

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)  RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0251157 DATE: <u>6/4/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>12:37 PM</u> DE	PART: <u>1:14 PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-MIDTOWN MIAMI READY-MIX		
FACILITY LOCATION: 1610 NW 21st Ter		
MIAMI 33142-7412		
	)324-8100 295-2629	
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): RUSSELL EAVENSON  Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER*?	X YesNo	
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still RUSSELL EAVENSON?  If no, who is?:		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?  If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?		

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-split silo#1 (cement) comp#1 w/cart. dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 10/9/2012     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? —     If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ———     b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precau emissions by:	tions to control unconfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall inc  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemic control emissions?	s? Yes No  rals when necessary to Yes No  control of the luce airborne Yes No  te wind entrainment of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the dro	op point to the truck? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No No No

# Emissions Unit Section 2 – CCB Plant-split silo#1 (cement) comp#2 w/cart. dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: 10/9/2012</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	1
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control usemissions by:	nconfined
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>	ry to
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?  4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment particulate matter from stock piles?	ent of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the true	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes

Emissions Unit Section
3 –CCB Plant-splitsilo#2(flyash/slag)comp#1w/cart.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 10/9/2012     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:</li> </ol>	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	∐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-splitsilo#2(flyash/slag)comp#2w/cart.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 10/9/2012     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🔲 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check <b>b</b> ox for each o	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>		□ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- X Yes	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 5 -CCB Plant-twin cement weigh hoppers w/central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>v</b> box for each	only one ch question)
Date of last inspection: 10/9/2012     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?     If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?     b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?     c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and		only one ch question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to commissions by:	control unconfined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when</li> </ul>	X Yes	☐ No
control emissions?3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control o	of the	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airbo particulate matter?	entrainment of	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to	o the truck? Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

# Emissions Unit Section 6 -CCB Plant-batcher&truck loadout w/central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 10/9/2012     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?     If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?     b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?     c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
DARTH, EIELD ORGEDVATIONG, D.J. (2 20/ 414/2) E.A.C.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young	(check ☑ box for each of Yards	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to conemissions by:	ntrol unconfined	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when no</li> </ul>	ecessary to	□ No
control emissions?  3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of towner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborn	the	∐ No
particulate matter?	rainment of	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	<del>_</del>	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to t	the truck? Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2. Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the except units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air germit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal pr 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal pr		)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel confor each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	asumption Yes	□ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS		
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control	_	
devices?	Yes	⊠ No
a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		☐ No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with a terms and conditions of the air general permit?	X Yes	☐ No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, a to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air generation permit and Department rules?	access eral	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific</li> </ul>	prior to changing location? Yes No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	less days following a relocation? Yes No ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five		
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine put If YES, what was the purpose?	t in that separate permit:  rpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?   Yes  No	
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long i co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No	
CHANGES	(1.1 <b>7</b> /)	
	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)	
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrati 30 days prior to the change?		
FRANK DELGADO	6/4/2013	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	6/2014	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
	O (2) SDI IT SII OS EACH WITH A DUST COLLECTOR (A)	

COMMENTS: FACILITY IS OPERATIONAL. THERE ARE TWO (2) SPLIT SILOS EACH WITH A DUST COLLECTOR (4). I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS PERFORMED BY BEATTY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES ON 5/31/2013.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 12:39 pm, Jul 08, 2013