NUMBROL PROTECTION
Same Care
FLORIDA

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0951266 DATE: <u>1/27/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:00 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:00 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: ORLANDO CREMATORY-COLOCATED HUMAN/ANIMAL			
FACILITY LOCATION: 7284 NARCOOSSEE RD			
ORLANDO 32822-5534			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JANENE RHODES PHONE: Email: janene44@hotmail.com Mobile: CONTACT NAME: PHONE: Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/18/2011 / 2/18/2016 (end date)	(407)381-7474		
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)			
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
 Is the Authorized Representative still JANENE RHODES? If no, who is?: 	YesNo		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still ? If no, who is?:			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	⊠ Yes □No ⊠ Yes □No		

Emissions Unit Section <u>3 – Animal Crematory-Animal Cremator, Model C500P</u>

PA	RT I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
	 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If use, users design calculations provided than to confirm a sufficient values in the 	Yes	No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	🛛 Yes	No
3.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 75 lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged unit. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	🖾No
5.	Date of last inspection: 1/8/2011 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	X Yes	□No
	b. Has a VE test been performed within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		No
	operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 1/8/2011	Yes	No
	 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test? If no, what was the problem (if known)? 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	 ☑ Yes ☑ Yes ☑ Yes ☑ Yes ☑ Yes 	□No □No □No □No □No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-hour)	
 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit? a. Operating capacity during test? 30 lbs for batch unit lbs/hr for ram-charged unit b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity? c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? 	 Yes Yes Xes Xes Xes 	□No □No □No □No
 e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar If yes, what reason?	ds?	XNo

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for eac	•
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	🖾No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10	(worst)
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at □ 1,800¹ ⊠ 1,600² degrees was determined? (Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89) 	Xes	□No □No
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements		No
 (2) All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measuremen monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	Xes Yes Xes Xes	□No □No □No □No □No
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3) (1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to auton control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes natically	□No ⊠No □No
 (2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opa exceeds 15% opacity ?	acity [] Yes	No
	(check 🗹	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES 1. If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:	box for eac	h question)
 a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the creating process begins in the primary chamber? 	emation	□No
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600° throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	F ⊠ Yes emation	No
process begins in the primary chamber?		No
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check ☑ box for eac	
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		⊠No
 Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	Xes use? Xes	□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	•
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? If no, skip a b. a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)		

IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	s or	⊠No □No
 <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	 ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes 	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No □No

Bill Rhodes

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/27/2012

Date of Inspection

12/31/2013

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Bill Rhodes met with Luis Del Pino, representing A1 Environmental Consulting Services, and Janene Rhodes, responsible Party, for Orlando Crematory, on January 27, 2012, to audit the annual compliance test . EU-003 is an animal cremation unit, Crawford, Model C500P. It should be noted that EU-003 had been moved from it's former location in the main building to a new building built solely for the purpose of housing this emission unit. According to Ms. Rhodes, the move was constituted by FDEP requiring that the human cremation units be located separate from the animal cremation unit. The observed opacity was 0%, and was charged with a 30-lb. dog. Temperatures were checked against the recorder chart (1676 degrees Fahrenheit), and the digital read-out (1675 degrees Fahrenheit). The unit is in compliance with the air permit at this time.