

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:		
AIRS ID#: 7775203 DA	TE: <u>6/21/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>2:40</u>	DEPART: 2:45		
FACILITY NAME: DEFUNIAK SPRINGS READY MIX PLANT					
FACILITY LOCATION: 37 Gene Hurley Rd					
DEFUNIAK SPRINGS 36304					
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	IAL: MARC TYSON	PHO	DNE: (205)986-4800		
CONTACT NAME:		PHO	ONE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITL	EMENT PERIOD: 8/14/2 (effective			
PART I: INSPECTION	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck v only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-COM	PLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?					
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?					
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted					
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?					
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then					
skip 4.a) and 4.b) a) Was the batchi	and continue on to question 5.)- ng operation in operation during	the visible emissions test?		s □ No s □ No	
b) During the visi	ible emissions test, was the batch	hing rate representative of tl			
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) open	ration are controlled by a du	st collector, which is separate	s 🔲 NO	
	collector, are the visible emission patching at a rate that is represent	0 11	r (batcher) dust collector g rate and duration?	s 🗌 No	

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)				
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	∐Yes □ No			
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form]Yes □ No]Yes □ No			
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \)				
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable ☑; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)				
 Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check </i> □ <i>only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)□ a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	Yes □ No Yes □ No			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d)</i>, <i>below.</i>)	Yes □ No			

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:					
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control					
emissions?					
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of					
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes					
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \Big Yes \Big N					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR	RES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment					
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?					
	nout replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?					
recent notification form? Yes No d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete					
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or					
local program office? \Bysec Yes					
G 11/1	6/01/07				
Carol Melton	6/21/07				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
•	•				
/s/					
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
COMMENTS:					
This was an un-announced inspection. No one was on the site and the plant was not operating at the time of the inspection, thus,					
certain areas of the Compliance Inspection Checklist above, could not be completed.					
The Block USA Ready Mix USA Dispatcher, located in a nearby trailer, stated that the plant is rarely in operation.					
The site was photographed as part of the inspection.					
A VE test is scheduled for 7/16/07.					
Two stationary plants are located nearby.					
All three plants appear to be under common ownership and control.					