

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

January 23, 2012

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested suecu@readymixusa.com

Mr. Marc Bryant Tyson, President Ready Mix USA, LLC Post Office Box 101868 Birmingham, Alabama 32510

Dear Mr. Tyson:

On January 18, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 7775203. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carol Melton

Air Compliance Supervisor

Carre Melton

CM/jw/c

Enclosure



CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)					
AIRS ID#: 7775203 DATE: <u>1/18/12</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:45 A.M.</u>	DEPART:					
FACILITY NAME: DEFUNIAK SPRINGS READY MIX PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 91 GENE HURLEY RD						
DEFUNIAK SPRINGS 36304-3476						
Email: ErinC@readymixusa.com CONTACT NAME: WILEY WILLOUGHBY Mobile: (PHONE:	(205)986-4800 (205)314-9942 (850)785-1934 (850)420-0292					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
Is the Authorized Representative still ERIN CHRISTIE? If no, who is?: Sue Cummings, Environmental Coordinator	Yes \(\sigma\)No					
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still WILEY WILLOUGHBY?						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?						

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Cement Concrete Batch Plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

1.	Date of last inspection: 11/16/10 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	box for each Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	only one question) No No No No No No No No No
PA	ART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	☐ No
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	☐ No
	d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
	that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not loade. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		pection. No
	f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	☐ No
	If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ and go to	h	
	 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate 	ite and	∐ No
	duration? 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu		☐ No
	h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which	h is separate	
	from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collected while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration	? Yes	☐ No
2.	2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minut Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	_	⊠ No
	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		☐ No
	b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	☐ No
	d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.		

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 box for each	•
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	⊠ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- Yes - Yes - Yes	No No No No No No
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM g	$\frac{\text{ane/yr}}{\text{ne/yr}} \le 1.00$)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS		
GENERAL COMMITTORIS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	_	☐ No
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		□ No

R	RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ on	•
1.	. Is the facility: stationary \square ; relocatable \boxtimes ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only stationary, skip the stationary and stationary skip the stationary and stationary skip the stationary and stationary and stationary skip the stationary and stationary at the stationary and stationary at the stationary and stationary and stationary at the stationary and stationary at the stationary at the</i>		estion)
	. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		⊠ No
	 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by tele-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location. b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62] 	on? Yes [No
	to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? 2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	cation? Yes [-210.900(6)]	No No
3	to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to red. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air op		No
	and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no report of YES, what was the purpose?		☐ No
	b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes [No No
C	CHANGES	(check ☑ on	ly one
		box for each qu	
 2. 	 Administrative Changes: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any erroperations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	nissions units or facility? Yes	⊠ No □ No
3.	. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?		
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership?		⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
4.	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	Yes Yes	No No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership? If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate that is substantially different?	Yes Yes	No No No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership? If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate of the change?	Yes Yes	No No No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership? If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropria 30 days prior to the change?	Yes Yes	No No No

COMMENTS: On January 18, 2012, Department personnel conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection of Couch Ready Mix USA DeFuniak Springs Plant. At the time of the inspection, the facility was not operating and no facility personnel were on site. The facility has been in long term reserve shutdown since August 2008. As a reminder, a visible emissions test will be required within 30 days of restarting the facility.