

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 Governmental Center, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5794 Charlie Crist Governor

Jeff Kottkamp Lt. Governor

Mimi A. Drew Secretary

November 19, 2010

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested joel@daviswatkins.com

Mr. Joel David Davis, Owner Professional Funeral Services of NW Florida, L.L.C. dba Panhandle Crematory 1474 Highway 83 North DeFuniak Springs, Florida 32433

Dear Mr. Davis:

On November16, 2010, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 1310258. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Carol Melton at 850/595-0616 or carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Rich Bradbon

Rick Bradburn Air Program Administrator

RB/cm/c

Enclosure

"More Protection, Less Process" www.dep.state.fl.us



HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVER	Y (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 1310258 DA	TE: <u>11/16/10</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:20 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:55 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: PA	ANHANDLE CREMATORY				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 1474 N Hwy 83				
	DEFUNIAK SPRINGS	32433			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: joel@davisw CONTACT NAME: Ja Email: joel@davisw ENTITLEMENT PERI	oel Davis vatkins.com	Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(850)951-1822 (850)333-1535 (850)951-1822		
	Facility Section				
	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	$\operatorname{reck} \overline{\mathbf{\square}}$ only one box)			
🛛 IN COMPLIAN	ICE MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIGNIFICANT	T Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: <u>ONSITE INT</u>	RODUCTORY MEETING		(check 🗹 only one		
1. Name(s) of facility rep	presentative(s): Joel Davis and H	<u>iilda Davis</u>	box for each question)		
Brief Notes:					
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	presentative still JOEL DAVIS?		YesNo		
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact s If no, who is?:	cility provide an administrative up still ?	pdate within 30 days?	☐ Yes ☐No ∑ Yes ☐No		

 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? ----- □ Yes
 □ Yes

 If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance? ---- □ Yes
 □.No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – Human Crematory Incinerator (N20 Series)</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the 	🛛 Yes	No
 secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit? 2. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007? 3. Date of last inspection: 1/12/10 	Xes Yes	□No ⊠No
 4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	Xes	□No □No
operation? \boxtimes N/A d. Date of last VE test: $10/28/10$	Yes	No
 d. Date of last VE test: 10/28/10 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		□No □No
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠No □No □No
 c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
 Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?		⊠No □No □No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO star	ndards?	
If yes, what reason?	Tyes	⊠No
PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	🖾No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	Xes	No
 b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at 1,800¹ □ 1,600² degrees was determined?		8No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

_	And the Cillingian mercula land on Cillingia interaction. Constrained the mertities of the		
C.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		_
	1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes	No
	2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;		
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	X Yes	No
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on (7/20/09)	Xes	□No
	4) Adjustments	X Yes	□No
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	X Yes	□No
	 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices 	Yes	\square No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	🖾No
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica	lly	
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	Yes	□No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity		
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	T Yes	□No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	☐ Yes	□No
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended mantenance senedure?		10

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each	
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the criprocess begins in the primary chamber? 	remation	□No □No
 If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600 throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the comprocess begins in the primary chamber? 	Xes remation	□No

PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>		(check \blacksquare box for each	
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	- 🗌 Yes	🖾No
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		□No □No

	box for each	only one question)
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	🛛 Yes	No
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	🛛 Yes	No
3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics? If no, skip a. – b.	Ves	No
 a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once during each operating shift? b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary? 		No No

PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTIO</u>	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	\checkmark only one box)
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

F

Emissions Unit Section 2 – Human Crematory Incinerator (B & L Phoenix II-1)

D 4			
F A	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		only one
		box for each	question)
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		
1.	after August 30, 1989?	🖂 Yes	No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	Xes Yes	No
2	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	\square Yes	⊠No
	Date of last inspection: 1/12/10		
	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
4.		X Yes	No
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	🛛 Yes	No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing ∇I N(A		
	operation? \square N/A	∐ Yes	No
	d. Date of last VE test: 10/28/10	N - - -	
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?		No
	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	· 🛛 Yes	No
	If no, what was the problem (if known)?		
РА	ART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING		1
	AT II. VISIDLE EMISSIONS LESTING	(check	only one
l		box for each	question)
1	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- Yes	🖾No
1.	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver?		⊠No
Ì	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		No No
	D. was the visible emissions test conducted according to EFA without 9?		NO
	The mission test regulted in an anality of		
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	☐ Yes	$\square N_{2}$
			□No
	(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes	in any one-nour)	
2	Was a -init-lo amissions tost conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	\Box Vac	🖾No
۷.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?		
	a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cadaver?		No
	b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
	c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.	— • 7	
	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
3.	Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standa		
		Yes	🖾No
	If yes, what reason?		
PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
			question
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	🖾No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:		
	Downwind odor level detected- Wind direction - Upwind odor level detected-	(1-10)	
		(,	
2.	Continuous Monitoring Systems –		
	Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the		
	secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🛛 Yes	No
h	Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence		
C	time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	🖂 Yes	No
	(Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89)		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

_	And the Cillingian mercula land on Cillingia interaction. Constrained the mertities of the		
C.	Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?		_
	1) All temperature measurements	🛛 Yes	No
	2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements;		
	monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	X Yes	No
	3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on (7/20/09)	Xes	□No
	4) Adjustments	X Yes	□No
	5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	X Yes	□No
	 6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices 	Yes	\square No
d.	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		
	when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
e.	Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	Yes	🖾No
	(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica	lly	
	control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	T Yes	□No
	(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity		
	exceeds 15% opacity ?	T Yes	□No
	(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	☐ Yes	□No
	accordance with the manufacturer's recommended mantenance senedure?		10

PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION Z	ONE TEMPERATURES	(check $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ box for each	
throughout the combustion process in t b. secondary chamber combustion zone tem	August 30, 1989 is the: dary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F he primary chamber? perature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremat ?		□No □No
throughout the combustion process in t b. secondary chamber combustion zone tem	<u>R</u> August 30, 1989 is the: condary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F he primary chamber? perature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremat ?		□No

PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>			only one question)
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	Yes	🖾No
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	5
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	- 🛛 Yes	No
 Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	- 🗌 Yes	□No □No □No □No
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 🗹 only one box)		

	、	•
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGN

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions uni operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	ts or Ves	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	- 🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	 ∴No ∴No ∴No ∴No ∴No ∴No

Carol Melton

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

11/16/10

Date of Inspection

/s/

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: This was an unannounced inspection. The facility was not operating at the time of inspection. The units appeared to be well maintained. Visible emissions tests were conducted on October 28, 2010 and results indicated compliance.