ATT FROM
1 Jone
FLORIDA
10000000000

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NT/DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0112623 DATE: <u>3/9/07</u> ARRIVE: <u>1</u> FACILITY NAME: VISTA BMW	330 DEPART: <u>1430</u>	
FACILITY LOCATION: 4401 West Sample Road		
COCONUT CREEK 33067		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: JONATHAN CHARITT	PHONE: (954)942-7400	
CONTACT NAME: same	PHONE: (
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLEMENT PERIO	DD: 5/1/2003 / 5/1/2008 (effective date) (end date)	
	(encente date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	box)] SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?		
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No		

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check d appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	Xes 🗌 No
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c)	considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
d)	implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Xes 🗌 No

- /		8	8	<u> </u>
c)	considering the use	of low-VOC coatings (e.g	waterborne ultra-violet cured	or powder coatings)? \Box

- c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?------
- e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:
 1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning

1.	spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning			
	cvc]es?	Yes	\square	No
	cycles.			110
2)	recycling cleaning solvents?	VVes		No

Yes D No 2) recycling cleaning solvents?-3) using water based cleaners?----- 🗌 Yes 🖾 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDU</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	J <u>RES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? Yes No b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? Yes No c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? Yes No d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office? 		
Art Pennetta	3/9/07	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	3/08	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: