$\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	MPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) MS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 7775224 DATE: 4/30/14 ARRI	VE: DEPART:			
FACILITY NAME: MULLINKS RECYCLING, INC.				
FACILITY LOCATION: 5937 Soutel Dr				
JACKSONVILLE 32219-3739				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: BILLY MULEmail: dawnsmith@mulliniksrecycling.com CONTACT NAME: DAWN SMITH Email: dawnsmith@mulliniksrecycling.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/27/2013 / 10/27/2018 (effective date) (end date)	LLINIKS PHONE: (907)764-3644 Mobile: PHONE: (904)764-3644 Mobile:			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	E SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
D. DE M. ONGVER INVENORMANIA DI MENURA				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes: Unit is in storage at yard	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still BILLY MULLINIKS? If no, who is?:	\(\sum \text{Yes} \text{\tinx}\text{\tinx}\text{\tinx{\text{\text{\text{\tinx{\tinx{\tinx{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tex{\tex			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update wi 3. Is the facility contact still DAWN SMITH? If no, who is?:				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in				

Emissions Unit Section 1-PRIMARY CRUSHER

1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoric is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granin Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock of (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————	ty te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; Yes Yes Yes	⊠No □No □No □No
	storage bin, storage bin, enclosed truck loading station enclosed railcar loading station; crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	_	_
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

1 -PRIMARY CRUSHER

フ・	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	il	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ing	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	.Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If.	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
		_	_
	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20	_	_
If .			
If .	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20	☐ Yes	
<i>If</i> 13	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 .Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	☐ Yes	No
If	 answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 	Yes	No
If	 answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of 	☐ Yes	No
If	**Answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	No
If	**Answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	No No
If	**Answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No
If	**Answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20 **Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	No No
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system* (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system* (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No
If (13)	**Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system* (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? **answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19 **Initial Tests:* a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No

1 -PRIMARY CRUSHER

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	□ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		. 7	
instructions?	· Ш	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	3		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing riquid now rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, 		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of 		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNo

1 -PRIMARY CRUSHER

22 If the Ellis a building analysing any other negative depth Ells and all analysis Ells are not			
22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
• •			l l
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		17	□ No
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Ш	Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	$\overline{}$		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	\vdash	Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	∐No
22 T		T7	N
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			Į.
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			Į.
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			Į.
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			Į.
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
AA YYD AA I A YYD AA I AA ILAA AA AA AA AA AA AA AA AA TII O			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?		*7	□ NT.
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Ш	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:			
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	\sqcup	Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Ш	Yes	□No
		~ 7	
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	\vdash	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Ш	Yes	□No
Rate:		_	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Ш	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Ш	Yes	□No
	_		_
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	Ц	Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	\sqcup	Yes	∐No
Rate:	_		
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	\sqcup	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No

Emissions Unit Section 2-SECONDARY CRUSHER

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3. 4.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	No No No No
su If	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No □No
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

2 –SECONDARY CRUSHER

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	.Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
I f	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
J			
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
	T to 100 a		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	□ v	□ Na
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Tes	∐No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the } \}$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No

2 –SECONDARY CRUSHER

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,			_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	∐ No
	_		
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	∐No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		x 7	
instructions?	· 📙 '	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vec	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design serubbing fiquid flow rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, 		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of 		Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNoNo
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNo

2 –SECONDARY CRUSHER

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_		_
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Ш	Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	\Box	17	□ N ₀
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\mathbb{H}	Yes Yes	∐No □No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than of equal to 170 opacity?	Ш	res	□N0
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	П	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		= •	
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	\Box	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:		105	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	□No
	_		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:	_	= •	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Ш	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	\Box	*7	□ xτ.
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Ш	Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>unspector</i> for this unit during this site visit:	\vdash	Yes	□No
Rate:		103	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	П	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
•			
			ļ

Emissions Unit Section 3-PRIMARY SCREENER, DROP POINTS

		(check 🗹	only one
	1	oox for each	question)
1. 2. 3.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processis {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majori is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granti Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz; Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.} Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? ———————————————————————————————————	ng Plants? ty te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, rulite; Yes Yes Yes	question)
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	∐ Yes	□No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
o.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

