

## ANIMAL CREMATORY



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 🗌					
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0530365 DATE: <u>09/28/2012</u> A	RRIVE: 7:58 am DEPART:	11:16am			
FACILITY NAME: HERNANDO CO ANIMAL SVCS-ANIMAL CREMATORY					
<b>FACILITY LOCATION:</b> 19450 OLIVER ST					
BROOKSVILLE 34601					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LIANA	` ,	86			
Email: lteague@co.hernando.fl.us  CONTACT NAME: LIANA TEAGUE  Mobile: PHONE: (352)540-6286		86			
Email: lteague@co.hernando.fl.us ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 7/17/2008 / 7/17/2013	Mobile:				
(effective date) (end date)					
Facil	ity Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	only one box)				
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING		(check 🗹 only one			
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): <u>Denis Boone</u>		box for each question)			
Brief Notes: Mr. Boone is the cremation unit operatorEmail Address: dboone@co.hernando.fl.us					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still LIANA TEAGUE? If no, who is?:		⊠ Yes □No			
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update 3. Is the facility contact still LIANA TEAGUE?		YesNo YesNo			
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspectified, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 day	etion? rs in advance?	- 🖂 Yes 🔲No 🖂 Yes 🔲No			

## Emissions Unit Section 1 – Animal Crematory Incinerator (Crawford C700P)

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
1. a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	⊠ Yes	□No
<ul> <li>b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?</li></ul>		□No
		⊠No
5. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:  a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?  b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?  c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		□No ⊠No
operation?	Yes	□No
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?  f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?  If no, what was the problem (if known)?		□No □No
DADT II. VICIDI E EMICCIONO TECTINO		
PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?a. Operating capacity during test? 350 ⊠ lbs for batch unit □ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	⊠ Yes	□No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?		⊠No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six minute average. f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		□No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minute.		
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?a. Operating capacity during test?	☐ Yes	⊠No
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	Yes Yes	□No □No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six minute average.	Yes	□No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes in any one-hour)	□No
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	rds?	⊠No
If yes, what reason?	103	₹710

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS		(check <b>☑</b> only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	☐ Yes	⊠No	
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:  Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (	worst)	
<ul> <li>2. Continuous Monitoring Systems</li> <li>a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?</li> <li>b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at \$\int 1,800^1\$ \$\int 1,600^2\$ degrees was determined?</li></ul>	∑ Yes     ✓ Yes	□No	
c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?  (1) All temperature measurements		□No	
monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No □No	
<ul> <li>(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices</li></ul>	Yes Yes Yes cally Yes	□No □No □No □No	
(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity?	- Yes	□No	
PART IV: <u>SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES</u> 1. If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the:  a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than <b>1400°F</b>	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?  b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crema process begins in the primary chamber?	tion_	□No	
2. If the application to construct ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:  a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?  b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the crema process begins in the primary chamber?	tion	□No	
PART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)	
Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate co are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?	ntainers,	⊠No	
Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?  If yes, is the certifying documentation from the manufacturer kept on file for at least 2 years from use	⊠ Yes ? ⊠ Yes	□No	

PART VI: EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE		(check 🗹 o	only one box lestion)
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufac</li> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating proceshutdown and malfunction?</li> <li>Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame character of the plane of</li></ol>	edures during startup,	⊠ Yes	□No □No □No
a. Was the flame characteristic visually checked at least once du b. Was the flame adjusted when necessary?	ring each operating shift?	Yes Yes	□No □No
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check	c ✓ only one hov)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPL	LIANCE	
Facility Section	(continued)		
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES		(check 🗹	only one
Administrative Changes:		box for each	question)
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor address.</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days.</li> </ol>	on of the facility or any emissions uninistrative change at the facility?	its or - 🔲 Yes	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:			
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	ement?is substantially different? form and the appropriate fee	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<ul><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li><li>No</li></ul>
Wendy D Akins	09/28/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	09/26/2017		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Ins	pection	

**COMMENTS:** On July 3, 2012, Hernando County Animal Services conducted compliance testing which was inadequate because most of the VE test observations were read prior to starting the cremation. The length of the test was less that the required 60 minutes. A retest was conducted on this day. I conducted a records review with Mr. Denis Boone who is the cremation unit operator. I reviewed maintenance records from 9/8/2011 to 6/28/2012. I noted there was gap in cremations from 11/9/11 to 6/4/12. According to Mr. Denis Boone, they discovered a hole in the floor of the cremation unit. The facility stopped doing cremations to complete necessary repairs. According to the maintenance records, on 6/4/12 the cremation unit was refloored. During the time when the facility was getting bids for the repair,s cadavers were being transported to a landfill. I reviewed chart records from 9/8/2011 to 9/26/12. On 9/21/11, the facility had a power failure which resulted in a drop in temperature to approximately 750 degrees. The power was out at the facility for almost an hour. The failure was documented on the chart record and on the facility's cremation log list. Facility records appeared to be in order and easily accessable for inspection. Testing was conducted in accordance with proper Method 9 procedures.