

### **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DI ARMS COMPLA			
AIRS ID#: 0710217 DATE: <u>10/17/11</u>	ARRIVE: <u>7:50</u>	DEPART	: <u>10:00</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CANAL ST RMC PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION: 4170 CANAL ST				
FORT MYERS 3391	16-6550			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: KE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/6/2011 / 8/6/2016 (effective date) (end date)	ELLY FOLSOM	PHONE: (954)242-01 Mobile: (954)242-01 PHONE: Mobile:		
	Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):  Brief Notes:			(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
2. Is the Authorized Representative still KELLY FOLSO If no, who is?:	OM?		⊠ Yes	□No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative  3. Is the facility contact still?  If no, who is?:				⊠No □No
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least				□No □No

# Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-split silo(cement),compart.w/ western baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

<ol> <li>PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</li> <li>Date of last inspection: 2/8/10</li> <li>Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:         <ul> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li></ul></li></ol>	-	only one question)  No No No No No No No No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?	Yes	□ No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check <b>✓</b> box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	- Xes	☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- X Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	- X Yes	□ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo d		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \( \subseteq \text{ Yes} \) \( \subseteq \text{ N/A} - \text{silo not lost} \) e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		pection.  No
f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>24.98</u> tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ ) and go to	o h	
<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching representative.</li> </ol>		☐ No
duration?	Yes	☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? mir h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust co conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes.	n? 🗌 Yes	⊠ No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	- 🛛 Yes	<ul><li>☐ No</li><li>☐ No</li></ul>
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? <u>24.98</u> tons/hour.</li> </ul>	🛚 Yes	□ No

# Emissions Unit Section 3 -CCB Plant-trk loadout, weigh hopr/batchrw/cent.dust collector subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b> only one
1. D. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1. (1	box for each question)
1. Date of last inspection: 11/17/10 2. Part Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	1
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	X Yes
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	🖂 l'es 🔲 No
operation? 🔯 N/A	Yes No
<ul> <li>d. Date of last VE test: <u>11/17/10</u></li> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test</li> </ul>	t?
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 26.8 tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state	
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A	Yes No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	L Yes No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	E test?  Yes  No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE If not, what was the problem (if known)?	test? las las
in not, what was the problem (it known).	
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹 only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	X Yes No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	
If not, what was the problem (if known)?	
•	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the	
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No $\square$ N/A – silo	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	
f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>24.5</u> tons/hour g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collect	or?
If YES, then continue on to questions $g.1) - g.3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g.1) - g.3$ a	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal bat	ching rate and
duration?	
3) What was the batching rate?tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collect	
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and or	
2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration?	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?	
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	
d. What was the process rate? <u>24.5</u> tons/hour.	

# Emissions Unit Section 4 -CCB Plant-silo (flyash), w/silotop baghouse subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check <b>☑</b>	only one
1. Data of last insurations. 11/17/10	box for each	
1. Date of last inspection: 11/17/10		,
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	✓ Vas	□ No
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		∐ No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	🔀 Yes	∐ No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 11/17/10	☐ Yes	☐ No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?		□ No ⊠ No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour  h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A  i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour</li> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?</li> <li>If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	X Yes	☐ No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check	only one
chelosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	X Yes	☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	X Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	X Yes	☐ No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not lo		pection.
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?	Yes	∐ No
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		□ N.
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g.11 - g.3$ ) below. If answer NO, then skip $g.11 - g.3$ ) and go		∐ No
<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching</li> </ol>		☐ No
duration?	Yes	☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute.  h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector where the description is a superior of the description.		
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector wh from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust c		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration	on? Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? min</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li> </ul>		☐ No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		☐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		
<ul><li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li><li>d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.</li></ul>	L Yes	☐ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

	<u> </u>		
CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one ch question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:  a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?  b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?  c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
2.	Does this facility include:  a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal proparation gas/yr + 1.3 mm gas/	ne/yr	.00? ⊠ No
<u>Gl</u>	ENERAL CONDITIONS		only one ch question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- Yes	⊠ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- Yes	⊠ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		⊠ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
1. Is the facility: stationary \(\sigma\); relocatable \(\sigma\); or consisting of both stationary and relocatable \(\sigma\) concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only stationary, skip the followin</i>		
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	- Yes	☐ No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900]		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	- Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?  3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per		∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?	e)?  Yes	☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?		☐ No ☐ No
<u>CHANGES</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	
Administrative Changes:		
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol>	its or - Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	its or	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	its or	No No No No No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?	its or -	No No No No No No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?  New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been  a. Installation of any new process equipment?  b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?  c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?  d. A change in ownership?	its or -	No No No No No No
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions un operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?  2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	its or -	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>