

# Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Suite 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard Jr. Secretary

February 29, 2012

*By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested* cara@kindredheartshome.com

Ms. Cara Fernandez, Owner Kindred Hearts 29 Hughes Street Fort Walton Beach, Florida 32548

Dear Ms. Fernandez:

On February 22, 2012, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0910091. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report. As a reminder, authority to operate this facility expires on November 15, 2012. To avoid lapse of authority to operate, an owner or operator intending to continue to use an air general permit must submit the proper registration form and processing fee at least 30 days prior to expiration of the facility's existing air operation permit or air general permit.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Jennifer Waltrip at 850/595-0662 or e-mail jennifer.waltrip@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carre Melton

Carol Melton Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/jw/c

Enclosure



## ANIMAL CREMATORY



### COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<b>INSPECTION TYPE:</b> ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE			
AIRS ID#: 0910091 DATE: 2/22/12	ARRIVE: <u>12:39 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>1:03 PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: KINDRED HEARTS				
FACILITY LOCATION: 29 HUGHES ST				
FORT WALTON B	3EACH 32548			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/15/2007 / 11/1 (effective date) (end date)	Mobile: PHONE Mobile: 15/2012	S:		
Facility Section				
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	_	(check $\square$ only one box for each question)		
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Cara Fernar	<u>ıdez</u>			
Brief Notes:				
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Representative still CARA FER If no, who is?:</li> </ol>	NANDEZ?	YesNo		
If different, did the facility provide an administrat. 3. Is the facility contact still ? If no, who is?:		YesNo		
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today. If yes, was the compliance authority notified at lea	y's inspection? ast 15 days in advance?	Yes XNo		

#### **Emissions Unit Section** <u>1 – Multiple chamber Power-Pak II gas fired animal crematory</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1.	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or after August 30, 1989?	🛛 Yes	No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	🛛 Yes	No
3.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: <u>150</u> $\boxtimes$ lbs for batch unit $\square$ lbs/hr for ram-charged unit. Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	🖾No
	Date of last inspection: 2/10/11 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?		∐No ⊠No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A	Yes	No
	<ul> <li>d. Date of last VE test: <u>11/15/11</u></li> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li> <li>f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?</li> <li>If no, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>		□No □No

PART II: <u>VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	•
<b>1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?</b>	Yes	⊠No
<ul> <li>c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?</li> <li>d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.</li> </ul>		No No
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?		No
<ul> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?</li></ul>	Yes	⊠No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations? d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
<ul> <li>e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.</li> <li>f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?</li> <li>(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minutes</li> </ul>		No
<b>3.</b> Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar If yes, what reason?	rds?	⊠No

P	ART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check ☑ box for each	
1	Were there any objectionable odors detected?		Question)
	An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were:	_	
	Wind direction    Downwind odor level detected    Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10 (	worst)
2. a b	<b>Continuous Monitoring Systems</b> – Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
c.	<ul> <li>Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years?</li> <li>(1) All temperature measurements</li></ul>	Xes Yes	No
	<ul> <li>monitoring system all continuous performance evaluations</li></ul>	🖂 Yes	□No □No □No
	<ul> <li>(5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices</li> <li>(6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes	No No
	Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings	TYes	□No ⊠No
	<ul> <li>control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?</li> <li>(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity exceeds 15% opacity ?</li> </ul>	- Yes	No
	<ul> <li>(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?</li> </ul>		No
- <b></b>		(check 🗹	only one
P	ART IV: <u>SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES</u>	box for each	question)
1.	If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	No
	b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than <b>1400°F</b> before the crema process begins in the primary chamber?	tion Ves	No
2.	<ul> <li>If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:</li> <li>a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?</li></ul>		No
	process begins in the primary chamber?	Yes	No
<b></b>		(check 🗹	only one
P	ART V: <u>ALLOWED MATERIALS</u>	box for each	question)
1.	Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate con are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		⊠No
2.	Do containers contain no more than 0.5 percent by weight chlorinated plastics as certified by the manufacturer?	🛛 Yes	No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>	(check ☑ box for each		
<ol> <li>Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications?</li> <li>Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction?</li> <li>Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?</li></ol>	- Xes - Yes - Yes	□No □No □No □No □No	
PART VII: <u>EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box)			

#### **Facility Section (continued)**

MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE

SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representat associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions unit operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?</li> <li>If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?</li></ol>	ts or Ves	⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
<ul> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li></ul>	- 🗌 Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊡No

Jennifer Waltrip

🔀 IN COMPLIANCE

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

February 22, 2012

February 2013

Date of Inspection

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

**COMMENTS:** On February 22, 2012, Department personnel conducted an unannounced annual air program compliance inspection of Kindred Hearts in Okaloosa County. The Department would like to thank Ms. Cara Fernandez for her assistance during the inspection. The emissions unit appears to be very well maintained and all records were available as required.

Ms. Fernandez raised a question about the normal operating rate of the crematory during the annual visual emissions test. Rule 62-296.401(6)(g), Florida Administrative Code, states that testing of emissions shall be conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations and is not greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity. The operating capacity shall be a batch load, in pounds, for a batch animal crematory unit and a charging rate, in pounds per hour, for a ram-charged animal crematory unit. Records should be maintained to document the normal operating rate to show compliance with the testing rate.