

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

> Northwest District Office 2353 Jenks Avenue Panama City, Florida 32405-4389

Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

March 21, 2012

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL steve@wilsonfuneralhome.net

Mr. Steve Wilson III President Wilson Funeral Home, Inc. 214 Airport Road Panama City, Florida 32405

Dear Mr. Wilson:

On February 28, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected the Seaside Crematory ID 0050081. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact C. Mark Sumner at 850/767-0046, or by email at <u>mark.c.sumner@dep.state.fl.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Clifford D. Wilson III, P.E. Panama City Branch Administrator

CDW/ms

Enclosure

c: Ms. Mary Beth Curle, FDEP Pensacola (<u>mary.beth.curle@dep.state.fl.us</u>) Ms. Carol Melton, FDEP Pensacola (<u>carol.melton@dep.state.fl.us</u>)



HUMAN CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D		ά (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0050081 DA	TE: <u>2/28/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:28</u>		DEPART:	<u>10:22</u>	
FACILITY NAME: SEA	ASIDE CREMATORY					
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 214 AIRPORT RD					
	PANAMA CITY 32	2405-				
	D REPRESENTATIVE: J	WILSON		(850)785-527	2	
Email: CONTACT NAME: St Email: steve@wilsor ENTITLEMENT PERIC	nfuneralhome.net		Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(850)785-527	2	
Facility Section						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
	RODUCTORY MEETING presentative(s): <u>Steve Wilson</u>				(check \blacksquare box for each	2
Brief Notes: <u>I met with Steve Wilson and Lisa Swain from HS&E who performed a VE test at the time of this inspection.</u>						
 Is the Authorized Repu If no, who is?: <u>NA</u> 	resentative still J WILSON?				X Yes	□No
If different, did the fac 3. Is the facility contact s If no, who is?: <u>NA</u>	cility provide an administrative still Steve Wilson	e update within 30 days?	,	N/A	Yes Yes	□No □No
	cting VE test(s) during today's ance authority notified at least				⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – Human Crematory-Model IE43-Power-Pak II</u>

PA	ART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each o	
1.	 a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989? b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the 	🛛 Yes	No
3.	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No ⊠No
4.	 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
	operation? 🛛 N/A	Yes	No
	 d. Date of last VE test: 2/28/2012 e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? N f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?		□No □No
-			
PA	ART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
1.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity of one adult-sized cadaver? b. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	□No □No □No
	 c. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six minute average. d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
2.	Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes	⊠No □No □No
3.	d. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit? \boxtimes N/A Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standard	rds?	No
	If yes, what reason? NA	Yes	⊠No
1			
PA	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
1.	Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	🖾No
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Downwind odor level detected- NA Wind direction - SE Upwind odor level detected-NA (1-10)	
	Continuous Monitoring Systems – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	🖂 Yes	No

b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ⊠ 1,800¹ □ 1,600² degrees was determined? ------ ⊠ Yes □..No (Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89)

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? 1) All temperature measurements 	🛛 Yes	No
 All continuous monitoring systems, monitoring devices, and performance testing measurements; 		N0
	Yes	No
	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	∐No ∏No
/ 5	\boxtimes Yes	No
	🛛 Yes	No
d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of		_
when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after $2/1/07$? If no, skip e.(1) – (3)	⊠ Yes □ Yes	∐No ⊠No
(1) Is the crematory unit equipped and operated with a pollutant monitoring system to automatical		
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement? \bigvee N/A	Yes	No
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity?	☐ Yes	□No
(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?	Yes	No
	🖂	
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check $\mathbf{\nabla}$) ox for each	only one
	for for each	question
1. If the application to construct was BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:		
a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Ves	□No
b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the cremation		NU
process begins in the primary chamber? N/A	Yes	No
2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	🛛 Yes	□No

b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than **1600°F** before the cremation process begins in the primary chamber? ------ X Yes

PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>			only one question)
1.	<i>Other than</i> human or fetal remains with appropriate containers or clothing, are any materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	- 🗌 Yes	🖾No
2.	Do cremation containers contain no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?		□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each	5
1. Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?	Yes	No
 Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?	- Yes	□No ⊠No □No □No

PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check <i>I</i> only one box)				
IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		

Facility Section (continued)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
Administrative Changes:		
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representati associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	s or Ves	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been: a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? d. A change in ownership? If the any answer to 3a d. is Yes , was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitted 30 days prior to the change?	Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No □No

C. Mark Sumner

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Mark Sen

Inspector's Signature

February 28,2012

Date of Inspection

February 2013

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: This facility operates an Industrial Equipment and Endineering company dual chambered gas fired human crematory incinerator model IE43- Power Pak II. As describes by the operator the unit is set to run at 1775 degrees in the secondary combustion chamber, and a random review of the temperature recording charts revealed that the temperature was at least 1600 degrees for all cremations.

This unit was constructed in 2005, and the current entitlement period for the general permit will end April 6, 2014.

The unit was operating at the time of this inspection, no odors or visible emissions were noticed during this inspection.

At the time of this inspection a VE test was being performed by HS&E Resources in accordance with EPA method 9. The Department was notified of the VE testing on 2/7/2012.

The cremation containers used at this facility were manufactured by McDonald Container, and the facility has documentation on file from the manufacturer certifying that the containers are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics.

A copy of Mr. Steve Wilson III's training certificate was on file and avaliable for review.

The facility appears clean and well maintained. All requested records were available onsite for immediate review.

The cremation unit was last services and calibrated my Mathew's Cremation Services on 10/8/2010.