ATT 2100
1 James
FLORIDA

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 1050369 DATE: 11/29/2006 ARRIVE: 12:50 pm DEPART: 1:15 pm FACILITY NAME: MORGAN TRAILER MANUFACTURING COMPANY FACILITY LOCATION: 4434 Maine Avenue LAKELAND 33801 RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: DOUGLAS SANDERS PHONE: (610)286-2730 CONTACT NAME: Paul Wolf PHONE: 8636691022 REMITTANCE YEAR: 2003 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/10/2004 / 1/10/2009				
(effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check Ø only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE ○ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ IN COMPLIANCE ○ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?				
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No				

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	⊠Yes ∐ No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Xes 🗌 No
e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
cycles?	- Xes 🗌 No

2) recycling cleaning solvents?------ XYes No

3) using water based cleaners?	103]
--------------------------------	-----	--	---

RT IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>					
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted or recent notification form? 	□Yes ⊠No on the most □Yes ⊠No				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appro- local program office?	opriate DEP or				
Nedin Bahtic 11/29/2	2006				

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

December 2007

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

No

COMMENTS: Records for June 2006 indicate emissions greater than 44 lbs/day.