

## Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

October 26, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested joel@daviswatkins.com

Mr. Joel David Davis, Owner Professional Funeral Services of NW Florida, L.L.C. dba Panhandle Crematory 1474 Highway 83 North DeFuniak Springs, Florida 32433

Dear Mr. Davis:

On October 23, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 1310258. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

As a reminder, visible emission tests are required to be conducted once every calendar year on each emission unit. The test for calendar year 2012 shall be conducted on or before December 31, 2012.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850.595.0662 or jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

Carre Melton

CM/jw/c

Enclosure

## **HUMAN CREMATORY**

## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	Y (CI)				
AIRS ID#: 1310258 DATE: <u>10/23/12</u> ARRIVE: <u>11:56 AM</u> DEPART: <u>12:19 PM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: PANHANDLE CREMATORY						
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 1474 N 9TH ST						
DEFUNIAK SPRINGS	32433-3817					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:         JOEL DAVIS*         PHONE:         (850)951-1822           Email:         joel@daviswatkins.com         Mobile:         (850)307-8590           CONTACT NAME:         JOEL DAVIS*         PHONE:         (850)951-1822           Email:         joel@daviswatkins.com         Mobile:         (850)307-8590           ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:         9/23/2012 / 9/23/2017         (end date)						
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)						
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPI	LIANCE SIGNIFICANT	Γ Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>						
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Joel Davis</u>						
Brief Notes:						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JOEL DAVIS*? If no, who is?:		X Yes	□No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative up  3. Is the facility contact still JOEL DAVIS*? If no, who is?:			□No □No			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's ins If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15			⊠No □No			

## ${\bf Emissions~Unit~Section} \\ {\bf 1-HumanCrematory-prim/2ndarychmbrs, LPG, temp M\&R, opacM, 150 lbs/hr}$

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1	Complete AC amplication on if no AC normit initial CD registration received on or		
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	⊠ Yes	□No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	<u> </u>	
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Yes	□No
	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	⊠No
	Date of last inspection: 1/18/12		
4.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	$\nabla V_{ac}$	$\square$ $N_0$
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	⊠ Yes □ Yes	∐No ⊠No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	105	△١٥
	operation?	Yes	□No
	d. Date of last VE test: 11/18/11	_	
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?		□No
	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	∑ Yes	∐No
	If no, what was the problem (if known)?		
PA	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>		
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠No
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?		No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.	□ v <sub>20</sub>	III Ma
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes		LNo
	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible chiissions not exceeding 1370 opacity shan be anowed for up to 35x minutes	In any one-nour,	
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes	⊠No
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?	Yes	No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.	T7	— » т
2	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	rds?	⊠No
	If yes, what reason?		∠310
l			
P/	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		
1.	RI III. MONTORING/RECORDISEDI ING REGORDANE.		
			ĺ
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	⊠No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	(4.40)	
	Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
2	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the		
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes	□No
b	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence	<del></del>	
	time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	Yes	□No
	(Application or initial notification: 1 received on or after 8/30/89; 2 received before 8/30/89)		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)			
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?  1) All temperature measurements		Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings</li> <li>e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)</li></ul>	lly	Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No ≥No □No □No
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES			
If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:     a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?  b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber?	)n	Yes Yes	□No
<ul> <li>2. If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:         <ul> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li> <li>b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crematic process begins in the primary chamber?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	on	Yes Yes	□No
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>			
<ol> <li>Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?</li></ol>		Yes Yes Yes	⊠No □No □No
DADT VI. FOLIDMENT MAINTEN ANCE			
PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>			
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?</li> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?</li></ol>		Yes Yes	□No

3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	-	Yes	⊠No
If no, skip a. – b.  a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift?		Yes	□No
b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	- 🗌	Yes	□No
			J
PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box)			
☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPI	<u>IANC</u>	CE	
Emissions Unit Section			
Emissions Unit Section 2 – HumanCrematory-prim/2ndarychmbrs,LPG,tempM&R,opacM,150lbs/	<u>hr</u>		
DADET. ΕΠ Ε DEVIEW ΒΡΙΩΡ ΤΩ ΙΝΩΡΕΛΤΙΩΝ			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or			
after August 30, 1989?b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the	$\boxtimes$	Yes	□No
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time			
at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?2. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?		Yes Yes	∐No ⊠No
<ul> <li>3. Date of last inspection: 1/18/12</li> <li>4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> </ul>			
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		Yes Ves	□No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	_	Yes	⊠No
operation?	Ш	Yes	□No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		Yes Yes	□No □No
If no, what was the problem (if known)?		1 05	
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>			
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- 🗆	Yes	⊠No
a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes Yes	No
	- Ш	1 05	
c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		Yes	□No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	s in any	one-hour)	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		Yes Yes	⊠No □No
b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	_		
YesNo  c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.	_		
<ul><li>d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?</li></ul>		Yes	□No
If yes, what reason?		Yes	⊠No
if yes, what reason?			

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		
Were there any objectionable odors detected?  An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	☐ Yes (1-10)	⊠No
<ul> <li>2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –</li> <li>a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?</li></ul>	∑ Yes     ✓ Yes       Yes	□No □No
1) All temperature measurements 2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations 3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on (8/24/12) 4) Adjustments 5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	<ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li></ul>	No  No  No  No  No
<ul> <li>d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markingse. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)</li></ul>	ally Yes Yes	□No ⊠No □No □No
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES  1. If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:  a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?  b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremat process begins in the primary chamber?	☐ Yes ion ☐ Yes	□No
2. If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?  b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremat process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠ Yes ion ⊠ Yes	□No □No
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>		
Other than human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	- Yes	⊠No
2. Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	<ul><li>∑ Yes</li><li>∑ Yes</li></ul>	□No □No

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE				
Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manu     Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating pr shutdown and malfunction?	ocedures during startup,		□No	
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame cha If no, skip a. – b.  a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	racteristics?during each operating shift?	☐ Yes	□No □No □No	
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck <b>☑</b> only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIAN	CE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE		
Facility	y Section			
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES				
Administrative Changes:  1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No  2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been Yes No  a. Installation of any new process equipment? Yes No  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? Yes No  d. A change in ownership? Yes No  If the any answer to 3a d. is Yes , was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change? Yes No				
Jennifer Waltrip	October 23, 2012			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	May 2013			
	Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection		
COMMENTS: On October 23, 2012, Department personnel cor Panhandle Crematory in Walton County. The Department would assistance during the inspection.	like to thank Ms. Hilda Davis and Mr. Jo			