

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)							
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
AIRS ID#: 0251142 DATE: <u>12/5/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:44 AM</u> DEPAR	T: <u>11:10 AM</u>						
FACILITY NAME: MEDLEY BLOCK INDUSTRIES CORP.							
FACILITY LOCATION: 9722 NW 116th Way							
MEDLEY 33178-1180							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JUAN ALVAREZ Email: shernandez@medleyblock.com CONTACT NAME: DAVID ALVAREZ Email: shernandez@medleyblock.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/6/2013 / 6/6/2018 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)558-1 Mobile: PHONE: (305)557-0 Mobile:							
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
DADE H. ONGER INTRODUCTORY MERTING							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): DAVID ALVAREZ Priof Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)						
Brief Notes: 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JUAN ALVAREZ?	- 🛛 Yes 🔲No						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still DAVID ALVAREZ?							
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?							

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Concrete block plant subject to Reasonable Precautions

1 - Concrete block plant subject to Reasonable 1 recautions		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 11/30/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☑ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		1 /
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Fonts, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Fites, and Tarus		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: 	ned	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	_	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹 on	ly one box
		for each qu	lestion)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	 No No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		 No No No No No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propagation 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propagation 1.5 MM gal propagation 1.5 MM gal propagation 1.6 MM gal propagation 1.7 MM gal propagation 1.8 MM gal propagation 1.9 MM gal propagation	$\frac{\text{pane/yr}}{\text{ne/vr}} \le 1.00$)?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumers for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	mption	☐ No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check on for each qu	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	⊠ vos	□ No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	∐ No
3	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	X Yes	☐ No
٥.	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both	stationary and relocatable	(check 🗹 o	
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants?		question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	y prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	ness days following a relocation?	Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least fiv			☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine put of YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long is	t in that separate permit: rpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)		□ No
co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? -		Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
<u>CHANGES</u>		(check 🗹 o	-
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is sub d. A change in ownership?	?stantially different?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrat 30 days prior to the change?	ion form and the appropriate fee subn	nitted Yes	☐ No
FRANK DELGADO	12/5/2013		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	12/2014		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	ection	

COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS OPERATIONAL. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY. A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WILL BE PERFORMED BY SOUTH FLORIDA ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LATER THIS MONTH.

REVIEWED

By Ray Gordon at 9:44 am, Jan 09, 2014