

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 7775203 DATE: 6/12/09 ARRIVE: 1:48 pm DEPART: 2:51 pm			
FACILITY NAME: DEFUNIAK SPRINGS READY MIX PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION: 91 GENE HURLEY RD			
DEFUNIAK SPRINGS 36304-3476			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ERIN CHRISTIE PHONE: (205)986-4856			
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/19/2008 / 9/19/2013 (effective date) (end date)			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?□Yes ☒ No			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?───────────────────────────────────			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	e ⊠Yes □ No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	⊠Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?	e ⊠Yes □ No
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable [concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)	g Yes No Yes No
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	g]Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)						
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)						
 Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 						
					s, stock piles, and yards?	es No
				2) application of water or environmentally safe di	ust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	Zos 🗆 No
		es 🗀 No				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?						
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of		110				
particulate matter from stock piles?		es No				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to miti	gate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	es No				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE	S – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.					
A. New or Modified Process Equipment						
1. Since the last inspection has there been		es ⊠ No				
a) installation of any new process equipment?						
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially	b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?					
recent notification form?		es No				
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did th						
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4	4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or					
local program office?	Y	es No				
Jennifer Waltrip	6/12/09					
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection					
Amile 1 Dollain	June 2010					
Inspector s Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection					
COMMENTS: A Department representative conducted an un	nannounced annual air program compliance inspection of	n June 12				
2009 at the Couch Ready Mix USA facility located in Walton						
present; thus, no records could be reviewed.	country. The memory was not in operation at the time and	2 113 0110 11413				
r						

Speed limit signs were posted at the entrance to the plant to aid in controlling fugitive emissions from the yard. To prevent wind blown emissions, aggregate is stored in 3-sided concrete wind breaks which are 10-12 feet high and equipped with sprinklers. At the time of the inspection, the storage bins were empty. The plant has a centralized dust collector for the two silos and weigh hopper and a partial enclosure to control emissions during loading of the trucks.

The annual visible emissions ("VE") test for 2009 was scheduled for June 1, 2009. At the time of the inspection, the Department had not yet received the test results. The answers above in Part II, Stack Emissions, are based on the 2008 VE test results. The 2008 VE test was conducted by HS&E Resources, Inc., on July 7, 2008. No emissions were observed during the 30-minute test. The test results submitted to the Department did not indicate, as required, whether the batching operation was active during the test. Please note that future test reports should include this information. See Rule 62-296.414(3)(c), Florida Administrative Code.