

## **CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT**



#### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOMPLAINT	· · · —			
AIRS ID#: 0112620 DATE: <u>2/25/13</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1420</u>	<b>DEPART:</b> <u>1540</u>			
FACILITY NAME: DAVIE CONCRETE					
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 2030 NW 40th Ct					
POMPANO BEACH	33064-8700				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: TO Email: mikem19@bellsouth.net CONTACT NAME: TOM BROSNAHAN Email: mikem19@bellsouth.net ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: / (effective date) (end date)	Mobi PHO Mobi	<b>NE:</b> (954)583-4611			
Facility Section  PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING  1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Tom Brosnaha Brief Notes:	<u>an</u>	(check ☑ obox for each q	only one uestion)		
2. Is the Authorized Representative still TOM BROSN If no, who is?:	AHAN?	X Yes	□No		
If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still TOM BROSNAHAN? If no, who is?:			□No □No		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least			⊠No □No		

#### Emissions Unit Section Subject to 5% Opacity Limit

R. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?	1. Date of last inspection: 4/12 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation?	box for each  Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?		i res	□ 100
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?			•
b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of	1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	⊠ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?		Yes	☐ No
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate?  Yes  No  N/A – silo not loaded during inspection.  e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?  Yes  No  f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour  g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?  Yes  No  If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to h.  1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?  Yes  No  2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  Yes  No  3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes  h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes  No  2) What was the batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  Yes  No  2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching at and duration?  Yes  No  a. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?  Yes  No  b. The visible emission test conducted according to EPA Method 9?  Yes  No  b. The visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?  Yes  No	c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes	□ No
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?			
f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour  g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?			· —
If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and go to h.  1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? ————————————————————————————————————	f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour		
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? ————————————————————————————————————			∐ No
duration?	1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	☐ Yes	☐ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minutes  h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which is separate from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? minutes.  2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes.  2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? Yes No  a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes No  b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.  c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? Yes No			$\bigcap$ No
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?  Yes No 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minutes.  2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? Yes No a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9? Yes No b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average.  c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? Yes No	3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minu	ites	
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?			
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? Yes a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration	? 🗌 Yes	☐ No
<ul> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li></ul>			$\bigcap$ No
c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? Yes No	a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		
r		Yes	□ No

# **Emissions Unit Section Subject to Reasonable Precautions**

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
Date of last inspection: 4/12     Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?  If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A  c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.  Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li></ul>		□ No
control emissions?	Yes	⊠ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	X Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛚 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?  b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?		□ No □ No

### **Facility Section (continued)**

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check <b>☑</b> only one		
	box for each question)		
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:     a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?     b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?     c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes No		
2. Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300( Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	(3) or		
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such othe permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?  If YES, what other general permit units or activities?			
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?			
gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/yr < 1.00?  275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr			
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	nel consumption		
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ only one box for each question)		
Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or a the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution cont devices?	trol		
2. Does the owner or operator:  a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?			
b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies	with all		
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	nent, access		
permit and Department rules?			

RELOCATABLE PLANT:  1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing pl		question)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix ceme soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c be	Yes	□ No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Departn e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one busing</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation</li> </ul>	ess day prior to changing location? Yes	☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than fiv c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation	e business days following a relocation? Yes	☐ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at le		☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a se and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissic a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-rour If YES, what was the purpose?  b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how co-located at the permitted facility?	ons unit in that separate permit: tine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)?  Ves	<ul><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li><li>□ No</li></ul>
CHANGES  Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	•
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone nun associated with a change in ownership or with a physical re operations comprising the facility; or any other similar min</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 3 New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li> </ol>	clocation of the facility or any emissions units or or administrative change at the facility? Yes 30 days of the change? Yes	⊠ No □ No
a. Installation of any new process equipment?      b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replace c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that d. A change in ownership?	rement? Yes is substantially different? Yes	<ul><li>⋈ No</li><li>⋈ No</li><li>⋈ No</li><li>⋈ No</li></ul>
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new re 30 days prior to the change?		☐ No
Art Pennetta	2/25/13	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	4/13	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	