

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0251157 DATE: <u>10/9/2012</u> ARRIVE: <u>8:41 AM</u> DEPART	: <u>9:12 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-MIDTOWN MIAMI READY-MIX			
FACILITY LOCATION: 1610 NW 21st Ter			
MIAMI 33142-7412			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER* Email: Jeffreyr.porter@cemex.com CONTACT NAME: RUSSELL EAVENSON Email: russellb.eavensoniii@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/14/2012 / 9/14/2017 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (561)820-8415 Mobile: (561)718-7564 PHONE: (305)324-8100 Mobile: (786)295-2629			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING			
Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>RUSSELL EAVENSON</u>	(check d only one box for each question)		
Brief Notes:			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER*?	⊠ Yes □No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still RUSSELL EAVENSON? If no, who is?:	- ☐ Yes ☐No ☐ Yes ☐No		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?			

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-split silo#1 (cement) comp#1 w/cart. dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 10/26/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock I	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precaut emissions by:	ions to control unconfined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall incl 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemical control emissions?	??
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduparticulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigat particulate matter from stock piles?	uce airborne X Yes No e wind entrainment of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-split silo#1 (cement) comp#2 w/cart. dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PAR	T I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check v box for each	
2. D	ate of last inspection: 10/26/2011 id the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PAR'	T II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(-hl- 📈	1
Unco	onfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and veying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check v box for each	only one question)
	oes the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinissions by:	ned	
a.	Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	□ No□ No
	 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	_	□ No
b.	Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		☐ No
a. b.	reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section
3 –CCB Plant-splitsilo#2(flyash/slag)comp#1w/cart.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 10/26/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🗌 Yes 🔻 No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ✓ only one box for each question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-splitsilo#2(flyash/slag)comp#2w/cart.dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 10/26/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	🔲 Yes	□ No⊠ No□ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	following: - Xes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?		☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛚 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 5 -CCB Plant-twin cement weigh hoppers w/central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check \square only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 10/26/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control un emissions by:	confined
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	y to
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	nt of
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No No No

Emissions Unit Section 6 -CCB Plant-batcher&truck loadout w/central dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

	ILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
2. Did the e If not: a. b	ast inspection: 10/26/2011 emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Tyes	☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: 1	FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ box for each	only one
	Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	DOX TOT EACH	question)
Does the emission	owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfis by:	ned	
1) 2)	gement of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	X Yes	☐ No
3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the ner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	\(\times \text{ Yes}	∐ No
par	ticulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	X Yes	☐ No
	ticulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use o	f spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
a. Did thb. If test	able precautions <u>not</u> being taken: ne inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? ed: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?		Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane		_ < 1.00?	?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	tion	Yes	□ No
_				
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?	- 🗌	Yes	⊠ No
∠.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?		Yes	☐ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		Yes	☐ No
3.				
	permit and Department rules.		103	☐ 1\0

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both st	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If</i>		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica 	prior to changing location? Yes No	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business. c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificat	ss days following a relocation? Yes No	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? Yes If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it v co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No	
CHANGES	(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes No		
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	n form and the appropriate fee submitted Yes No	
FRANK DELGADO	10/9/2012	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	10/2013	

COMMENTS: DANIEL BEATTY PERFORMED FIVE (5) VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST. THE TEST STARTED AT 8:15 A.M.; THE SILOS WERE LOADED WITH CEMENT AT APPROXIMATELY 10 PSI. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 10:29 am, Oct 23, 2012