OWNERNA PROTECTION	CONCRE	<u>'TE B</u>	BATCHING PLANTS	e lo		
FLORIDA	COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST					
INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	X	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)	Compliance		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)		ARMS COMPLAINT NO			
AIRS ID#: 0270017_DATE: 8/22/08_ARRIVE: 10:40_DEPART: 11:10 FACILITY NAME: Stonecrafters Architectural Precast FACILITY LOCATION: 6154 HWY 72 SW Arcadia, FL 34266 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Gary Bolyard PHONE: (863) 993-1058 CONTACT NAME:PHONE:PHONE:						
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u>	N COMPLIANCE STATUS					
⊠ IN COMPLIANCE	I MINOR Non-COMPL	LIANCE	E . SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIA	ANCE		
PART II: <u>TESTING/RE</u> (check ☑ appropria		REME	<u>NTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.			
Stack Emissions	ale box(es))					
1. Were visible emis			e visit according to EPA Method 9 (R			
	0 11		nd other enclosed storage and convey sions to 5 percent opacity?	0 1 1		
3. During visible em	nissions tests of the silo dust of	collecto	r exhaust points was the loading of th ng rate, or at least at the minimum 25	e silo conducted		
unless such rate is	s unachievable in practice?			Yes D No		
to this question is	s "Yes", then continue on to c	question	tion controlled by the silo dust collect s 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "N	o" then		
			e visible emissions test? rate representative of the normal bate			
duration?				Yes D No		
	<b>U II</b> ( )	-	on are controlled by a dust collector, w tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) du	*		
			ve of the normal batching rate and dur			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – ( <i>continued</i> ) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)
<u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) <ol> <li>Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)</li> </ol>
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.310(5), F.A.C., Air General Permits)         2. Did this facility demonstrate initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? Yes Ves No
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.310(5), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted within 365 days (annually thereafter) of the previous visible emissions compliance test?</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?</li></ul>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.310(5)(b), F.A.C.

(check <b>№</b> appropriate box(es))		
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2) a relocatable; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>Please check only one box.</i> )	•	
2. For any combination of stationary or relocatable concrete batching plants, located with other concreted ba	tching plants	
or nonmetallic mineral processing plants:		
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	- 🖵 Yes 🗵 I	No
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
1) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel		
2) 23,000 gallons of gasoline	- 🗙 Yes 🗖 1	No
3) 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas	- 🗙 Yes 🗖 1	No
4) 1.3 million gallons of propane		
5) or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite		
Silo is operated on electricity		
3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant submitting this registration maintain a log book or		
books to account for fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	Yes X	No
Relocation Notification - (Rule 61-210.310(5)(b)3.b., F.A.C.)		
1. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or		
stabilization?—(if your answer is YES, please proceed to 1. a) thru 1.b) below)		Лo
a) Did the owner or operator notify the Department by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication		
at least one (1) business day prior to changing location? ?		No
b) Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6))		
to the Department no later than five (5) business days following a relocation?	- 🖵 Yes 🖵 N	No
If your answer to number 1. above is NO, proceed to 2. below		
2. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form (DEP No. 62-210.900(6)) at		т
least five (5) business days prior to relocation?	- 🖵 Yes 🖵 N	No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check 𝔄 appropriate box(es))					
<ul> <li><u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)</li> <li>1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:         <ul> <li>a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
<ol> <li>paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to contro emissions?</li> </ol>	l - 🗙 Yes 🗖 No				
<ul> <li>3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?</li> <li>4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?</li> </ul>	Yes 🛛 No				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- Yes I No				
PART IV:       SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES       – Rule 62-210.310(2), F.A.C.         A.       New or Modified Process Equipment					
<ol> <li>Since the last inspection has there been         <ul> <li>a) installation of any new process equipment?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	- Yes XNo				
<ul><li>b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li><li>c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most</li></ul>					
<ul> <li>recent notification form?</li></ul>	- ∐Yes ⊠No				
local program office?	Yes No				

COMMENTS: Met with facility manager Bob Hayward. This facility consists of one stationary cement silo which feeds cement into a mixer and then to a series of selectable batch hoppers. The concrete mixture is used in molds to create decorative indoor and outdoor fixtures. Sand and aggregate stockpiles are partially enclosed. No fugitive PM emissions were observed during the site inspection. Bob said that he thought a VE test had been done in the last several months, but no test report has been received by the SWD office. The facility's next VE test is due by 11/13/08.

Inspector: Max Grondahl & Malik Pickering

Date of Inspection: 08/22/08

Inspector Sign:

Approx. date of next inspection: 08/22/11