

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

Northwest District 160 W. Government Street, Room 308 Pensacola, Florida 32502-5740 Rick Scott Governor

Jennifer Carroll Lt. Governor

Herschel T. Vinyard, Jr. Secretary

February 17, 2011

By Electronic Mail, Received Receipt Requested cara@kindredHeartshome.com

Ms. Cara Fernandez, Owner Kindred Hearts 29 Hughes Street Fort Walton Beach, Florida 32548

Dear Ms. Fernandez:

On February 10, 2011, a Department representative with the Air Resource Management Program inspected your facility, ID 0910091. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed. The inspection and a review of Department records indicate the facility was in compliance at the time of the inspection for those items specifically noted in the inspection report.

This letter applies only to activities covered by the Air Resource Management Program. If you have any questions, please contact Chris Stoll at 850/595-0654 or e-mail christopher.stoll@dep.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Carre Melton

Carol Melton Air Compliance Supervisor

CM/cs/c

Enclosure

www.dep.state.fl.us

ALC: NOT THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER
-1
1

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0910091 DATE: 2/10/2011	ARRIVE: <u>10:52 AI</u>	M DEPART:	<u>11:20 AM</u>
FACILITY NAME: KINDRED HEARTS			
FACILITY LOCATION: 29 HUGHES ST			
FORT WALTON B	EACH 32548		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: (Email: CONTACT NAME: CARA Fernandez Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/15/2007 / 11/13 (effective date) (end date)	5/2012	PHONE: (850)244-380 Mobile: (850)865-296 PHONE: Mobile:	
Facility Section			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
I <u> </u>			
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING</u>	-		(check \square only one box for each question)
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Cara Frenance	dez		
Brief Notes:			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still CARA FERM If no, who is?:	NANDEZ?		YesNo
If different, did the facility provide an administrative 3. Is the facility contact still ?			YesNo
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least	/'s inspection? ist 15 days in advance?		Yes ⊠No Yes □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 – Multiple chamber Power-Pak II gas fired animal crematory</u>

PA	RT I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		only one
1	a. Complete AC application or, if no AC permit, initial GP registration received on or	box for each	question)
	after August 30, 1989?	🛛 Yes	No
	b. If yes, were design calculations provided then to confirm a sufficient volume in the		
	secondary chamber combustion zone to provide for at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
	at 1800 degrees Fahrenheit?	🛛 Yes	No
2.	Manufacturer's recommended capacity: 500 🖾 lbs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit.		
3.	Crematory unit installed after February 1, 2007?	Yes	🖾No
4.	Date of last inspection: $\frac{1/14/2010}{2010}$		
5.	Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:		
	a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?	🛛 Yes	No
	b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?	🛛 Yes	No
	c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing		
	operation? N/A	🛛 Yes	No
	d. Date of last VE test: <u>11/15/2010</u>		
	e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?	🛛 Yes	No
	f. Did the facility demonstrate compliance during the last VE test?	🛛 Yes	No
	If no, what was the problem (if known)?		

PART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING	_	
TART II: VISIBLE EMISSIONS TESTING	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
	_	· _ ·
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🖾No
a. Operating capacity during test? Ibs for batch unit 🗌 lbs/hr for ram-charged unit		
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	Yes	No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	Yes	No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.		
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minute		
	,	
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector during this site visit?	Yes	🖾No
a. Operating capacity during test? Ibs for batch unit I lbs/hr for ram-charged unit	_	
b. Was the operating capacity greater than the manufacturer's recommended capacity?	☐ Yes	No
c. Was the test conducted with the unit operating at a capacity that is representative of normal operations?	T Yes	□No
d. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	No
e. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six minute average.		
f. Did the visible emission test demonstrate compliance with the limit?	Yes	No
(5% opacity, six-minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 15% opacity shall be allowed for up to six minute:		
(578 optionly, six minute average, except that visible emissions not exceeding 1578 optionly shart be anowed for up to six minute.	, in any one nour,	
3. Is there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the PM and CO standar	·de?	
5. 15 there any reason to ask for a special test to determine compliance with the r w and CO standar	Yes	🖾No
If was what reason?		
If yes, what reason?		

PART III: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
1. Were there any objectionable odors detected?	Yes	🖾No
An upwind/downwind survey of the facility was conducted. The observed parameters were: Wind direction Downwind odor level detected Upwind odor level detected	Scale: 1-10	(worst)
 2. Continuous Monitoring Systems – a Is a continuous temperature monitoring system installed on each unit to record temperatures in the secondary chamber in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions? b Is the temperature probe properly placed, at least at the distance where the 1.0 second gas residence time at ∑ 1,800¹ □ 1,600² degrees was determined? (Application or initial notification: ¹ received on or after 8/30/89; ² received before 8/30/89) 	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
 c. Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection, for at least the past two years? (1) All temperature measurements		DNo
(3) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks (last performed on)	- 🛛 Yes - 🖾 Yes	No No No
 (5) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices (6) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices 		No No
 d. Are the temperature charts properly documented with operator name, operator indication of when cremation in the primary chamber was begun, date, time, and temperature markings e. Was the crematory unit installed after 2/1/07? If no, skip e.(1) - (3)	🗌 Yes	□No ⊠No
control combustion based on continuous in-stack opacity measurement?(2) Is the system calibrated to restrict combustion in the primary chamber whenever any opacity	🗋 Yes	No
exceeds 15% opacity ?(3) Has the opacity measurement system been cleaned and checked for proper operation in		No
accordance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule?		No
PART IV: SECONDARY COMBUSTION ZONE TEMPERATURES	(check ☑ box for each	-
 If the application to construct was <u>BEFORE</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber? b. secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1400°F before the crema process begins in the primary chamber? 	tion	□No □No
 2. If the application to construct <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the: a. the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	tion	□No
process begins in the primary chamber?		No
PART V: ALLOWED MATERIALS	(check 🗹 box for each	only one
 Besides animal remains and, if applicable, the bedding associated with the animals and appropriate co are any other materials, including biomedical wastes, incinerated in the unit?		⊠No
as certified by the manufacturer?		□No □No

PART VI: <u>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</u>		only one question)	
 Is the crematory unit maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications? Is there a written plan onsite which addresses the operating procedures during startup, shutdown and malfunction? Does the crematory allow for a visible check on the flame characteristics?	- 🛛 Yes - 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□No □No □No □No □No	
PART VII: EU INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☑ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☑ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
Facility Section (continued)			
SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	(check ☑ box for each	-	
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? □ Yes □No 2. If yes, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? □ Yes □No			

New or Modified	Process Equipment	or Change in	Ownership

New of Modified Process Equipment of Change in Ownership.		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been	Ves	No
a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🖾No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?		🖾No
c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	🗌 Yes	🖾No
d. A change in ownership?	Ves	🖾No
If the any answer to 3a. – d. is Yes, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee		
submitted 30 days prior to the change?	Ves	🗌 No

Chris Stoll

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

/s/

Inspector's Signature

Date of Inspection

2/10/2011

2/10/2012

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: On February 10, 2011, I conducted an unannounced air general permit compliance inspection at the Kindred Hearts Animal Crematory, located in Okaloosa County. The cremation unit was not in operation at the time of the inspection. No objectionable odors or visible emissions were observed during the inspection. Excellent records of cremations and operating conditions are being maintained.

Visible emissions testing was last performed on November 15, 2010. Visible emissions test results indicate 0% opacity during the 60 minute test.