

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

February 24, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL ssimonsen@argos-us.com

Mr. Steve Simonsen Argos USA 12735 Morris Road Extension, Suite 300 Alpharetta, Georgia 30004

Dear Mr. Simonsen:

On February 15, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Argos Ready Mix, LLC - Panama City Beach Concrete Batch Plant in Bay County ID 0050082. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E.

Panama City Branch Administrator

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Mr. Andy Workman, Argos (aworkman@argos-us.com)



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, I RE-INSPECTION		NT/DISCOVER MPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)					
AIRS ID#: 0050082 DATE: <u>2/15/2012\</u>	ARRIVE: 10):2 <u>2</u>	DEPART: <u>11:34</u>					
FACILITY NAME: ARGOS RM LLC-PANAMA CITY BCH CCB PLANT								
FACILITY LOCATION: 17800 ASHLEY DR								
PANAMA C	CITY BEACH 32413-5001							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: STEVE SIMONSEN Email: ssimonsen@argos-us.com CONTACT NAME: ANDY WORKMAN Email: aworkman@argos-us.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/4/2011 / 12/4/2016 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (678)746-2184 Mobile: (770)356-7285 PHONE: (850)235-9600 Mobile:								
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE								
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY ME	FTING			, ,				
Name(s) of facility representative(s): And:			(check ✓ box for eac	•				
Brief Notes: Mr. Workman provided acce	ess to the facility and all requ	ested records.						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still STE If no, who is?: NA	VE SIMONSEN?		X Yes	□No				
If different, did the facility provide an adm 3. Is the facility contact still ANDY WORKM If no, who is?: NA	inistrative update within 30 dAN?	lays?		□No □No				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during If yes, was the compliance authority notified				⊠No □No				

Emissions Unit Section

<u>6 - CCB Plant-3silos(2cement/1flyash)&batchrw/cent.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

			<u> </u>
PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	,	
	Date of last inspection: 2/9/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Co	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ed	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	Yes Yes	☐ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	□ No□ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b. If tested: (<u>NA</u>)% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? NA	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	 No No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM gal		?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption - Yes	☐ No
	Note: Permit Eligibility Part 3. $(a)(b)(c)(d)(e)$ and Part 4 are not applicable for this facility at this	s time.	
GF	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	2
2.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	- X Yes - X Yes s	NoNoNo
	permit and Department rules?	- X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	hov for each	only one
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing pla	both stationary and relocatable	•
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cemen soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c bear	low.)	☐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busineb. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	ss day prior to changing location? Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least	Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a ser and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission	ns unit in that separate permit:	_
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routing If YES, what was the purpose?		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how co-located at the permitted facility?	\ Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in durati	ion?	☐ No
Note: Relocatable Plant Part 2. (a)(b)(c) and Part 3. (a)(b)		
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check ☑ box for each	only one
Administrative Changes:		i question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone num associated with a change in ownership or with a physical rel operations comprising the facility; or any other similar mino If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 	ocation of the facility or any emissions units or administrative change at the facility? Yes	☐ No ☐ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	\(\sum \text{ Yes}	⊠ No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace		⊠ No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that i d. A change in ownership?	·	⊠ No □ No
u. A change in ownership:		□ NO
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new reg 30 days prior to the change?		☐ No
C. Mark Sumner	2/15/2012	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
$M \setminus C$		
Mark Sen	February 2013	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Mr. Andy Workman the plant manager was on site at the time of this inspection.

The last VE test for this facility was performed on 7/13/2011; it was received by the Department on 7/21/2011; and the test reported a 0 % opacity.

According to the operator to ensure the dust collector operates as designed, the maximum loading pressure of the silos is 10 PSI.

Emissions from the batcher are controlled by the silo dust collector. The batcher is equipped with a partial enclosure curtain and there are two vacuum inlets to draw dust to the collector. The batching of concrete was not observed at the time of this inspection. The partial enclosure appeared well maintained; no gaps or tears were observed.

Records are maintained for the delivery truck's fuel consumption and materials processed on a monthly basis. No fuel is used to operate the plant since it is run entirely off the electricity grid.

Approximately 90% of the facility has been paved, and the facility is washed down weekly to control dust. A log of the wash downs is maintained along with a weekly baghouse inspection/maintenance log.

The Stock piles are maintained below the height of the binblocks to prevent wind entrainment of particulate matter.