

HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)									
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:									
AIRS ID#: 0951266 DATE: 7/24/204 ARRIVE: 8:45 DEPAI	RT: <u>11:45</u>								
FACILITY NAME: ORLANDO CREMATORY-COLOCATED HUMAN/ANIMAL									
FACILITY LOCATION: 7284 Narcoossee Rd									
ORLANDO 32822-5534									
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JANENE RHODES* PHONE: (407)381-7474									
Email: janene44@hotmail.com CONTACT NAME: JANENE RHODES* Email: janene44@hotmail.com Mobile: Mobile:	-7474								
Email: janene44@hotmail.com Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 1/17/2013 / 1/17/2018 (effective date) (end date)									
Facility Section									
DADEL MARKET COMPANIA									
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE									
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	WIFLIANCE								
DADE H. ONGREE INTRODUCTORY MEETING									
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one box for each question)								
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):	1 /								
Brief Notes:									
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JANENE RHODES*?	⊠ Yes □No								
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still JANENE RHODES*?									
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?									

Emissions Unit Section 1 – Human Crematory-Human Cremator, Model C1000H

PA	PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION (check ✓ only one						
			box for each question)				
1	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		,				
1.	a. Complete AC application of, it no AC permit, initial GF registration received on of after August 30, 1989?	⊠ Yes	□No				
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	Z 100					
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time						
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes	□No				
	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	☐ Yes	⊠No				
	Date of last inspection: 6/6/2014						
4.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	⊠ Yes	□No				
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	Yes	□No				
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	Z 105	□10				
	operation? 🖂 N/A	☐ Yes	□No				
	d. Date of last VE test: 6/6/2014						
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	X Yes	□No				
	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	☐ Yes	⊠No				
	If no, what was the problem (if known)? Exceeded opacity limit.						
PA	RT II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one				
		box for each	question)				
1	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	ПNо				
1.	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?		□No				
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		☐No				
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0.0 % for the highest six minute average.						
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		□No				
	(5% opacity, six-infinite average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be anowed for up to six infinites	in any one-nour)					
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	⊠ Yes	□No				
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		□No				
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	⊠ Yes	□No				
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average.	∇ v	□ Na				
3	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No				
٥.	is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine comphance with the rist and co-standar	Yes	⊠No				
	If yes, what reason?		Z \0				
PA	RT III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑	only one				
	MI III MANAZIONI (GIALLO CALLA III CALLA CALLA III CALLA	box for each question)					
		_					
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	∐ Yes	⊠No				
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)					
	Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)					
2.	Continuous Monitoring Systems –						
	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the						
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes Yes ■	□No				
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence						
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1 \ \Box \ 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	⊠ Yes	□No				

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)						
TAKT III. MONTORING/RECORDINEET ING REQUIREMENTS (continued)						
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? 1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes	□No □No Yes				
LNo 4) Adjustments 5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	- 🔯 Yes	□No □No □No				
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	□No ⊠No				
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	ty	□No				
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		□No				
	•	. 1				
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES 1. If the application to construct was REFORE Avanuat 20, 1080 is they	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)				
1. If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————	box for each Yes nation	•				
If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cren	box for each Yes nation Yes Yes nation	question)				
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cren process begins in the primary chamber? If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cren 	box for each Yes nation Yes Yes nation	question)				
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cren process begins in the primary chamber? If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cren 	box for each Yes nation Yes Yes nation	question) NoNoNoNo only one				
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cren process begins in the primary chamber? If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cren process begins in the primary chamber? 	box for each	question) NoNoNoNo only one				

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAI</u>	NTENANCE		(check 🗹	only one h question)	
1. Is the crematory unit maintain	ned in accordance with the man	nufacturer's specifications?		No	
2. Is there a written plan onsite v	which addresses the operating	-	_	 □No	
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?			🛛 Yes	□No	
If no, skip a. – b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?				□No □No	
PART VII: EU INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS ((check ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIA		LIANCE		
Facility Section (continued) SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES					
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES (check only				only one	
			box for eac	h question)	
associated with a change in or operations comprising the fact 2. If yes, did the facility provide New or Modified Process Equipm 3. Since the last registration form a. Installation of any new b. Alterations to existing c. Replacement of exist d. A change in ownersh If the any answer to 3a.	wnership or with a physical reility; or any other similar mine written notification within 30 ment or Change in Ownership: m submittal has there been	nber of the facility or authorized representation of the facility or any emissions upor administrative change at the facility?		□No□No□No□No□No□No□No	
Assefa Hailemariam		7/24/2014			
Inspector's Name (P	Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
		~7/2015			
Inspector's Sign	ature	Approximate Date of Next In	spection		

COMMENTS: EPD inspector Assefa Hailemariam met with Janene Rhodes, R.O., and Stephen Sidelinger, Crematory Repair Specialist, and Randy Brgant, V.E. reader from AI Environmental Consulting Services, on July 6, 2014 to reaudit the annual compliance visible emission test on EU001. A facility walk-through was conducted to observe operating conditions and records review was conducted. This facility is a crematory for Humans and small animals. The facility has three human cremation units (EUs 001,002, and 004) and one animal cremation unit (EU003). Mr. Sidelinger was onsite to ensure the units were operating properly and stated he performed the annual maintenance on the units on 5/26/2014. EU001 is a Model C1000H human cremation unit and was charged with a130 lbs female body. The unit was operating above the required temperature of 1600 degrees Fahrenheit. No plastic containers are used during the cremation process, no objectionable odors were detected during the test on this date. Facility appears to be now in compliance with the air general permit.