

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

February 22, 2011

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL stephen.simonsen@lafarge-na.com

Mr. Steve Simonsen Lafarge Building Materials, Inc. 12735 Morris Road Extension, Suite 300 Alpharetta, Georgia 30004

Dear Mr. Simonsen:

On February 9, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Lafarge – Panama City Beach Concrete Batch Plant in Bay County, ID 0050082. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Sally M. Cooey

Panama City Branch Administrator

SMC/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)
Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)

Mr. Andy Workman, Lafarge (andy.workman@lafarge-na.com)



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)					
AIRS ID#: 0050082 DATE: <u>2/9/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:23 AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:48 AM</u>							
FACILITY NAME: PANAMA CITY BEACH CONCRETE PLANT							
FACILITY LOCATION: 17800 ASHLEY DR							
PANAMA CITY BEACH 32413-5001							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: STEVE SIMONSEN PHONE: (678)746-2184 Email: stephen.simonsen@lafarge-na.com Mobile: (770)356-7285 CONTACT NAME: Andy Workman PHONE: (850)235-9600 Email: andy.workman@lafarge-na.com Mobile: (850)698-6211 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/16/2010 / 10/16/2015 (effective date) (end date)							
Facility Section							
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Andy Workman (check ✓ box for each of the second of the seco							
Brief Notes: Mr. Workman provided access to the facility	and all requested records.						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still STEVE SIMONSEN If no, who is?: NA	?						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative updat 3. Is the facility contact still Andy Workman? If no, who is?: NA	e within 30 days?	YesNo YesNo					
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspectif yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 day							
Facility personnel have not changed, and no VE testing	was performed at the time o	f this inspection.					

Emissions Unit Section

6 - CCB Plant-3silos(2cement/1flyash)&batchrw/cent.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
	Date of last inspection: 3/8/10 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No ⊠ No □ No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Co	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
	a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	□ No
	3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	☐ No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	⊠ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

C	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(ah	a als 🔽	only one
		,		question)
1	Described Control of the described described and the described and	OOA TO	or each c	(destroil)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		Vac	□ No
	b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?			□ No
	c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?			□ No
	e 100 tons per year or more or any other regulated an portutant:		103	
2.	Does this facility include:			
	a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	of		
	units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or			
il.	Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	- 🔲 `	Yes	⊠ No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? <u>NA</u>			
	h. A and a single and a still it is a sufficient division of the single and a supplied with a single and a single and	1		
1	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Voc	⊠ No
l	If YES, what other general permit units or activities? NA	Ш	103	
	11 126, what other general permit aims of activities. 1411			
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	_		
	a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	- ∐ `	Yes	∐ No
	b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		Yes	∐ No
	c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		Yes	∐ No
	d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	· 님 `	Yes	∐ No
	e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Ш	Yes	∐ No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop	ane/vr	< 1.00°)
	275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propar			
		•		
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum			
	for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	- □ `	Yes	∐ No
	Note: Permit Eligibility Part 3. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e) and Part 4 are not applicable for this facility at thi	c tima		
	Note: I ethnic Engionity I art 3. (a)(b)(c)(d)(e) and I art 4 are not applicable for this facility at this	s unic.	•	
\mathbf{C}^{1}	ENERAL CONDITIONS		. 🗖	_
G	ENERAL CONDITIONS	,		only one
		box fo	or each o	question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed			
	the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			_
	devices?	🔲 '	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:	<u></u>		
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛚 '	Yes	∐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	□ .	V.	□ N.
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🔼	ı es	☐ No
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	3		
	permit and Department rules?	🖂 ,	Yes	☐ No
	Permit and 2 designation rates.		_ 00	

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ only one				
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of	both stationary and relocatable box for each question)				
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plan					
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes No				
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c belo					
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departme					
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busines					
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five					
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N					
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least					
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a sepa	arate air construction or air operation permit,				
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emission	s unit in that separate permit:				
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routin If YES, what was the purpose?					
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how le	ong it was				
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration					
if it is, were any periods more than 6 months in durant	III: L Tes L No				
Note: Relocatable Plant Part 2. (a)(b)(c) and Part 3. (a)(b)	(c) are not applicable for this facility at this time.				
CHANGES	(check ☑ only one				
A desirate at a constant and a constant at a	box for each question)				
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb	er of the facility or authorized representative not				
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo					
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor					
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30	days of the change? Yes No				
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:					
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been					
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes No				
 b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacer c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is 					
d. A change in ownership?					
di 11 enange in e i incresinp i					
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? ————————————————————————————————————					
Note: Changes Part 2 and 4 are not applicable for this facility at this time.					
C. Mark Sumner	February 9. 2011				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
$\sim M \sim 1$					
Made See					
11 am	February 2012				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS: Mr. Andy Workman the plant manager was on site at the time of this inspection.

The last VE test for this facility was performed on 6/10/2010; it was received by the Department on 6/22/2010; and the test reported a 0 % opacity.

According to the operator to ensure the dust collector operates as designed, the maximum loading pressure of the silos is 10 PSI.

Emissions from the batcher are controlled by the silo dust collector. The batcher is equipped with a partial enclosure curtain and there are two vacuum inlets to draw dust to the collector. The batching of concrete was observed at the time of this inspection and no dust was observed. The partial enclosure appeared well maintained; no gaps or tears were observed.

Records are maintained for the delivery truck's fuel consumption and materials processed on a monthly basis. No fuel is used to operate the plant since it is run entirely off the electricity grid.

Approximately 90% of the facility has been paved, and the facility is washed down weekly to control dust. A log of the wash downs is maintained along with a weekly baghouse inspection/maintenance log.

The Stock piles are maintained below the height of the bin blocks to prevent wind entrainment of particulate matter.