CHURCHEL PROTECTION	
San Maria	
FLORIDA	

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:       ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)       COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)         RE-INSPECTION (FUI)       ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0571291 DATE: <u>10/29/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:45 a.m.</u> DEPART: <u>10:00 a.m.</u>						
FACILITY NAME: STAR CLEANERS						
FACILITY LOCATION: 6758 Memorial Hwy						
TAMPA 33615-4504						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:       LEO REINA       PHONE:       (727)432-5181         Email:       Mobile:         CONTACT NAME:       PHONE:         Email:       Mobile:         Email:       Mobile:         ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:       4/17/2003       / 4/17/2008         Facility may be operating without Entitlement!       (end date)						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         IN COMPLIANCE         MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE         SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II:       FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check ☑ only one box in A)       - Rule 62-213.300 FAC         A. 1.       Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)       2.       New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr						
3. Existing large area source $\square$ 4. New large area source $\square$ dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)4. New large area source $\square$ dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr tooth types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed of on or after 12/9/91)5. Ineligible for General Permit $\square$ d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits(constructed on or after 12/9/91)B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry						

cleaning facility was gallons.

PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC						
TART III. <u>GEMERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Ruit 02-215,500 FAC			check ☑ x for each c	only one		
1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?		Yes				
<ol> <li>Are all perc. containers leak free ?</li> </ol>		Yes		$\square$ N/A		
3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?		Yes				
4. Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least						
24 hours prior to disposal?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A		
5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source,						
routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from						
inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or						
equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with						
manufacturer's instructions		Yes	🗌 No	N/A		
6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds		V				
maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes	∐ No	∐ N/A		
PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC						
(Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page $\underline{1}$ of $\underline{4}$ , this form)						
1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. P	1. If the f acility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V.					
2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped v condenser. <b>Complete section A. below.</b>	with a	a refrig	erated			
3. If the facility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. <b>Complete both sections A and B below.</b> <i>Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i>						
4. If the facility classification is a <b><u>new large area source</u></b> , the machine should be equipped condenser. <b>Complete both sections A and B below.</b>	4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.					
		(	check 🗹	only one		
A. Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large area &amp; new sources</u> :			x for each c	uestion)		
1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?		Yes	🗌 No			
2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A		
3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A		
4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a						
refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?		Yes	🗌 No	N/A		
5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes	□ No	□ N/A		
		100				
6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?		Yes	🗌 No			

PA	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)			
<b>B.</b> 1.	<b>For all existing large or new large area sources:</b> Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?	Yes	🗌 No	
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?	Yes Yes	D No	□ N/A □ N/A
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A

PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC		check ☑ x for each c	only one uestion)
1.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	Yes	D No	
2.	Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ?	Yes	🗌 No	
3.	Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:			
	a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt?	Yes	🗌 No	□ N/A
4.	Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
5.	Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
6.	Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine?	Yes	🗌 No	
7.	Are deviation reports maintained?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
	a) Problem corrected?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A
8.	Is a compliance plan maintained , if applicable?	Yes	🗌 No	N/A

P	ART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC	(check 🗹 only one
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	box for each question)
	Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used	
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to	
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate	
	procedure) ?	Yes 🗌 No
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer	
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes No N/A
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of	
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along	
	the interface periphery?	Yes 🗌 No
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or	
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per	
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes No N/A
6.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations	
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and	
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting	
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? $\hfill = \hfill = \hf$	Yes No N/A
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn	nell or touch) while the
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?	
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	vection of perceptible leaks)
	b) Door gaskets and seating       Yes       No       N/A       h) Stills       C)         c) Filter gaskets and seating       Yes       No       N/A       i) Exhaust dampers       C)         d) Pumps       Yes       No       N/A       j) Diverter valves       Yes	Yes       No       N/A         Yes       No       N/A
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog	enated hydrocarbon detector
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	raph shall satisfy the
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under $(3.322(k) \text{ or } (l))$	
	b) Door gaskets and seating       Yes       No       N/A       h) Stills       C)         c) Filter gaskets and seating       Yes       No       N/A       i) Exhaust dampers       C)         d) Pumps       Yes       No       N/A       j) Diverter valves       Yes	Yes       No       N/A         Yes       No       N/A

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)				
<ul> <li>9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required?</li> <li>Leak log documentation RO Assurances On-site observation other</li> <li>Explain other :</li> </ul>				
Jason Waters and Stephen Hathaway	10/29/2010			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	n/a			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
perchloroethylene dry cleaner GP. The machine on-site was a Hamid Nasab, the perc dry cleaning equipment was removed fr	ner (Leyla Cleaners). Facility is no longer eligible for Union HL-850 dry cleaning machine. According to the owner, rom the site before his business took over. We took a brief look at the 0 solvent in the last 12 months. We thanked Mr. Nasab for his time			