<u>3 -PRIMARY SCREENER, DROP POINTS</u>

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	□No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11. 11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

<u>3 -PRIMARY SCREENER, DROP POINTS</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Пу	es es	No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	□ Y	?es	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Y	/es	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	☐ Y	'es	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}	☐ Y	'es	□No
19.Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Y	es es	□No
 a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	□ Y	⁷ es	□No
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	□ Y	es es	□No
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Y ☐ Y	/es /es /es	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

<u>3 -PRIMARY SCREENER, DROP POINTS</u>

individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack text performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not							
initial startup of the EU?	individually in compliance with emissions limits:							
(A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.) b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of						
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.] b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? Yes No c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity? Yes No If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No [Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes No [Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? Yes No ii. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? Yes No ii. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? Yes No a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes No c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? Yes No c. The VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rat				/A L	Yes	∐ No		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? — Yes								
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? Yes		air carrying particulai	te matter (PM) emissions from					
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?—		ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dsom (0.022 gr/dsof)?	Г	¬ vos	□ No		
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?					=	=		
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? — Yes {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? — Yes {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR x0 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? — Yes No b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR x0 subpart OOO, i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? Yes No ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? Yes No ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? Yes No	c. were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to /% opacity? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \)							
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? — Yes {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? — Yes {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR x0 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? — Yes No b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR x0 subpart OOO, i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? Yes No ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? Yes No ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? Yes No	23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?		Г	Yes	□No		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? —				_	_	_		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?			oss of the gas stream through the	e				
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?								
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	instructions?			· [Yes	□No		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	{Note: The monitoring device m	oust be certified by the i	nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +250				
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	ssure.}						
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? — {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?								
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?					7 ***			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years? YesNo b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? YesNo ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? YesNo 25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? YesNo Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? YesNo Rate: YesNo 26. Was a VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? YesNo Rate: YesNo a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo Rate: YesNo C. The VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo Rate: YesNo C. The VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo C. The VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo C. The VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo C. The VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo C. The VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo C. The VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo					_ Yes	∐No		
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?	`	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	nın +5%				
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	of design scrubbing fiquid flow f	rate.}						
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	24 When was the last VE test conducte	d by the owner/oners	tor for this EU?					
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO: i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?				vears? [∃ Yes	□ No		
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?			e seen tested within the past s	years.				
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?						□No		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? Yes \text{\text{No}}					□No		
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes								
Bate:								
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?						∐No		
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		EDAM 1 100		_	7			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9? YesNo					∐No		
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.					□ No		
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	nance with the opacity	imit? (See chart below)	L	res	□N0		
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit? \Box Yes \Box No							
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?								
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo	Rate:							
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo	b. Was the $\overline{\text{VE}}$ test conducted according	ing to EPA Method 9? -		[Yes	□No		
VE On a city Limita	d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	[Yes	□No		
VE Ongoite Limita								
EU not subject to Subpart OOO EU Subpart OOO EU			1 ~	Subpart (OO FII			
40 CFR 60 constructed, modified, constructed, modified,			_	_		ъ ч		
Subpart OOO or reconstructed prior or reconstructed on or					•			
		Subpart OOO	_			OI		
Crusher with no capture system to 4/22/2008 after 4/22/2008 15% 12%	Crushar with no conture existen	200/		arter 4/22/				
	1 7							
All other affected EUs 20% 10% 7%	An other affected EUS	∠U%0	10%]	1 70			

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	☐ Yes	☐ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?		
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	or	□No
b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		□No

(0) 27 4.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No No No No		
	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one		
2.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	□No		
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	S	□No		
RELOCATABLE PLANT (check ☑ only one					
	The facility: \square is stationary; \bowtie is relocatable; or \square consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (<i>If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.</i>)	box for each	question)		
	For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6) to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No		
	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	tion - Yes	□No		
	If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	Yes	No		

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone n associated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar m If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 	relocation of the facility or any emissions units or ninor administrative change at the facility? Yes	⊠No ⊠No		
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment?				
William Coffman	4/30/14			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: Unit is in storage at Soutel Plant yard				