

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:							
AIRS ID#: 0112617 DATE: 6/22/12 ARRIVE: 1445 DEPART:	<u>1550</u>						
FACILITY NAME: PREMIX-MARBLETITE-POMPANO							
FACILITY LOCATION: 1259 NW 21ST ST							
POMPANO BEACH 33069							
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: FRED HANSEN PHONE: (954)917-766. Email: Mobile: (772)812-1499. CONTACT NAME: HENRY DYE PHONE: (407)327-083. Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/21/2008 / 12/21/2013 (end date))						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE							
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Henry Dye Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)						
2. Is the Authorized Representative still FRED HANSEN?	⊠ Yes □No						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still HENRY DYE? If no, who is?:	☐ Yes ☐No ☐No						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?	☐ Yes						

Emissions Unit Section 1 -Portland Cement Silo subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: 11/9/11 2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing	
operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 10/21/11	Yes No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? - f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour	
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test If not, what was the problem (if known)?	st? Yes No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ only one
cherosea storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the si that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A - silo no	
e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice? f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour	
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g, 1) - g, 3$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g, 1) - g, 3$ and $g, 3$	
1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test? 2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batchi	Yes No
duration? 3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration?	Yes No
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector with the collector wi	which is separate
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dus conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and dura 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? n	ntion? Yes No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? tons/hour. 	

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY			only one question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	□ 7	Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNo
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		Yes	⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		Yes	⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	- \\ \ - \\ \ - \\ \	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 1.3 MM gal propared that the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumer for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr		? No
Gl	ENERAL CONDITIONS			only one question)
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	· 🔲 1	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	- 🛛 Y	Yes	☐ No
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	- 🛛 Y	Yes	☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?		Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both st concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If		(check v box for each g question 2.)	question)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)			☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or L e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification 	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busine c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifica	ess days following a relocation?tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	Yes Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	• •		☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purp If YES, what was the purpose?	in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?			□ No □ No
CHANCEC			
CHANGES		(check ☑	-
		box for each	question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility?	tive not its or - Yes	No No
Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or - Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNo
Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or - Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated.	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or - Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or -	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or -	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub 6/22/12 Date of Inspection	tive not its or -	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change?	tive not its or -	No No No No No No
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admir If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days on the Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	of the facility or any emissions un nistrative change at the facility? of the change? antially different? on form and the appropriate fee sub 6/22/12 Date of Inspection	tive not its or -	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo