1.00
9
1

NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING PLANTS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 7775193 001 DATE: <u>12/11/13</u> ARRIVE: <u>1:30 PM</u> DEPART:	3:00 PM			
FACILITY NAME: Crush-It, Inc.				
FACILITY LOCATION: Relocatable , FL				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: William Richardson Email:PHONE:941.918.2400 Mobile:CONTACT NAME: William Richarson Email:PHONE:941-350-9129 				
EMISSION UNIT DESCRIPTION : Crusher - Eagle 1200 SN-22441 Rated Cap 150 tph				
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
IN COMPLIANCE I MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE I SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	JANCE			
	LIANCE			
IN COMPLIANCE ININOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):	LIANCE (check 🗹 only one box for each question)			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one box for each question) within applicable			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes: This is inspection was performed in order to determine if the facility has been operating v	(check ☑ only one box for each question) within applicable			
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes: This is inspection was performed in order to determine if the facility has been operating v regulations. Mr. Guadalupe Castilo (Supervisor) was present during the facility inspection of the emission 2. Is the Authorized Representative still John Wohlwend?	(check ☑ only one box for each question) within applicable on unit. ☑ Yes □No □ Yes □No			

Emissions Unit Section <u>1-Crusher Unit A</u>

(check 🗹	only one
how for each	question)

	t	box for each	question)			
Is	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majoria is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock 5 (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ty e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,				
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	 ∑ Yes ∑ Yes ∑ Yes ∑ Yes 	□No □No □No			
su If	If answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.					
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I? Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	 Yes Yes Yes Yes 	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No			
1						

<u>1 – Crusher Unit A</u>

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l 1g	⊠No
 10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	XNo
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13.Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
 14. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
 individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A <i>{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the</i> <i>purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from</i> 	Yes	🗌 No
one or more affected EUs.] b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	YesYesYes	□No □No □No

<u>1 – Crusher Unit A</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: Conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;	—	_
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or	-	
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_	
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? 🔲 N/A	Yes	No No
	—	—
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	LNo
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:		
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	LNo
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250		
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
<i>and</i> b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
or design scrubbing inquid now rate.		
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes:		
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	Yes	No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures,		
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	∐ Yes	🖾No
21 Initial Tractor		
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? X N/A	T Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	\square Yes	\square No \square No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	\square Yes	\square No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\square Yes	\square No

	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OC	O EU	
	VE Opaci			0.855	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl			[]	Yes	No
b. Was the VE test conducted accordic. The VE test resulted in an opacity of	of <u>%</u> for the highest	six-minute average.		_	No
Rate:]No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>insp</i> a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				_	∐No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity ofd. Did the VE test demonstrate compl				Yes []No
b. Was the VE test conducted according				Yes [No
 25. Was a VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro Rate: 				=	⊠No]No
i. has the EU been tested during ii. has the EU been tested yet wit				Yes [Yes []No]No
 24. When was the last VE test conducted a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s N/A b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart 	ubpart OOO, has the El	U been tested within the past 5 y		_]No⊠
b. a device for the continuous measure device has been calibrated on an {Note: The monitoring device m of design scrubbing liquid flow r	annual basis in accorda ust be certified by the r	nce with manufacturer's instruc	tions ?	Yes []No
 a device for the continuous measure scrubber and the device has been instructions?	a calibrated on an annua	l basis in accordance with manu	ifacturer's	Yes []No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control em If yes, does the owner/operator mainta a. a device for the continuous measure	in and operate:			Yes	∐No
one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in complia c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes [Yes []No]No
initial startup of the EU? {A "vent" is any opening through which purpose of exhausting from a building	ch there is mechanicall	y induced air flow for the	A	Yes	No
22. If the EU is a building enclosing any individually in compliance with emisa. Was an initial PM stack test perform	ssions limits: ned on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of			

	EU not subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	Subpart OOO EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%

<u>R</u>]	EASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
1.	 Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring? 	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
	 b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of 	⊠ Yes □ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No ⊠ No □ No
2.	particulate matter from stock piles? N/A If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No □ No □No

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY (check \square only one box for each question) 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: 🖾..No a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? ----- Yes b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? ------ 🗍 Yes X..No c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? ------ Yes X..No 2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? ------ Yes X..No If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? b) any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? ----- Yes X..No If YES, what other general permit units or activities?

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:
a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Xes No N/A
b) 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes
c) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? NA
d) 1.3 million gallons of propane? YesNo N/A
e) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?
Note: Facility only been in operation since 12/5/13. They are keeping fuel consumption usage.

Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹	•
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each	question)
	Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air		
	pollution control devices?	Yes	🖾No
2.	Does the owner or operator:		
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛 Yes	No
	b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	_	_
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		L.No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, acces	SS	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		_
	permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	No

	ELOCATABLE PLANT The facility: is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
2.	 For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(0) to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)]	□No □No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
	 b) were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period? 	Yes Yes	□No □No

	HANGES dministrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
	Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representa associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	iits or	⊠No □No		
N	New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been				
5.	 a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No		
4.	If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee sub 30 days prior to the change?	mitted	⊠No		

Mike Ojo Thomas

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

12-11-13

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: This inspection was performed in order to determine if this facility has been operating within applicable regulations. Mr. Castilo the Plant Manager was present during the facility inspection of the emission unit. Mr. Castilo stated the emission unit record log was not onsite at the time. He stated they started operation last week. Mr. Castilo stated the emission unit record log is located in the Tampa office. Mr. Castilo promised to send copies of a recorded log to AQ Division office by 12/12/13. There were water truck and sweeper truck onsite. I was not able to perform a visible emissions test at the time, emission unit was not operating. During the closing conference, I told Mr. Castilo this emission unit appears to be in compliance.

Note: The emission unit copies of recorded log were received by the AQ Division office on 12/12/13. See attached record log.

 $H: USERS WPDOCS Airqual Air_Compliance AQI 7775193_001. doc$