
		box for each	question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	NoNoNoNoNoNo
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal proparation maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr	0? ⊠ No
			-
G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	_	
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛚 Yes	☐ No
3	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹 only one			
1. To the facility stationary Noveless (1) Done 12 Co. Cl. d. of	hov for each question)			
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary an concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only statio</i>	nd relocatable			
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and				
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?				
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)				
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Pr	ogram by telephone,			
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to char	nging location? Yes No			
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form				
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days follow				
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business da	ays prior to relocation? Yes No			
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construct	ion or air operation permit,			
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate				
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, the				
If YES, what was the purpose?	•			
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was				
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No			
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?				
CHANGES	(check ☑ only one			
	box for each question)			
Administrative Changes:				
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility of				
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facil operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative ch				
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	je10			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been				
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes No			
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially diff				
d. A change in ownership?				
4 If the assessment and assessment and the VES make a manufactuation forms and	the communicate for authorities d			
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and 30 days prior to the change?				
30 days prior to the change.				
Tracy White 1	1/09/2010			
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Date of	f Inspection			
I ray whire				
Inspector's Signature Approx	ximate Date of Next Inspection			

The facility appeared to be in operation. Three storage silos with filter units and one baghouse were present. No changes to equipment were noted. No excess emissions from the equipment were noted. The last compliance test on record was performed on 4/30/2009.

Recommendations:

- Annual testing for 2010 has not been completed or is not on record. Annual compliance testing is required.
 Unconfined emissions from yard traffic, etc. should be controlled.