

## FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

NORTHWEST DISTRICT BRACH OFFICE 470 HARRSION AVENUE PANAMA CITY, FLORIDA 32401 RICK SCOTT GOVERNOR HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR. SECRETARY

April 12, 2013

SENT VIA EMAIL steve@wilsonfuneralhome.net

Mr. Steve Wilson III President Wilson Funeral Home, Inc. 214 Airport Road Panama City, Florida 32405

Dear Mr. Wilson:

A Department representative inspected your facility to determine compliance with the Air Quality Operating Permit. The program identification number for this facility is **0050081**. Your permit **expires on April 6, 2014**. This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program.

The Panama City Branch Office reported a status of In Compliance for your facility. The inspection report is enclosed. Your facility compliance status may be subject to further review by the District Program Office.

The assistance you provided is appreciated. If you have any questions, your local contact is Mark Sumner at (850) 767-0046 or <a href="mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us">mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us</a>.

Sincerely,

Michael Mathews Environmental Manager

MM/cms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>)
Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)



## **HUMAN CREMATORY**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D  ARMS COMPLA	AINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0050081 DATE	E: <u>3//11/13</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:30</u>	DEPART:	<u> 11:00</u>	
FACILITY NAME: SEAS	IDE CREMATORY				
FACILITY LOCATION:	214 AIRPORT RD				
	PANAMA CITY 32	405			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: CONTACT NAME: Stev Email: steve@wilsonfu ENTITLEMENT PERIOL	e Wilson neralhome.net	WILSON	PHONE: (850)785-52 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	72	
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRO  1. Name(s) of facility representations.				(check ☑ box for each	•
Brief Notes: I met with Lisa Swain from HS&E Resources who performed a VE test at the time of this inspection.					
2. Is the Authorized Repres If no, who is?: NA	entative still J WILSON?			⊠ Yes	□No
If different, did the facility.  Is the facility contact still.  If no, who is?: NA	ty provide an administrative I Steve Wilson	update within 30 days?	N/A	☐ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
4. Will facility be conducting If yes, was the compliance	ng VE test(s) during today's ce authority notified at least	inspection?15 days in advance?		Yes Yes	□No □No

## Emissions Unit Section 1 – Human Crematory-Model IE43-Power-Pak II

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		(ahaalt 🔽	only one
· <u></u>		(check ☑ box for each o	only one
	•	JUA 101 Cacii c	question,
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or		<b>∇</b> / <b>3</b> 7	□ xτ.
after August 30, 1989?b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		⊠ Yes	∐No
	naa tima		
secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas resided at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	nce ume	⊠ Yes	□No
2. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?		Yes	□No
3. Date of last inspection: 2/28/2012			ZJ 10
4. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:			
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes Yes	□No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		⊠ Yes	□No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		<u></u>	
operation?	N/A	Yes	□No
d. Date of last VE test: 2/28/2012		_	_
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the	the test? N/A	A⊠ Yes	□No
f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		Yes	□No
If no, what was the problem (if known)? NA			
PART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING		🖂	-
FART II: VISIBLE ENIISSIONS TESTING	,	•	only one
	l	box for each o	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site vi	sit?	X Yes	□No
a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver		⊠ Yes	□No
b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		X Yes	□No
		Z	
c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute avera	ige.		
d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		⊠ Yes	□No
? (5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for		n any one-hour)	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?		Yes	⊠No
a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one (1) adult-sized cada			□No
b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		☐ Yes	□No
c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute avera			
d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?			□No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM ar	nd CO standar		
		Yes	⊠No
If yes, what reason? NA			
PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	<del></del>	(check 🗹	only one
	1	box for each of	•
		_	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?		Yes Yes	⊠No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters w			
Downwind odor level detected- NA Wind direction - SE Upwind odor level of	detected-NA (1	-10)	
2. Continuous Monitoring Systems –	1		
a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperature			□ NT.
secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		N / 1 17	
1. It is a superior with a superior many allowed at least at the distance where the 1.0 second as		⊠ Yes	∐No
b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second ga time at $\boxtimes 1,800^1$ $\square 1,600^2$ degrees was determined?	s residence	<ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>✓ Yes</li></ul>	□No

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)			
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?			
1) All temperature measurements	X Y	es	□No
2) all continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	X Ye	20	□No
3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on (11/1/12)			□No
4) Adjustments			No
5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	X Y		∐No
6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	X Y	es	∐No
d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	X Ye	20	□No
e. Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$ ? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)			□No  ⊠No
(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatica	.ll <u>y</u>		
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?	A∐ Ye	es	∐No
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity?	A∏ Ye	es	□No
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in			
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule? N/A	. N	es	□No
			-
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(chec		only one
	box for	each c	question)
1. If the application to construct was <b>BEFORE</b> August 30, 1989 is the:			
<ol> <li>If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:</li> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F</li> </ol>	_		
a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b> throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		es	□No
<ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul>	on_		
<ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul>			□No
<ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul>	on_		
<ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul>	on Ye	es	
<ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul>	on Ye	es	No
<ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul>	on Ye	es	□No
<ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul>	on Ye	es	No
<ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————</li></ul>	on Ye  Ye  On Ye  Ye	es es	No
<ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul>	on Ye	es es k 🗹	No
<ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————</li></ul>	on Ye	es es k 🗹	No
<ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————</li></ul>	On Ye	es es k 🗹	No
<ul> <li>a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? ————————————————————————————————————</li></ul>	on Ye	es es k 🗹	No
a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	on Ye Ye On Ye (chec box for	es es k 🗹 each c	No
a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	on Ye Ye On Ye (chec box for Ye)	es es k 🗹 each c	No

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufactur	er's specifications?	Yes	□No
2. Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedu shutdown and malfunction?		⊠ Yes	□No
<ul> <li>3. Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame character If no, skip a. – b.</li> <li>a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once durin b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?</li> </ul>	g each operating shift? N/A	∆ ∐ Yes	□No □No □No
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check 5	only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	IANCE	
Facility Section (c	continued)		
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes:			
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of</li> </ol>	of the facility or any emissions uni sistrative change at the facility?	ts or Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
<ul> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been: <ul> <li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li> <li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacent</li> <li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is</li> <li>d. A change in ownership?</li> <li>If the any answer to 3a. – d. is Yes, was a new registration for submitted 30 days prior to the change?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	nent?substantially different? substantially different?	Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li><li>□No</li></ul>
C. Mark Sumner	March 11, 2013		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
Mark Sen	March 2014		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Insp	pection	

**COMMENTS:** This facility operates an Industrial Equipment and Engineering company dual chambered gas fired human crematory incinerator model IE43- Power Pak II. As describes by the operator the unit is set to run at 1650 degrees in the secondary combustion chamber, and a random review of the temperature recording charts revealed that the temperature was at least 1600 degrees for all cremations.

This unit was constructed in 2005, and the current entitlement period for the general permit will end April 6, 2014.

The unit was operating at the time of this inspection the cadaver was approximatly 120 lb adult. No odors or visible emissions were noticed during this inspection.

At the time of this inspection a VE test was being performed by HS&E Resources in accordance with EPA method 9. The Department was notified of the VE testing on 2/21/2013.

The cremation containers used at this facility were manufactured by McDonald Container, and the facility has documentation on file from the manufacturer certifying that the containers are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics.

A copy of Mr. Steve Wilson III's training certificate was on file and avaliable for review.

The facility appears clean and well maintained. All requested records were avaliable onsite for immediate review.

The cremation unit was last services and calibrated my Mathew's Cremation Services on 11/1/2012.