

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	VERY (CI)			
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0112620 DA	TE: <u>12/29/06</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1430</u>	DEPART: <u>1530</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CRYSTAL LAKE						
FACILITY LOCATION	<b>N:</b> 2030 NW 40th Court					
	DEERFIELD BEACH					
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAL: VICTOR ANIDO	РНО	<b>DNE:</b> (954)583-4611			
CONTACT NAME: same		PHONE: (				
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/12/2003 / 4/12/2008 (effective date) (end date)						
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u>	COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	neck 🗹 only one box)				
IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-COMI	PLIANCE SIGNIFIC	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
Stack Emissions						
1. Were visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-	1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?					
	om silos, weigh hoppers (batchers			s 🗆 No		
controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?						
at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice?						
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then						
			⊠Ye 			
b) During the vis	tible emissions test, was the batch	hing rate representative of the				
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) open	ration are controlled by a du	st collector, which is separate	.s <u> </u>		
from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? \(\sigma Yes \square No\)						

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)					
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es)					
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	: ⊠Yes □ No				
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form	□Yes □ No □Yes □ No				
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	⊠Yes □ No				
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?	e ⊠Yes □ No				
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))					
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d)</i>, <i>below.</i>)</li></ol>					
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below.</i>)</li></ol>	g ]Yes ⊠ No				

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)							
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))							
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)  1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant tak emissions by:  a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y  1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y  2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-stemissions?	ards, which shall include one or more of the force piles, and yards?	<pre></pre>					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?————————————————————————————————————							
Art Pennetta	12/29/06						
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection						
	12/07						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection						
COMMENTS:							