| OWNERIAL PROTECTION |
|---------------------|
| Same Coore |
| FLORIDA |
| |

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) | COMPLAINT/DISCOV ARMS COMPLAINT N | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| AIRS ID#: 0090211 DATE: <u>12/7/2009</u> | ARRIVE: <u>13:30</u> | DEPART: <u>15;00</u> | |
| FACILITY NAME: BEST FRIENDS CREMATION | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 685 CHILDRE AVE | | | |
| TITUSVILLE 32796 | | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: CHR | SISTINE DUNN PHON | TE: (321)264-2399 | |
| CONTACT NAME: | PHON | IE: | |
| ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 2/9/2009 / 2/9/2014 (effective date) (end date) | | | |
| | | | |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch | | | |
| IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMP | LIANCE SIGNIFICA | ANT Non-COMPLIANCE | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREM (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) | <u> 1ENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, I | F.A.C. | |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) | | | TYes No |
| (check appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this | site visit according to EPA M | | |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? | site visit according to EPA Marce, was an annual visible em | lethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 | ☐Yes ⊠ No |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? | site visit according to EPA Market was an annual visible emin, and within 60 days prior to | Iethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (F | □Yes ⊠ No Rule |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate individual source complian days prior to the AGP Notification form submissio 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C.) 4. In order to demonstrate individual source complian | site visit according to EPA M ice, was an annual visible em n, and within 60 days prior to ice were the remaining applic | lethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (F able standards testing | □Yes ⊠ No Rule ⊠Yes □ No |
| (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected? 2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demonstrate individual source complian days prior to the AGP Notification form submissio 62-296.401(6)(j), F.A.C.) 4. In order to demonstrate individual source complian completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission form submission completed within 60 days prior to the AGP Notification form submission fo | site visit according to EPA Marce, was an annual visible em n, and within 60 days prior to ce were the remaining applic cation form submission? (Rul | lethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (F able standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) | □Yes ⊠ No Rule |
| (check d appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? | site visit according to EPA Marce, was an annual visible emin, and within 60 days prior to acce were the remaining applic cation form submission? (Rulpelow the requirements of 100 y average basis and tested acc | Iethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (F able standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by cording to EPA Method | ☐ Yes ☐ No Rule ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| (check d appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? | site visit according to EPA Marce, was an annual visible emin, and within 60 days prior to acce were the remaining applic cation form submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 100 y average basis and tested acc | Iethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (F able standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) o parts per million by cording to EPA Method | □Yes □ No Rule □ No □Yes □ No □Yes □No □Yes □ No |
| (check d appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? | site visit according to EPA Marce, was an annual visible emin, and within 60 days prior to acce were the remaining applic cation form submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 100 y average basis and tested accord 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, Fual to or below the requirements of a context of the requirements of the requirements of a context of the requirements of the requirements of a context of the requirements of the requirements of a context of the requirements of | Iethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (F able standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) parts per million by ording to EPA Method | □Yes □ No Rule □Yes □ No □Yes □No |
| (check d appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? | site visit according to EPA Marce, was an annual visible emin, and within 60 days prior to the remaining applic cation form submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 100 y average basis and tested according to or below the requirements of 2-297, Full to or below the requirements of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, Full to or below the requirements of 7% O ₂ and tested according | Iethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (F able standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) 0 parts per million by ording to EPA Method | □Yes □ No QYes □ No |
| (check d appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? | site visit according to EPA Marce, was an annual visible emin, and within 60 days prior to be were the remaining applic cation form submission? (Rubelow the requirements of 100 y average basis and tested according to or below the requirements of 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, Full to or below the requirements of 27% O ₂ and tested according the manufacture of the manufact | Iethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (F able standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) o parts per million by oording to EPA Method F.A.C.)? | □Yes □ No Quee □ No QYes □ No |
| (check d appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? | site visit according to EPA M acce, was an annual visible emin, and within 60 days prior to acce were the remaining applic cation form submission? (Rul below the requirements of 100 y average basis and tested according od 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, H ual to or below the requirements o 7% O_2 and tested according e operating at the manufacture | Iethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (F able standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) o parts per million by cording to EPA Method F.A.C.)? | □Yes □ No QYes □ No |
| (check d appropriate box(es)) 1. Were there any objectionable odor(s) detected?2. Was a visible emissions test conducted during this 62-297, F.A.C.)? | site visit according to EPA M acce, was an annual visible em n, and within 60 days prior to acce were the remaining applic cation form submission? (Rul below the requirements of 100 y average basis and tested according od 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F ual to or below the requirements o 7% O_2 and tested according e operating at the manufactur ission of a test report for an in- to the date of the last formal | Iethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter issions test conducted 60 o each anniversary date? (F able standards testing e 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) o parts per million by ording to EPA Method | □Yes □ □ No □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No |

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))

| 1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record to primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co | | |
|---|-----------------|----------|
| accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? | | |
| | | |
| a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed? | M Y es | |
| b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the rec | cording of | t such |
| measurements, maintenance, reports and records? | <u> </u> | — |
| 1) All measurements (including CEMS) | ∐Yes | |
| 2) Monitoring device | ⊠Yes | No No |
| 3) Performance Testing Measurements | Yes | No No |
| 4) CEMS Performance Evaluation | Yes | l No |
| 5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks | Yes | No No |
| 6) Adjustments | Yes | No No |
| 7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices | ⊠Yes | No No |
| 8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices | ⊠Yes | No No |
| 2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one 🗹 box) | | |
| a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4) | | |
| b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4) | | |
| 3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the: | | |
| a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F? | Yes | No No |
| b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F | | |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | Yes | No No |
| c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature | | |
| is equal to or greater than 1400°F? | Yes | No No |
| d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to | | |
| record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the | | |
| secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions? | Yes | No No |
| 4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: | | |
| a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence tim | ne | |
| @ 1800° F? | Yes | □ No |
| b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than $1600^{\circ}F$ | | |
| throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? | Yes | 🖂 No |
| c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600° F before the cremation | | |
| process begins in the primary chamber? | Yes | □ No |
| 5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated | | |
| plastics used during the cremation of dead animals? | Yes | No No |
| a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the | | |
| are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of | | |
| their use and for at least two years after their use? | Yes | □ No |
| b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils | | |
| thick? | Yes | □ No |
| c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other | | |
| materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location? | Yes | 🖂 No |
| 6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less? | \boxtimes Yes | |
| During this review period, was the targest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour of less? Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program? | \boxtimes Yes | |
| a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration | | |
| of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment? | | |
| of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment? | ⊠Yes | No No |

| ART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u> | | |
|---|--------------|----|
| 1. Since the last inspection has there been | | |
| a) installation of any new process equipment? | Yes | No |
| b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? | Yes | No |
| c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? | Yes | No |
| d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete | | |
| notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office? | Yes | No |
| 2. If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permit was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit? | Yes | No |
| 3. In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?a) submitted within the 15 day required window following the training? | ☐Yes ☐Yes | No |
| | | |

Michael Young & Allen Rainey

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

2/7/2009

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: CHART RECORDINGS WHERE NOT MARKED TO INDICATE WHEN CREMATION BEGINS AND ENDS. THERE ARE SEVERAL CHARTS THAT SHOW THAT THE TEMPERATURE WAS <1600 $^{\circ}$ F