

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 7775208 DATE: 6/21/13 ARRIVE: 9:35am DEPAR	T: <u>10:00am</u>			
FACILITY NAME: XPRESS MATERIALS LLC-WILDWOOD PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 8302 NE 44TH DR				
WILDWOOD 34785-9183				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ED ABSHIER Email: ed@abshiereng.com CONTACT NAME: JIM GRAHAM Email: jim.graham@xpressmaterials.us ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/14/2013 / 6/14/2018 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (352)245- Mobile: Mobile: Mobile:				
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	() , [] ,			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Karl Kimmons</u>	(check ✓ only one box for each question)			
Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still ED ABSHIER? If no, who is?:	- ⊠ Yes □No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still JIM GRAHAM? If no, who is?:				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –528 bbl Cement Silo 1 w/Baghouse Plant #1 (South) subject to Reasonable Precautions

1-320 bbi Cement Sho 1 w/Dagnouse 1 lant #1 (Bouth) subject to Reasonable 1	recautions	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	*	only one
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each	question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncoremissions by:	nfined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of t	the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?		☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	× Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	of	
particulate matter from stock piles?	\(\times \text{ Yes}	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	☐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	☐ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 2 –1069 BBL Cement Silo 2 w/Baghouse Plant #1 (South) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		_
1 ART 1. FILE REVIEW I RIOR TO INDICATION	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(-11- [7]	1
	(check	•
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	box for each	question)
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		ļ
<u> </u>		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unco emissions by:	nfined	
- Management of modes modeling among stock miles and young which shall include any or mouse of	41 fallowing	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of		□ N-
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to		□ N.
control emissions?	🔀 Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	N **	
particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment	of Sara	
particulate matter from stock piles?	🔀 Yes	∐ No
		_
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	\(\times \text{ Yes}	∐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	<u> </u> Yes	∐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		∐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section 3 –Mixing Batcher Vent w/Baghouse Plant #1 (South) subject to Reasonable Precautions

5 - Whiting Batcher Vent W/Baghouse Flant #1 (South) subject to Reasonable Fred	<u>cautions</u>	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	X Yes	□ No□ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	_	□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛭 Yes	☐ No
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Emissions Unit Section 4 –Truck Loading Recovery System Plant #1 (South) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
	(check ✓ box for each c	only one question)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		•
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncoremissions by:	nfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of t 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	X Yes	□ No
control emissions?		☐ No
removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section <u>5 - Cement Silo & Truck Loadout w/Central Dust Collector Plnt #2 subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DARTH BY DONGERYATIONS DAY (2.20 / 114/2) EAG		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Young	(check ✓ box for each Yards	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to cor emissions by:	ntrol unconfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when no 	ecessary to	□ No
control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of to owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborn.	the	∐ No
particulate matter?	rainment of	□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to t	<u>_</u>	
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 6 -Batcher Vent Dust Collector Plant #2 (North) subject to Reasonable Precautions

6 -Batcher Vent Dust Conector Frant #2 (North) subject to Reasonable Frecat	<u> 1110118</u>	
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 7/15/09 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		No No No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ined	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	X Yes	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	·	□ No□ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No□ No

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one h question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared	<u>une/yr</u> < 1.0 e/yr	00?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption Yes	☐ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	only one h question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	· 🛚 Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹	•
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of bot concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants?		box for each ag question 2.)	•
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	Yes	☐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department of e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business days. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Noti	ay prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five bus c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notif	iness days following a relocation?	- Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fi			☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separat and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions up a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine p	nit in that separate permit:		☐ No
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long	it was		
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?			∐ No □ No
CHANGES		(check ☑ box for each	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of	of the facility or authorized represents		question)
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocat	, or audionized represent		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor ad 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	ion of the facility or any emissions unministrative change at the facility?	nits or 	⊠ No □ No
 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been 	ion of the facility or any emissions un ministrative change at the facility? ys of the change?	nits or Yes Yes	☐ No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	ion of the facility or any emissions ur ministrative change at the facility? ys of the change? nt? bstantially different?	its or	
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ion of the facility or any emissions ur ministrative change at the facility? ys of the change? nt? bstantially different? ation form and the appropriate fee sub-	its or	No No No No No
 If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ion of the facility or any emissions ur ministrative change at the facility? ys of the change? nt? bstantially different? ation form and the appropriate fee sub-	rits or Yes	No No No No No No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ion of the facility or any emissions ur ministrative change at the facility? ys of the change? nt? bstantially different? ation form and the appropriate fee sub-	rits or Yes	No No No No No No
 If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	ion of the facility or any emissions ur ministrative change at the facility? ys of the change? nt? bstantially different? ation form and the appropriate fee sub	rits or Yes	No No No No No No
 If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 day New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? ————————————————————————————————————	ion of the facility or any emissions ur ministrative change at the facility? ys of the change? bstantially different? ation form and the appropriate fee sub	rits or Yes	No No No No No No

COMMENTS: The facility appeared to be in good condition. The baghouse filters are changed approximately once a month. BMPs are used to reduce emissions such as water on belts and washing of trucks prior to leaving the site. It was noted that a dust cap was missing from the Fly Ash Pipe. The facility replaced this and sent the Department an email the same day. The fuel records were also sent via email. Karl Kimmons escorted the Department throughout the facility. Mike Moore is the plant manager. He can be reached at 352-303-4439 or Mike.Moore@xpressmaterials.us.