A AN
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0251142 DATE: 12/13/2007 ARRIVE: 9:12 AM DEPART: 9:30 AM FACILITY NAME: MEDLEY BLOCK INDUSTRIES CORP. FACILITY LOCATION: 9722 NW 116 Way MEDLEY 33018 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JUAN ALVAREZ PHONE: (305)557-0100 CONTACT NAME: DAVID ALVAREZ PHONE: (305)557-0100
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/8/2003 / 5/7/2008 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)			
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)			
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) Yes Yes No 			
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)			
2. Did this facility demonstrate:			
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?			
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No			
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Action form Submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?			
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero			

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ⊠ appropriate box(es))
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠ only one box.</i>)
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> ,

then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
calendar year?	Yes No
c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
d) Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	Yes No
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	🖾 Yes 🗌 No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	□Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2)) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	3)) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator	to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	4)) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
			🛛 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	se of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1.	Sinc	the last inspection has there been		
	a)	installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
	b)	alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
	c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
		recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
		If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
		notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
		local program office?	Yes	🗌 No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

12/13/2007

Date of Inspection

12/2008

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: JASON WELSH FROM SOUTH FLORIDA ENVIRONMENTAL CONDUCTED A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST ON THE SILO'S DUST COLLECTOR. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE TEST.