

$\frac{POLYESTER}{FABRICATION} \frac{PRODUCTS}{FABRICATION}$



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) |
|---|
| RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO: |
| |
| AIRS ID#: 0810206 DATE: <u>8/27/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:15 a.m.</u> DEPART: <u>10:40 a.m.</u> |
| FACILITY NAME: SEA FORCE IX, INCORPORATED |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 12277 Highway 41 North |
| PALMETTO 34221 |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: RONALD ROOKSTOOL PHONE: (941)721-9009 Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: PHONE: Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/29/2003 / 8/29/2008 Facility may be operating without Entitlement! (end date) |
| |
| PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box) |
| ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE |
| |
| |
| PART II: CONTROL TECHNOLOGY/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) |
| 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the polyester resin plastic products fabrication units and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph |
| 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? |
| (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)5.a., F.A.C.) |
| 2. Does the facility comply with the objectionable odor prohibition of subsection 62-296.320(2), F.A.C. and not cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable |
| odor? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No |
| 3. Does the combined quantity of styrene containing resin and gel-coat used exceed 76,000 pounds (38 tons) in any consecutive twelve month period? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)5.c., F.A.C.) |
| 4. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the quantity of resin and gel-coat |
| used on a monthly basis? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)5.d., F.A.C.) |
| 5. Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)5.d., F.A.C.) |
| 6. Is this polyester resin plastic products fabrication activity subject to a volatile organic compound (VOC) |
| Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)5.b., F.A.C.) |
| |

| (che | III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE I eck ☑ appropriate box(es)) | <u>REQUIREMEN 15</u> – Kuie 02-210.300, F.A.C. | | |
|--------|---|--|---------|----------------------------------|
| | Does the owner or operator voluntarily encourage poinvolved in product fabrication on methods of reducia) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effect monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessing implementing inventory control practices to prever managing cleanup solvents?———————————————————————————————————— | ing evaporative losses by: he air? fective application with a minimum of overspray? ve resin/get coat application? ent spillage? ffort to conduct the specific activity authorized by the ffects on adjacent property or on public use of the ronment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources, | | No No No No No No |
| | | | | |
| PART I | IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE | ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. | | |
| | eck ☑ appropriate box(es)) | | | |
| (che | eck 🗹 appropriate box(es)) New or Modified Process Equipment | | | |
| (che | New or Modified Process Equipment . Since the last inspection has there been | | ∐Yes ⊠ĭ | 10 |
| (che | New or Modified Process Equipment . Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment witho c) replacement of existing equipment substantially | out replacement?y different than that noted on the most | □Yes ⊠i | No |
| (che | New or Modified Process Equipment . Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment witho c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62- | out replacement?y different than that noted on the most the owner submit a new and complete 4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or | ☐Yes ☐I | No |
| (che | New or Modified Process Equipment . Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment witho c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62- | out replacement?y different than that noted on the most | ☐Yes ☐I | No No |
| (che | New or Modified Process Equipment . Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment witho c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62- | out replacement?y different than that noted on the most the owner submit a new and complete 4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or | ☐Yes ☐I | No No |
| (che | New or Modified Process Equipment Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment witho c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-local program office? | out replacement?y different than that noted on the most the owner submit a new and complete 4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or | ☐Yes ☐I | No No |
| (che | New or Modified Process Equipment . Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment witho c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did to notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-local program office? | out replacement?y different than that noted on the most the owner submit a new and complete 4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or | ☐Yes ☐I | No No |

COMMENTS: The facilities permit expired on 8/29/2008 and the facility did not renew their Air General Permit. The facility has been operating for approximately 2 years without a valid permit. When the facility opened it anticipated manufacturing three boats a year. Sea Force IX manufactures high end luxury fishing boats ranging 80-90 feet in length for a specific clientele. The luxury fishing boats typically sell anyone from 5 to 10 million dollars each and are special ordered. Due to the economy and customer demand, Sea Force is currently making approximately 1 boat every 2 years.

At the time of inspection there was a mold for a 91 foot luxury boat that had a layer of fiberglass and resin. The facility was not operating or putting another layer in the molding while DEP staff was on site for inspection. Woodworking and cabinets are typically subcontracted but occasionally the facility will manufacture wooden cabinets.

I, James Burkholder, recommended that the facility applies for an Air General Permit as soon as possible to show a good faith effort towards bringing the facility back into compliance. The facilities records were requested and will be e-mailed by Vanguard Environmental to actual Styrene and VOC emissions. A field warning notice was issued to Sea Force IX for Operating Without a Permit.