	NUMERTAL PROTEC	TION	ic.
BI GHI	V	A rea	
FI	ORIDA	ar	1
			-

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 7770216 DATE: <u>1/24/12</u>	ARRIVE: <u>7:30 am</u> DEPART: <u>9:30 am</u>		
FACILITY NAME: ARNOLD BROS CCB PLANT-SW	PINE ISLAND RD		
FACILITY LOCATION: 2437 SW PINE ISLAND	RD		
CAPE CORAL 33991-1	1282		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: STEP Email: steve@arnoldbrothers.net CONTACT NAME: BART CORLEITO Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/3/2010 / 5/3/2015 (effective date) (end date)	PHEN ARNOLD PHONE: (239)282-0066 Mobile: (239)633-1051 PHONE: (239)282-0066 Mobile: (239)243-5914		
Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (che	eck 🗹 only one box)		
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPL	LIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
[
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check \square only one		
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):	box for each question)		
Drief Natara			

	Brief Notes:		
2.	Is the Authorized Representative still STEPHEN ARNOLD?	Yes Yes	No
3.	If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? Is the facility contact still BART CORLEITO?	\boxtimes Yes \boxtimes Yes	□No □No
4.	Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	\boxtimes Yes \boxtimes Yes	□No □No

Emissions Unit Section

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Date of last inspection: $\frac{2/16/11}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$		·
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	_	
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	No No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	🛛 Yes	No No
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
	\Box Vac	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes	∐ No
d. Date of last VE test: $2/16/11$	_	
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	🛛 Yes	No
f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?	🛛 Yes	No
g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 44 tons/hour		
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? 🛛 N/A	Yes	∐ No
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	Yes	∐ No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		I
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	Xes Yes	No No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
If not, what was the problem (if known):		
		1
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	-
	00/10/ 000	question,
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	No No
• Westles wishle and science test and set of seconding to UDA Mathed (9)		
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	_	∐ No
	_	∐ No □ No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	_	
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.	_	
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	□ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes Yes	□ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? If not, what was the problem (if known)? d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo con that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? X Yes No N/A - silo not load 	Yes Yes	No No nte pection.
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes Yes	□ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes inducted at a ra ed during insp Yes	I No nte pection. No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ✓ Yes Mucted at a rated during insp ✓ Yes ✓ Yes 	No No nte pection.
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ✓ Yes Mucted at a rated during insp ✓ Yes ✓ Yes 	I No nte pection. No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ✓ Yes Mucted at a rated during insp ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ↓ Yes 	□ No nte pection. □ No ⊠ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ∠ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes <i>h</i>. ○ Yes 	I No nte pection. No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ∠ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes <i>h</i>. ○ Yes 	□ No nte pection. □ No ⊠ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ∠ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes <i>h</i>. ○ Yes 	□ No nte pection. □ No ⊠ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ∠ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes <i>h</i>. ○ Yes ○	□ No nte pection. □ No ⊠ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ∠ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes <i>h</i>. △ Yes <i>e</i> and △ Yes <i>is</i> separate 	□ No nte pection. □ No ⊠ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ∠ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes <i>h</i>. △ Yes <i>e</i> and △ Yes is separate ector 	□ No nte Dection. □ No □ No □ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ∠ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes <i>h</i>. △ Yes <i>e</i> and △ Yes is separate ector 	□ No nte Dection. □ No ○ No □ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ∠ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes and △ Yes e and △ Yes is separate ector △ Yes 	□ No nte pection. □ No ⊠ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ∠ Yes △ Yes △ ducted at a rated during inspace △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes e and △ Yes is separate extor △ Yes ss. 	□ No nte pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ∠ Yes △ Yes △ ducted at a rated during inspace △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes e and △ Yes is separate extor △ Yes is separate extor △ Yes is Separate is Yes ∑ Yes 	□ No ate bection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ∠ Yes △ Yes △ ducted at a rated during inspace △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes e and △ Yes is separate extor △ Yes is separate extor △ Yes is Separate is Yes ∑ Yes 	□ No nte pection. □ No □ No □ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ✓ Yes Mucted at a rated during inspace ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes and ✓ Yes is separate ector ✓ Yes ×s. ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes 	 No nte Dection. No No No No No No No No No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ∠ Yes △ Yes △ ducted at a rated during inspace △ Yes △ Yes △ Yes e and △ Yes is separate extor △ Yes is separate extor △ Yes is Separate is Yes ∑ Yes 	□ No ate bection. □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	 ✓ Yes Mucted at a rated during inspace of the set of the s	 No nte Dection. No No No No No No No No No

Emissions Unit Section

2 -CCB Plant-silo(cement/Gunite), 50T capacity,w/dust collector subject to 5% (pacity Limit
---	--------------

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>		only one
1. Date of last inspection: $2/16/11$	box for each o	question
2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	X Yes	No
b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	\bowtie Yes	
c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
operation? 🛛 N/A	Yes	🗌 No
d. Date of last VE test: $2/16/11$		_
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?g. What was the actual silo loading rate? 34 tons/hour	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	∐ No □ No
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state		
whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X N/A	Yes	🗌 No
whether of not datching occurred during emissions testing: $$	Yes	
i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?	L res	🛛 No
j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour		
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	∐ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check 🗹	only one
		only one
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes	🗌 No
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of $\underline{0}$ % for the highest six-minute average.		
	Yes	
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		□ No
If not, what was the problem (if known)?		
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo cor	educted at a ra	ate
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \boxtimes Yes \square No \square N/A – silo not loading		
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?	Yes	🛛 No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to be the second		
	37.00	NT.
 Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? During the gigible emissions test must be batching atta response to the second batching attacking attack		□ No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate	e and	
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	e and	☐ No
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	e and Yes res	
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	e and Yes es is separate	
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	e and Yes res is separate ector	🗌 No
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	e and Yes is separate ector Yes	
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	e and Yes is separate ector Yes	🗌 No
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	e and Yes is separate ector Yes	🗌 No
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	e and Yes ies is separate ector Yes s. Xes	□ No
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	e and Yes ies is separate ector Yes s. Xes	□ No □ No □ No
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	e and Yes is separate ector Yes s. Yes Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No
 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?	e and Yes ies is separate ector Yes s. Xes	□ No □ No □ No

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(aback 🗹 anky ana
	(check $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ only one box for each question)
	box for each question)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?	
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	
c 100 tons per year of more of any other regulated air ponutant?	
2. Does this facility include:	
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (wi	ith the exception of
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-21	1
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where suc	ē
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same fac If YES, what other general permit units or activities?	cility? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No
If TES, what other general permit units of activities?	
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:	
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?	
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?	
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?	
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below	ow)? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr +	MM gal propage/ $yr < 1.002$
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3	
	, initi gui propune, ji
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of mon	thly fuel consumption
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	Yes 🛛 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one net only one
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allow the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control	_	
devices? 2. Does the owner or operator:	Ves	🛛 No
 a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with 		🛛 No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	🛛 No
3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air ger permit and Department rules?	neral	🛛 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable ⊠; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following stationary)	(check ☑ box for each owing question 2.)	question)
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?		🗌 No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephon e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	Yes	🛛 No
 b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.9 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation' c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.9 	? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation		🛛 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	permit,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated u If YES, what was the purpose?	sage)? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes	

CHANGES	(check 🗹 box for each	
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized repreassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emission operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility 	ns units or	🖂 No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		No No
 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fe 30 days prior to the change?	_	🛛 No

Sherrill Culliver

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/24/12

Date of Inspection

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: