

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0830154 DATE: <u>7/27/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:10am</u> DEPART:	11:30am
FACILITY NAME: DEL ZOTTO PRODUCTS	
FACILITY LOCATION: 4575 W HWY 40	
OCALA 34482-4042	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LAURA DEL ZOTTO Email: CONTACT NAME: DONALD MILLER Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/20/2008 / 10/20/2013 (effective date) PHONE: (352)840-018 Mobile: PHONE: (352)351-383 Mobile:	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	LIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Jeff Smith and Steve	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Brief Notes: <u>Jeff Smith is the productions manager and Steve works in the office as a dispatcher</u> 2. Is the Authorized Representative still LAURA DEL ZOTTO?	⊠ Yes □No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still DONALD MILLER? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	YesNo YesNo

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-silo #1 (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 8/1/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
PA	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each	•
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of 		 No No No
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo #2 (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Date of last inspection: 8/1/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unemissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessare control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment particulate matter from stock piles? 	of the following: Yes No ry to Yes No Yes No ent of
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the true 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-silo #3 (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each q	
Date of last inspection: 8/1/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each q	only one uestion)
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfiremissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the	following: - Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No□ No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 -CCB Plant-silo #4 (cement) w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PA	ART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	
	Date of last inspection: 8/1/2008 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
P/	ART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one
	nconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and onveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	box for each	•
1.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ined	
	 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 	X Yes	□ No □ No
	4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		
	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check box for eac	only one h question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		00?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check vbox for eac	only one h question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- 🛛 Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	,	(check 🗹	
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of rete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plan	ooth stationary and relocatable	ox for each question 2.)	question)
e relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		Yes	☐ No
d the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation N	day prior to changing location?	Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five loc. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation No.	otification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]		□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at leas 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a sepa			∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routin If YES, what was the purpose?	unit in that separate permit: e purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how local co-located at the permitted facility?		☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □ No
CHANCES			
<u>CHANGES</u>	b	(check 🗹 ox for each	•
Administrative Changes:		ox for cacif	question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been 	er of the facility or authorized representative cation of the facility or any emissions units administrative change at the facility?days of the change?	e not or Yes Yes	No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 	er of the facility or authorized representative cation of the facility or any emissions units administrative change at the facility? days of the change?	e not or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	∑ No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacer c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is 	er of the facility or authorized representative cation of the facility or any emissions units administrative change at the facility? days of the change?	e not or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacer c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	er of the facility or authorized representative cation of the facility or any emissions units administrative change at the facility? days of the change?	e not or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes tted	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacer c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	er of the facility or authorized representative cation of the facility or any emissions units administrative change at the facility? days of the change?	e not or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes tted	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacer c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	er of the facility or authorized representative cation of the facility or any emissions units administrative change at the facility? days of the change?	e not or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes tted	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone numb associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relo operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacer c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is d. A change in ownership?	er of the facility or authorized representative cation of the facility or any emissions units administrative change at the facility? days of the change?	e not or Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes tted	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo

COMMENTS: All boxes left blank are non applicable. A visible emissions test audit was done before I inspected this facility. I met with Jeff Smith, the Production Manager. Jeff walked me through the facility from start to finish explaining to me what they do and how they do it. Del Zotto Products facility consists of four cement silos, they don't have any batching operations. The cement from the silos are poured into a mixing bin to make concrete and then poured into a mold to make the dseired concrete product, such as underground concrete pipes, underground concrete manholes, drainage curbs and underground transfer stations. This facility operates off of electricity so there is no fuel usage. Jeff told me that they do maintenance on the baghouses once a month and changes the sock whenever needed. After the walkthrough I exchanged cards with Jeff and left the facility.